

**Draft Environmental Impact Statement
for Authorization for Incidental Take and Implementation
of the Stanford University Habitat Conservation Plan**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abbreviations	i
Glossary	i
1.0 Summary.....	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action	1-1
1.3 The Proposed Action and Alternatives	1-2
1.4 Scoping	1-4
1.5 Environmental Effects of the Proposed Action and Alternatives	1-4
2.0 Purpose and Need	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Purpose and Need for the Federal Action	2-2
2.3 Regulatory Context	2-2
2.3.1 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	2-2
2.3.2 The Endangered Species Act (ESA)	2-3
2.4 Scope of DEIS Analysis	2-4
2.5 Scoping and Public Participation	2-5
2.5.1 Notice of Intent	2-5
2.5.2 EIS Scoping and Public Participation	2-5
2.5.3 Draft EIS Public Review	2-6
3.0 Proposed Action and Alternatives.....	3-1
3.1 Proposed Action (Preferred alternative).....	3-1
3.1.1 Covered Activities	3-1
3.1.2 Ongoing Maintenance and Operations.....	3-1
3.1.3 Creation of Management Zones.....	3-5
3.1.4 Measures to Minimize the Potentially Adverse Effects of the Covered Activities	3-5
3.1.5 Establishment of Mitigation Accounts.....	3-6
3.1.6 Use of Mitigation Account Credits	3-10
3.1.7 Adaptive Management	3-11
3.2 Alternatives	3-12
3.2.1 No Action Alternative.....	3-12
3.2.2 HCP for CTS Only.....	3-15
3.2.3 Comparison of the Primary Features of the Alternatives Retained for Consideration	3-18
3.3 Alternatives Not Selected for Detailed Evaluation.....	3-21

3.3.1	No Take Alternative.....	3-21
3.3.2	Take from Existing Operations Only	3-21
3.3.3	Ongoing Operations and GUP Development Only.....	3-22
3.3.4	Participation in Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan HCP/NCCP.....	3-23
3.3.5	HCP Using All Off-site Mitigation.....	3-23
3.3.6	HCP That Covers Modifications to Searsville Dam and Reservoir for Flood Control	
		3-24
4.0	Affected Environment	4-1
4.1	Physical Environment	4-1
4.1.1	Geologic Hazards, Seismicity and Soils	4-1
4.1.2	Cultural and Historical Resources	4-4
4.1.3	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	4-6
4.1.4	Air Quality	4-10
4.1.5	Noise	4-12
4.1.6	Traffic	4-13
4.1.7	Hazardous Materials/Waste	4-15
4.1.8	Public Services.....	4-15
4.1.9	Land Use	4-16
4.2	Biological Environment	4-23
4.2.1	Overview of Habitat: Plant Communities and Wildlife.....	4-23
4.2.2	Covered Species.....	4-26
4.2.3	Other Special-Status Species	4-33
4.3	Socioeconomic environment.....	4-36
4.3.1	Socioeconomic Setting.....	4-36
4.4	Environmental Justice	4-38
4.5	Indian Trust Assets	4-40
5.0	Environmental Consequences.....	5-1
5.1	Physical Environment	5-1
5.1.1	Geologic Hazards and Soils	5-1
5.1.2	Cultural and Historic Resources	5-6
5.1.3	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	5-9
5.1.4	Air Quality	5-16
5.1.5	Noise	5-18
5.1.6	Traffic	5-21

5.1.7 Hazardous Materials/Waste	5-25
5.1.8 Public Services.....	5-28
5.1.9 Land Use	5-30
5.2 Biological Environment	5-33
5.2.1 Effects of the Proposed Action Alternative	5-33
5.2.2 Effects of the No Action Alternative	5-52
5.2.3 Effects of the HCP for CTS Only Alternative	5-53
5.2.4 Comparison of Alternatives	5-54
5.3 Socioeconomic Environment.....	5-55
5.3.1 Effects of the Proposed Action	5-55
5.3.2 Effects of the No Action Alternative	5-56
5.3.3 Effects of the HCP for CTS Only Alternative	5-57
5.3.4 Comparison of Alternatives	5-57
5.4 Environmental Justice.....	5-58
5.4.1 Comparison of Alternatives	5-58
5.5 Cumulative Effects	5-58
5.5.1 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions.....	5-58
5.5.2 Summary of Cumulative Effects.....	5-63
5.5.3 Geology and Seismicity	5-63
5.5.4 Cultural and Historic Resources	5-63
5.5.5 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	5-64
5.5.6 Air Quality	5-65
5.5.7 Noise	5-66
5.5.8 Traffic	5-66
5.5.9 Hazardous Materials	5-68
5.5.10 Public Services	5-68
5.5.11 Land Use	5-69
5.5.12 Biological Environment	5-69
5.5.13 Socioeconomics.....	5-72
5.5.14 Global Climate Change	5-72
5.5.15 Comparison of Alternatives	5-75
5.6 The Relationship Between Short-term Uses of Man's Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-term Productivity	5-76

