UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE
Southwest Region
501 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 4200
Long Beach, California 90802-4213

In response refer to:
2008/04445

DEC 29 2008

Michael Kinsey
Supervisory Wildlife Biologist
Bureau of Reclamation,
South-Central California Area Office
1243 N Street
Fresno, California 93721-1813

Dear Mr. Kinsey:

This letter transmits NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) biological opinion (BO) (Enclosure 1) based on our review of the San Luis Water District (SLWD) and Panoche Water District (PWD) Interim Renewal Contracts. The NMFS BO reviews their effects on Federally listed endangered Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), threatened Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*), threatened Central Valley steelhead (*O. mykiss*), and their designated critical habitat. NMFS BO also reviews the Contract's effects on the threatened southern Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of North American green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) and their proposed critical habitat in accordance with section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). Your initial request for formal section 7 consultation on this project was received on July 3, 2008. Additional information concerning the proposed green sturgeon critical habitat designation, with a request for inclusion of a conference opinion, was received on October 23, 2008.

The information provided includes the June 27, 2008, Biological Assessment of the Panoche and San Luis Water Districts Interim Water Service Contract, and the October 16, 2008, Supplement to the Biological Assessment of the Panoche and San Luis Water Districts Interim Water Service Contract. A complete administrative record of this consultation is on file at the Sacramento Area Office of NMFS.

Based on the best available scientific and commercial information, the BO concludes that the SLWD and PWD Interim Renewal Contracts, as presented by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species or destroy or adversely modify designated or proposed critical habitat. NMFS also has included an incidental take statement with reasonable and prudent measures and non-discretionary terms and conditions that are necessary and appropriate to avoid, minimize, or monitor incidental take of listed salmonids associated with the project. While the terms and conditions also address take of North American green sturgeon, the section 9 prohibitions against taking of listed species and the terms and

conditions of this biological opinion will not apply to North American green sturgeon until the final section 4(d) ruling under the ESA has been published in the Federal Register.

This letter also transmits NMFS' Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) conservation recommendations for Pacific salmon (*O. tshawytscha)* as required by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA) as amended (16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; Enclosure 2). The document concludes that execution of the SLWD and PWD Interim Renewal Contracts will adversely affect the EFH of Pacific salmon in the action area and adopts certain terms and conditions of the incidental take statement and the ESA conservation recommendations of the biological opinion as the EFH conservation recommendations.

Reclamation has a statutory requirement under section 305(b)(4)(B) of the MSA to submit a detailed response in writing to NMFS within 30 days of receipt of these conservation recommendations that includes a description of the measures proposed for avoiding, mitigating, or offsetting the impact of the activity on EFH (50 CFR 600.920 (j)). If unable to complete a final response within 30 days, Reclamation should provide an interim written response within 30 days before submitting its final response.

Please contact Mr. Douglas Hampton in our Sacramento Area Office at (916) 930-3610 or via e-mail at Douglas.Hampton@noaa.gov, if you have any questions regarding this document or require additional information.

Sincerely,

for Rodney R. McInnis
Regional Administrator

Enclosures (2)

cc: Copy to file – ARN# 151422SWR2008SA00269
NMFS-PRD, Long Beach, CA

Enclosure 1

BIOLOGICAL AND CONFERENCE OPINION

ACTION AGENCY: U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

ACTIVITY: **San Luis Water District and Panoche Water District Interim Renewal Contracts**

CONSULTATION CONDUCTED BY: Southwest Region, National Marine Fisheries Service

FILE NUMBER: **2008/04445**
ARN: 151422SWR2008SA00269

DATE ISSUED: DEC 29 2008

I. CONSULTATION HISTORY

On July 3, 2008, NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) received a letter from the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) requesting initiation of formal section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) for the proposed San Luis Water District (SLWD) and Panoche Water District (PWD) Interim Renewal Contracts (IRC). BOR also requested consultation under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA) for potential effects to the Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) of Pacific salmon and groundfish (*e.g.*, starry flounder) designated under the MSA. A biological assessment (BA) describing the potential effects resulting from execution of the SLWD and PWD IRC's was prepared by BOR and included with the initiation package.

On October 30, 2008, NMFS received a letter from BOR requesting a conference opinion on the effects of the proposed action on proposed critical habitat for the Southern Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of North American green sturgeon be included with the biological opinion already under consultation for the proposed project. A supplement to the BA was included with this request.

On November 5, 2008, NMFS Fishery Biologist, Douglas Hampton, spoke with BOR's Supervisory Wildlife Biologist, Michael Kinsey, via telephone to clarify some questions regarding the analysis and extent of effects that was presented in the BA, and requested some additional supporting information in order to complete the consultation.

On November 6, 7, and 12, 2008, Michael Kinsey at BOR provided the additional information requested in three separate email responses.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

The proposed Federal action is the execution of interim water service contracts for the delivery of water from the Central Valley Project (CVP) to the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts for a period of 26 months, beginning on January 1, 2009, and running through February 28, 2011.

Effects to listed species and designated and proposed critical habitat resulting from the combined operational effects of the CVP and State Water Project (SWP) are being consulted on separately in the Operations Criteria and Plan (OCAP) Biological Opinion (BO). Therefore, this BO (analyzing the effects of implementation of the SLWD and PWD IRC's) will not analyze the operational effects to listed species and proposed or designated critical habitat since those Federal activities (*e.g.*, storing, pumping, and releasing water for agricultural and municipal and industrial uses) are being analyzed in the OCAP consultation that is ongoing concurrently with this consultation. Likewise, this consultation will not analyze potential effects resulting from the following independent actions that would require separate permitting and consultations since they are not interrelated or interdependent to the proposed action of executing the SLWD and PWD IRC's:

- Any future water assignments of CVP water service contracts involving San Luis Unit contractors.
- Water transfers and exchanges involving San Luis Unit contractors.
- Inclusions and exclusions to the district boundaries for the San Luis Unit contractors, including land annexations.
- Any changes in place or purpose of use.
- Renewal of long-term water service contracts.
- Extension of the Grasslands Bypass Project (GBP).
- Other measures/activities that are considered as part of the environmental baseline, such as the Central Valley Habitat Monitoring Program, the current GBP, the Central Valley Project Conservation Program, or Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA) activities designated b1 (other) which will also continue to achieve separate program-specific ESA compliance.
- Other programs in place under CVPIA or portions of the CALFED program.

Instead, this consultation has as its primary focus the potential effects of the delivery of CVP water to SLWD and PWD, and the resulting discharge of agricultural drainage to streams in which listed species and proposed and designated critical habitats under NMFS's jurisdiction occur.

A. Project Activities

The interim water service contracts will provide for the continued delivery of the same quantities of CVP water contract amounts to the same lands currently covered under the existing long-term water service contracts. Like the long-term water service contracts for contractors in the San Luis Unit, the interim water service contracts will authorize deliveries of CVP water from both the San Luis and Delta-Mendota Canals, if those contractors have the capability to take CVP

water via both canals. Water deliveries will be made through existing CVP facilities, and the execution of these interim water service contracts does not require the construction of any new facilities, the installation of any new structures, or the modification of any existing facilities, and allows the CVP water to be beneficially used within the authorized place of use for CVP water south of the Delta.

The execution of the IRC's will allow for the delivery of full contract amounts specifically detailed in the contracts and in the BA. The contracts contain a provision which authorizes BOR to impose shortages that result from hydrologic conditions and the requirements of laws and regulations. Other contract terms include new provisions required by CVPIA for water measurement and conservation. The OCAP describes in detail the hydrological, climatological, geological, statutory, and regulatory constraints placed upon the delivery and conveyance systems of the CVP limiting the ability of the CVP to convey water through project facilities, and in almost all years these preclude the delivery of full contract amounts to CVP contractors. This consultation however, considers water deliveries up to the full contract amounts for the two San Luis Unit contractors nevertheless. Deliveries of water that would be over the contract amounts are not part of this action, and would require separate environmental review under the ESA and the National Environmental Policy Act.

In an effort to meet water quality objectives established by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, primarily that of reducing the amount of selenium discharged into the San Joaquin River system over time, agricultural drainage is discharged from the PWD and the Charleston Drainage District of the SLWD (the sole source of drainage originating in the SLWD) to the GBP, which was developed for that purpose and has an existing use agreement that will expire on December 31, 2009, during the period of the IRC's now being considered. A new use agreement is currently being negotiated to continue using the GBP for agricultural drainage discharges from the SLWD and PWD. Both Districts have also adopted the Westside Regional Drainage Plan that includes the following actions intended to reduce agricultural drainage to zero subsurface discharge:

- Lining District water delivery facilities to the extent that available funding will allow.
- Encouraging grower participation in programs to acquire and install high efficiency (*i.e.*, drip) irrigation systems.
- Operation of the PWD Russell Avenue Recirculation System which captures and re-circulates drainage generated within the PWD.
- Continuing drainwater displacement projects such as road wetting for dust control.
- Continuing to develop, manage, and utilize 6,000 acres of regional reuse facilities where collected subsurface drainage is applied to salt tolerant crops under monitored and controlled conditions.
- Participating in well installation and pumping activities of the Westside Regional Drainage Plan to reduce downslope migrations or hydraulic pressure on lower lying lands.