5.7	Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitments of Resources Which Would be Involved in the Proposal Should it be Implemented	5-76
6.0	References.....	6-1
6.1	Persons and Organizations Consulted.....	6-1
6.2	Literature Cited	6-1
7.0	List of Preparers	7-1
7.1	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	7-1
7.2	National Marine Fisheries Service.....	7-1
7.3	Consultants.....	7-1
8.0	DEIS Distribution List.....	8-1

List of Figures

Figure	Title
2-1	Project Location
2-2	Primary Watershed Basins
2-3	Land Use
3-1	Management Zones
3-2	San Francisquito/Los Trancos Creek Basin
3-3	Matadero/Deer Creek Basin
3-4	CTS Basin
3-5	Possible Location of Assumed Development
4-1	Geologic Hazards
4-2	Major Fault Zones
4-3	Geologic Faults
4-4	Farmland
4-5	Historic Resources Inventory with Management Zones
4-6	Average Annual Forecasted Emissions
4-7	Annual Average CO Emissions
4-8	Roadways and GUP EIR Traffic Study Intersections
4-9	“Lake” Water Systems
4-10	Governmental Jurisdictions
4-11	Existing Land Use in Habitat Management Zones
4-12	Recreational Uses
4-13	Leaseholds: Agricultural & Equestrian
4-14	Leaseholds: Commercial/Institutional
4-15	California Red-Legged Frog at Stanford
4-16	California Tiger Salamander at Stanford
4-17	Western Pond Turtle at Stanford
4-18	Steelhead at Stanford
5-1	Steelhead Central Coast DPS
5-2	CRLF Recovery Units

List of Tables

Table	Title	
Table 2-1.	Species That Would Be Covered Under Federal Incidental Take Permits	2-1
Table 3-1.	Summary of Future Development in Management Zones 1, 2 and 3.....	3-4
Table 3-2.	Examples of Preservation or Enhancement Activities that could earn Additional Mitigation Credits	3-9
Table 3-3.	Comparison of the Primary Features of the Alternatives Retained for Consideration....	3-18
Table 4-1.	Creek Protection Policies	4-41
Table 4-2.	San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin Annual Average Emissions, in Tons per Day ..	4-43
Table 4-3.	Stanford Environmental Health and Safety Departments, Programs and Policies (Stanford 2007)	4-44
Table 4-4.	Public Service Providers.....	4-45
Table 4-5.	Distribution of Stanford Lands Across Jurisdictions.....	4-46
Table 4-6.	Santa Clara County: Stanford Community Plan Zoning Designation And Allowable Land Use Inside Academic Growth Boundary (AGB)	4-47
Table 4-7.	Santa Clara County: Stanford Community Plan Zoning Designation and Allowable Land Use Outside the AGB	4-48
Table 4-8.	Allowable Uses under San Mateo County Zoning	4-49
Table 4-9.	Allowable Uses under San Mateo County Zoning	4-50
Table 4-10.	Zoning Definitions for Woodside.....	4-50
Table 4-11.	Acreage, Existing Land Use, and Allowable Land Use of Management Zones	4-51
Table 4-12.	Special-Status Plant Species.....	4-53
Table 4-13.	Special-Status Animal Species	4-56
Table 5-1.	Traffic Projections for Stanford HCP Development Scenarios.....	5-23
Table 5-2.	Traffic Rates Comparison between Stanford GUP and Habitat Conservation Plan	5-23
Table 5-3.	Effects of Implementation of the Monitoring and Management Plans on Covered Species	5-36
Table 5-4.	Summary Estimated Loss of Zone 1 and 2 Habitat (HCP Table 5-2)	5-45
Table 5-5.	Summary of Estimated Take of Individuals for both Direct and Indirect Effects (HCP Table 5-1).....	5-45
Table 5-6.	Comparison of Alternatives.....	5-78

List of Appendices

Appendix A. Scoping Report

Appendix B. Habitat Conservation Plan