B. Description of the Action Area

The action area is defined as all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action and not merely the immediate area involved in the action (50 CFR § 402.02). The action area for the purposes of this biological opinion includes the waters of Mud Slough downstream from the San Luis Drain (which collects consolidated subsurface drainage of the GBP), and the San Joaquin River from the confluence of Mud Slough (*i.e.*, just upstream from the confluence of the Merced and San Joaquin rivers) through the southern Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, which includes waterways southwest of the City of Stockton, including Old River and Middle River, down to the point where State and Federal pumping facilities divert a substantial portion of those waters to the California Aqueduct and the Delta-Mendota Canal, and thereby influence the direction of flow, at approximately the confluence with the Grant Line and Victoria canals, respectively. Operation of the State and Federal pumps combined with tidal influence causes a reverse (*i.e.*, upstream) flow in the mainstem San Joaquin River from the Delta to approximately the confluence with Old River just below Mossdale. Therefore, the waters of Mud Slough enter the San Joaquin River and flow downstream to Old River where they converge with waters flowing upstream in the San Joaquin River from the Delta and entering Old River as well. This segment of the San Joaquin River and the associated waterways described above pass through portions of Merced, Stanislaus, and San Joaquin counties. The direct and indirect effects of the proposed project are anticipated to encompass the entire width of the river channel from levee to levee, along the entire length of the reach defined above. The scope and sensitivity of these impacts will be discussed in the effects analysis section of the opinion.

III. STATUS OF THE SPECIES AND CRITICAL HABITAT

The following Federally listed species evolutionarily significant units (ESU) or distinct population segments (DPS) and designated or proposed critical habitat occur in the action area and may be affected by executing the proposed San Luis Water District and Panoche Water District Interim Renewal Contracts:

Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon ESU (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*)
Listed as endangered (June 28, 2005, 70 FR 37160)

Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*)
Listed as threatened (June 28, 2005, 70 FR 37160)

Central Valley steelhead DPS (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)
Listed as threatened (January 5, 2006, 71 FR 834)

Central Valley steelhead designated critical habitat
(September 2, 2005, 70 FR 52488)

Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*)
Listed as threatened (April 7, 2006, 71 FR 17757)

Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon proposed critical habitat
(September 8, 2008, 73 FR 52084)

A. Species and Critical Habitat Listing Status

In 2005, NMFS completed an updated status review of 16 salmon ESUs, including Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon and Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, and concluded that the species' status should remain as previously listed (June 28, 2005, 70 FR 37160). On January 5, 2006, NMFS published a final listing determination for 10 steelhead DPSs, including Central Valley steelhead. The new listing concludes that Central Valley steelhead will remain listed as threatened (71 FR 834).

Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon were originally listed as threatened in August 1989, under emergency provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, and formally listed as threatened in November 1990 (55 FR 46515). The ESU consists of only one population that is confined to the upper Sacramento River in California's Central Valley. The Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery (LSNFH) population was included as part of the listed ESU as of June 28, 2005 (70 FR 37160). NMFS designated critical habitat for winter-run Chinook salmon on June 16, 1993 (58 FR 33212). The ESU was reclassified as endangered on January 4, 1994 (59 FR 440), due to increased variability of run sizes, expected weak returns as a result of two small year classes in 1991 and 1993, and a 99 percent decline between 1966 and 1991. Critical habitat was delineated as the Sacramento River from Keswick Dam at river mile (RM) 302 to Chipps Island (RM 0) at the westward margin of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (Delta), including Kimball Island, Winter Island, and Brown's Island; all waters from Chipps Island westward to the Carquinez Bridge, including Honker Bay, Grizzly Bay, Suisun Bay, and the Carquinez Strait; all waters of San Pablo Bay westward of the Carquinez Bridge, and all waters of San Francisco Bay north of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. Designated critical habitat for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon does not occur within the action area for the proposed SLWD and PWD IRC.

Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon were listed as threatened on September 16, 1999 (64 FR 50394). This ESU consists of spring-run Chinook salmon occurring in the Sacramento River basin. The Feather River Hatchery (FRH) spring-run Chinook salmon population has been included as part of the Central Valley ESU in the most recent modification of the listing status (June 28, 2005, 70 FR 37160). Critical habitat was designated for Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon on September 2, 2005 (70 FR 52488). Critical habitat includes the stream channels to the ordinary high water line within designated stream reaches in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems and parts of the Delta. Designated critical habitat for the Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon does not occur in the action area for the proposed SLWD and PWD IRC.

Central Valley steelhead were listed as threatened under the ESA on March 19, 1998 (63 FR 13347). This DPS consists of steelhead populations in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River (inclusive of and downstream of the Merced River) basins in California's Central Valley. The Coleman National Fish Hatchery and FRH steelhead populations have been included as part of

the Central Valley steelhead DPS in the most recent modification of the Central Valley steelhead listing status (January 5, 2006, 71 FR 834). These populations were previously included in the DPS but were not deemed essential for conservation and thus not part of the listed steelhead population. Critical habitat was designated for Central Valley steelhead on September 2, 2005 (70 FR 52488). Critical habitat includes the stream channels to the ordinary high water line within designated stream reaches such as those of the American, Feather, and Yuba Rivers, and Deer, Mill, Battle, Antelope, and Clear Creeks in the Sacramento River basin; the Calaveras, Mokelumne, Stanislaus, and Tuolumne Rivers in the San Joaquin River basin; and, the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and Delta. Designated critical habitat for the Central Valley steelhead is found within the action area for the proposed SLWD and PWD IRC.

The Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon was listed as threatened on April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17757). The Southern DPS presently contains only a single spawning population in the Sacramento River, and adult, sub-adult, and juveniles may occur within the action area. NMFS proposed the designation of critical habitat for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon on September 8, 2008 (73 FR 52084). The areas proposed for designation include: coastal U.S. marine waters within 110 meters (m) depth from Monterey Bay, California (including Monterey Bay), north to Cape Flattery, Washington, including the Strait of Juan de Fuca, Washington, to its United States boundary; the Sacramento River, lower Feather River, and lower Yuba River in California; the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun, San Pablo, and San Francisco bays in California; the lower Columbia River estuary; and certain coastal bays and estuaries in California (Humboldt Bay), Oregon (Coos Bay, Winchester Bay, and Yaquina Bay), and Washington (Willapa Bay and Grays Harbor). Proposed critical habitat for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon is found within the action area for the proposed SLWD and PWD IRC.

B. Species Life History and Population Dynamics

1. Chinook Salmon

a. *General Life History*

Chinook salmon exhibit two generalized freshwater life history types (Healey 1991). "Stream-type" Chinook salmon, enter freshwater months before spawning and reside in freshwater for a year or more following emergence, whereas "ocean-type" Chinook salmon spawn soon after entering freshwater and migrate to the ocean as fry or parr within their first year. Spring-run Chinook salmon exhibit a stream-type life history. Adults enter freshwater in the spring, hold over summer, spawn in fall, and the juveniles typically spend a year or more in freshwater before emigrating. Winter-run Chinook salmon are somewhat anomalous in that they have characteristics of both stream- and ocean-type races (Healey 1991). Adults enter freshwater in winter or early spring, and delay spawning until spring or early summer (stream-type). However, juvenile winter-run Chinook salmon migrate to sea after only 4 to 7 months of river life (ocean-type). Adequate instream flows and cool water temperatures are more critical for the survival of Chinook salmon exhibiting a stream-type life history due to over summering by adults and/or juveniles.

Chinook salmon typically mature between 2 and 6 years of age (Myers *et al.* 1998). Freshwater entry and spawning timing generally are thought to be related to local water temperature and flow regimes. Runs are designated on the basis of adult migration timing; however, distinct runs also differ in the degree of maturation at the time of river entry, thermal regime and flow characteristics of their spawning site, and the actual time of spawning (Myers *et al.* 1998). Both spring-run and winter-run Chinook salmon tend to enter freshwater as immature fish, migrate far upriver, and delay spawning for weeks or months. For comparison, fall-run Chinook salmon enter freshwater at an advanced stage of maturity, move rapidly to their spawning areas on the mainstem or lower tributaries of the rivers, and spawn within a few days or weeks of freshwater entry (Healey 1991).

During their upstream migration, adult Chinook salmon require stream flows sufficient to provide olfactory and other orientation cues used to locate their natal streams. Adequate stream flows are necessary to allow adult passage to upstream holding habitat. The preferred temperature range for upstream migration is 38 °F to 56 °F (Bell 1991, CDFG 1998). Boles (1988) recommends water temperatures below 65 °F for adult Chinook salmon migration, and Lindley *et al.* (2004) report that adult migration is blocked when temperatures reach 70 °F, and that fish can become stressed as temperatures approach 70 °F. Reclamation reports that spring-run Chinook salmon holding in upper watershed locations prefer water temperatures below 60 °F; although salmon can tolerate temperatures up to 65 °F before they experience an increased susceptibility to disease.

Information on the migration rates of adult Chinook salmon in freshwater is scant and primarily comes from the Columbia River basin where information regarding migration behavior is needed to assess the effects of dams on travel times and passage (Matter *et al.* 2003). Keefer *et al.* (2004) found migration rates of Chinook salmon ranging from approximately 10 kilometers (km) per day to greater than 35 km per day and to be primarily correlated with date, and secondarily with discharge, year, and reach, in the Columbia River basin. Matter *et al.* (2003) documented migration rates of adult Chinook salmon ranging from 29 to 32 km per day in the Snake River. Adult Chinook salmon inserted with sonic tags and tracked throughout the Delta and lower Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers were observed exhibiting substantial upstream and downstream movement in a random fashion while on their upstream migration (California Bay-Delta Authority (CALFED) 2001). Adult salmonids migrating upstream are assumed to make greater use of pool and mid-channel habitat than channel margins (Stillwater Sciences 2004), particularly larger salmon such as Chinook salmon, as described by Hughes (2004). Adults are thought to exhibit crepuscular behavior during their upstream migrations; meaning that they primarily are active during twilight hours. Recent hydroacoustic monitoring showed peak upstream movement of adult Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon in lower Mill Creek, a tributary to the Sacramento River, occurring in the 4-hour period before sunrise and again after sunset.

Spawning Chinook salmon require clean, loose gravel in swift, relatively shallow riffles or along the margins of deeper runs, and suitable water temperatures, depths, and velocities for redd construction and adequate oxygenation of incubating eggs. Chinook salmon spawning typically occurs in gravel beds that are located at the tails of holding pools (USFWS 1995a). The range of water depths and velocities in spawning beds that Chinook salmon find acceptable is very broad.

The upper preferred water temperature for spawning Chinook salmon is 55 °F to 57 °F (Chambers 1956, Smith 1973, Bjornn and Reiser 1991, and Snider 2001).

During the 4 to 6 week period when alevins remain in the gravel, they utilize their yolk-sac to nourish their bodies. As their yolk-sac is depleted, fry begin to emerge from the gravel to begin exogenous feeding in their natal stream. The post-emergent fry disperse to the margins of their natal stream, seeking out shallow waters with slower currents, finer sediments, and bank cover such as overhanging and submerged vegetation, root wads, and fallen woody debris, and begin feeding on zooplankton, small insects, and other micro-crustaceans. As they switch from endogenous nourishment to exogenous feeding, the fry's yolk-sac is reabsorbed, and the belly suture closes over the former location of the yolk-sac (button-up fry). Fry typically range from 25 mm to 40 mm during this stage. Some fry may take up residence in their natal stream for several weeks to a year or more, while others actively migrate, or are displaced downstream by the stream's current. Once started downstream, fry may continue downstream to the estuary and rear, or may take up residence in river reaches along the way for a period of time ranging from weeks to a year (Healey 1991).

Rearing fry seek nearshore habitats containing beneficial aspects such as riparian vegetation and associated substrates important for providing aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates, predator avoidance, and slower velocities for resting (NMFS 1996a). The benefits of shallow water habitats for salmonid rearing also have recently been realized as shallow water habitat has been found to be more productive than the main river channels, supporting higher growth rates, partially due to higher prey consumption rates, as well as favorable environmental temperatures (Sommer *et al.* 2001).

When juvenile Chinook salmon reach a length of 50 to 57 mm, they move into deeper water with higher current velocities, but still seek shelter and velocity refugia to minimize energy expenditures. In the mainstems of larger rivers, juveniles tend to migrate along the margins and avoid the elevated water velocities found in the thalweg of the channel. When the channel of the river is greater than 9 to 10 feet in depth, juvenile salmon tend to inhabit the surface waters (Healey 1982). Migrational cues, such as increasing turbidity from runoff, increased flows, changes in day length, or intraspecific competition from other fish in their natal streams may spur outmigration of juveniles when they have reached the appropriate stage of maturation (Kjelson *et al.* 1982, Brandes and McLain 2001).

Similar to adult movement, juvenile salmonid downstream movement is primarily crepuscular. Martin *et al.* (2001) found that the daily migration of juveniles passing Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD) is highest in the four hour period prior to sunrise. Juvenile Chinook salmon migration rates vary considerably presumably depending on the physiological stage of the juvenile and hydrologic conditions. Kjelson *et al.* (1982) found fry Chinook salmon to travel as fast as 30 km per day in the Sacramento River and Sommer *et al.* (2001) found rates ranging from approximately 0.5 miles up to more than 6 miles per day in the Yolo Bypass. As Chinook salmon begin the smoltification stage, they prefer to rear further downstream where ambient salinity is up to 1.5 to 2.5 parts per thousand (Healey 1980, Levy and Northcote 1981).

Fry and parr may rear within riverine or estuarine habitats of the Sacramento River, the Delta, and their tributaries. In addition, Central Valley Chinook salmon juveniles have been observed rearing in the lower reaches of non-natal tributaries and intermittent streams in the Sacramento Valley during the winter months (Maslin *et al.* 1997, Snider 2001). Within the Delta, juvenile Chinook salmon forage in shallow areas with protective cover, such as intertidal and subtidal mudflats, marshes, channels, and sloughs (McDonald 1960, Dunford 1975). Cladocerans, copepods, amphipods, and larvae of diptera, as well as small arachnids and ants are common prey items (Kjelson *et al.* 1982, Sommer *et al.* 2001, MacFarlane and Norton 2002). Shallow water habitats are more productive than the main river channels, supporting higher growth rates, partially due to higher prey consumption rates, as well as favorable environmental temperatures (Sommer *et al.* 2001). Optimal water temperatures for the growth of juvenile Chinook salmon in the Delta are between 54 °F to 57 °F (Brett 1952). In Suisun and San Pablo Bays water temperatures reach 54 °F by February in a typical year. Other portions of the Delta (*i.e.*, South Delta and Central Delta) can reach 70 °F by February in a dry year. However, cooler temperatures are usually the norm until after the spring runoff has ended.

Within the estuarine habitat, juvenile Chinook salmon movements are dictated by the tidal cycles, following the rising tide into shallow water habitats from the deeper main channels, and returning to the main channels when the tide recedes (Levy and Northcote 1982, Levings 1982, Levings *et al.* 1986, Healey 1991). As juvenile Chinook salmon increase in length, they tend to school in the surface waters of the main and secondary channels and sloughs, following the tides into shallow water habitats to feed (Allen and Hassler 1986). In Suisun Marsh, Moyle *et al.* (1989) reported that Chinook salmon fry tend to remain close to the banks and vegetation, near protective cover, and in dead-end tidal channels. Kjelson *et al.* (1982) reported that juvenile Chinook salmon demonstrated a diel migration pattern, orienting themselves to nearshore cover and structure during the day, but moving into more open, offshore waters at night. The fish also distributed themselves vertically in relation to ambient light. During the night, juveniles were distributed randomly in the water column, but would school up during the day into the upper 3 meters of the water column. Available data indicates that juvenile Chinook salmon use Suisun Marsh extensively both as a migratory pathway and rearing area as they move downstream to the Pacific Ocean. Juvenile Chinook salmon were found to spend about 40 days migrating through the Delta to the mouth of San Francisco Bay and grew little in length or weight until they reached the Gulf of the Farallones (MacFarlane and Norton 2002). Based on the mainly ocean-type life history observed (*i.e.*, fall-run Chinook salmon) MacFarlane and Norton (2002) concluded that unlike other salmonid populations in the Pacific Northwest, Central Valley Chinook salmon show little estuarine dependence and may benefit from expedited ocean entry.

b. *Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon*

The distribution of winter-run Chinook salmon spawning and rearing historically was limited to the upper Sacramento River and its tributaries, where spring-fed streams provided cold water throughout the summer, allowing for spawning, egg incubation, and rearing during the mid-summer period (Slater 1963, Yoshiyama *et al.* 1998). The construction of Shasta Dam in 1943 blocked access to all of these waters except Battle Creek, which has its own impediments to upstream migration (*i.e.*, the fish weir at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery and other small hydroelectric facilities situated upstream of the weir) (Moyle *et al.* 1989, NMFS 1997, 1998a,b).

Approximately, 299 miles of historical tributary spawning habitat in the upper Sacramento River is now inaccessible to winter-run Chinook salmon. Yoshiyama *et al.* (2001) estimated that in 1938, the Upper Sacramento had a "potential spawning capacity" of 14,303 redds. Most components of the winter-run Chinook salmon life history (*e.g.*, spawning, incubation, freshwater rearing) have been compromised by the habitat blockage in the upper Sacramento River.

Adult winter-run Chinook salmon enter San Francisco Bay from November through June (Hallock and Fisher 1985) and migrate past the RBDD from mid-December through early August (NMFS 1997). The majority of the run passes RBDD from January through May, with the peak passage occurring in mid-March (Hallock and Fisher 1985). The timing of migration may vary somewhat due to changes in river flows, dam operations, and water year type (Table 1; Yoshiyama *et al.* 1998, Moyle 2002). Spawning occurs primarily from mid-April to mid-August, with the peak activity occurring in May and June in the Sacramento River reach between Keswick Dam and RBDD (Vogel and Marine 1991). The majority of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon spawners are 3 years old.

Table 1. The temporal occurrence of adult (a) and juvenile (b) Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River. Darker shades indicate months of greatest relative abundance.



Source: [1]Yoshiyama *et al.* 1998; Moyle 2002; [2]Myers *et al.* 1998; [3]Martin *et al.* 2001; [4]Snider and Titus 2000; [5]USFWS 2001a, b

Relative Abundance: = High = Medium = Low

Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon fry begin to emerge from the gravel in late June to early July and continue through October (Fisher 1994). Emigration of juvenile Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon past RBDD may begin as early as mid July, typically peaks in September, and can continue through March in dry years (Vogel and Marine 1991, NMFS 1997). Juvenile Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon occur in the Delta primarily from November through early May based on data collected from trawls in the Sacramento River at

West Sacramento (RM 57; USFWS 2001a,b). The timing of migration may vary somewhat due to changes in river flows, dam operations, and water year type. Winter-run Chinook salmon juveniles remain in the Delta until they reach a fork length of approximately 118 millimeters (mm) and are from 5 to 10 months of age, and then begin emigrating to the ocean as early as November and continue through May (Fisher 1994, Myers *et al.* 1998).

Historical Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon population estimates, which included males and females, reached approximately 100,000 fish in the 1960s, but declined to under 200 fish in the 1990s (Good *et al.* 2005). Population estimates in 2003 (8,133), 2004 (7,784), 2005 (15,730) and 2006 (17,205) show a recent increase in the population size (CDFG GrandTab, 2008) and a 3-year average of 13,573 (2004 through 2006) (Table 2, Figure 1). The 2006 run was the highest since the 1994 listing. Overall, abundance measures over the last decade suggest that the abundance is increasing (Good *et al.* 2005). However, escapement estimates for 2007 show a precipitous decline in escapement numbers based on redd counts and carcass counts. Escapement estimates place the adult escapement numbers for 2007 at 2,488 fish (CDFG GrandTab, 2008). The saltwater life history traits and habitat requirements of winter-run Chinook salmon and fall-run Chinook salmon are similar. Therefore, the unusually poor ocean conditions that are suspected to have contributed to the drastic decline in returning fall run Chinook salmon populations coast wide in 2007 (Varanasi and Bartoo 2008) are likely to have also contributed to the observed decrease in the winter-run Chinook salmon spawning population in 2007.

Table 2. Winter-run Chinook salmon population estimates from RBDD counts (1986 to 2001) and carcass counts (2001 to 2007), and corresponding cohort replacement rates for the years since 1986 (CDFG 2004, CDFG Grand Tab February 2008).

Year	In-River Population Estimate	5-Year Moving Average of Population Estimate	Cohort Replacement Rate	5-Year Moving Average of Cohort Replacement Rate	NMFS Calculated Juvenile Production Estimate (JPE)[a]
1986	2,566				
1987	2,165				
1988	2,857				
1989	649		0.25		
1990	411	1,730	0.19		
1991	177	1,252	0.06		40,025
1992	1,203	1,059	1.85		272,032
1993	378	564	0.92	0.66	85,476
1994	144	463	0.81	0.77	32,562
1995	1,166	614	0.97	0.92	263,665
1996	1,012	781	2.68	1.45	228,842
1997	836	707	5.81	2.24	189,043
1998	2,903	1,212	2.49	2.55	656,450
1999	3,264	1,836	3.23	3.03	738,082
2000	1,263	1,856	1.51	3.14	285,600
2001	8,120	3,277	2.80	3.17	1,836,160
2002	7,360	4,582	2.25	2.46	1,664,303
2003	8,133	5,628	6.44	3.25	1,839,100
2004	7,784	6,532	0.96	2.79	1,760,181
2005	15,730	9,425	2.14	2.92	3,556,995

2006	17,205	11,242	2.12	2.78	3,890,534
2007	2,488	10,268	0.32	2.39	562,607
Median	2,327	1,783	1.85	2.55	562,607
Average	3,992	3,502	1.99	2.30	1,053,039
Gmean[b]	1,907	2,074	1.22	2.09	479,040

[a]JPE estimates were derived from NMFS calculations utilizing RBDD winter-run counts through 2001, and carcass counts thereafter for deriving adult escapement numbers.
[b]Gmean is the geometric mean of the data set in that column.

Figure 1:
Annual estimated Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon escapement population.
Sources: PFMC 2004, CDFG 2004, NMFS 1997
Trendline for Figure 1 is an exponential function: $Y=24.765\ e^{-0.0789x}$, $R^2=0.2788$.



Two current methods are utilized to estimate the juvenile production of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon: the Juvenile Production Estimate (JPE) method, and the Juvenile Production Index (JPI) method (Gaines and Poytress 2004). Gaines and Poytress (2004) estimated the juvenile population of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon exiting the upper Sacramento River at RBDD to be 3,707,916 juveniles per year using the JPI method between the years 1995 and 2003 (excluding 2000 and 2001). Using the JPE method, they estimated an average of 3,857,036 juveniles exiting the upper Sacramento River at RBDD between the years of 1996 and 2003. Averaging these two estimates yields an estimated population size of 3,782,476.

Based on the RBDD counts, the population has been growing rapidly since the 1990s with positive short-term trends (excluding the 2007 preliminary escapement numbers). An age-structured density-independent model of spawning escapement by Botsford and Brittnacker (1998 as referenced in Good *et al.* 2005) assessing the viability of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon found the species was certain to fall below the quasi-extinction threshold of 3 consecutive spawning runs with fewer than 50 females (Good *et al.* 2005). Lindley *et al.* (2003) assessed the viability of the population using a Bayesian model based on spawning escapement

that allowed for density dependence and a change in population growth rate in response to conservation measures found a biologically significant expected quasi-extinction probability of 28 percent. Although the status of the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon population appears to be improving, there is only one population, and it depends on cold-water releases from Shasta Dam, which could be vulnerable to a prolonged drought (Good *et al.* 2005).

This population remains below the draft recovery goals established for the run (NMFS 1997, 1998a) and the naturally-spawned component of the ESU is dependent on one extant population in the Sacramento River. In general, the draft recovery criteria for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon include a mean annual spawning abundance over any 13 consecutive years of at least 10,000 females with a concurrent geometric mean of the cohort replacement rate greater than 1.0 (NMFS 1997). Recent trends in Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon abundance and cohort replacement remain positive, indicating some recovery since the listing. However, the population remains well below the recovery goals of the draft recovery plan, and is particularly susceptible to extinction because of the reduction of the genetic pool to one population.

(1) Viable Salmonid Population Summary for Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon

Abundance. Redd and carcass surveys, and fish counts, suggest that the abundance of winter-run Chinook salmon has been increasing. The depressed 2007 abundance estimate is an exception to this trend and may represent a new cycle of poor ocean productivity. Population growth is estimated to be positive in the short-term trend at 0.26; however, the long-term trend is negative, averaging -0.14. Recent winter-run Chinook salmon abundance represents only 3 percent of the maximum post-1967, 5-year geometric mean, and is not yet well established (Good *et al.* 2005).

Productivity. ESU productivity has been positive over the short term, and adult escapement and juvenile production have been increasing annually (Good *et al.* 2005). The long-term trend for the ESU remains negative, however, as the cohort replacement rate (CRR) estimate suggests a reduction in productivity for the 1998-2001 cohorts.

Spatial Structure. The greatest risk factor for winter-run Chinook salmon lies with their spatial structure (Good *et al.* 2005). The remnant population cannot access historical winter-run habitat and must be artificially maintained in the Sacramento River by a regulated, finite cold water pool from Shasta Dam. Winter-run Chinook salmon require cold water temperatures in summer that simulate their upper basin habitat, and they are more likely to be exposed to the impacts of drought in a lower basin environment. Battle Creek remains the most feasible opportunity for the ESU to expand its spatial structure, which currently is limited to the upper 25-mile reach of the mainstem Sacramento River below Keswick Dam.

Diversity. The second highest risk factor for the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon ESU has been the detrimental effects on its diversity. The present winter-run population has resulted from the introgression of several stocks that occurred when Shasta Dam blocked access to the upper watershed. A second genetic bottleneck occurred with the construction of Keswick Dam; there may have been several others within the recent past (Good *et al.* 2005). Concerns of

genetic introgression with hatchery populations are also increasing. Hatchery-origin winter-run Chinook salmon from LSNFH have made up more than 5 percent of the natural spawning run in recent years and in 2005, it exceeded 18 percent of the natural run. If this proportion of hatchery origin fish from the LSNFH exceeds 15 percent in 2006-2007, Lindley *et al.* (2007) recommends reclassifying the winter-run Chinook population extinction risk as moderate, rather than low, based on the impacts of the hatchery fish over multiple generations of spawners.

Current water operations and habitat management protocols also limit the ability of winter-run Chinook salmon to express behavioral diversity within the ESU. The tightly controlled and managed habitat conditions within the limited spawning habitat for this ESU does not allow for plasticity in traits such as spawn timing, spawning location or migration timing. Any fish that try to spawn or migrate at a time or in an area outside of that which is being tightly managed for are unlikely to succeed. This confinement of suitable habitat conditions to specifically managed times and places further limits the diversity of the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon ESU.

b. *Central Valley Spring-Run Chinook Salmon*

Historically the spring-run Chinook salmon were the second most abundant salmon run in the Central Valley (CDFG 1998). These fish occupied the upper and middle reaches (1,000 to 6,000 foot elevations) of the San Joaquin, American, Yuba, Feather, Sacramento, McCloud and Pit Rivers, with smaller populations in most tributaries with sufficient habitat for over-summering adults (Stone 1874, Rutter 1904, Clark 1929). The Central Valley drainage as a whole is estimated to have supported spring-run Chinook salmon runs as large as 600,000 fish between the late 1880s and 1940s (CDFG 1998). Before the construction of Friant Dam, nearly 50,000 adults were counted in the San Joaquin River alone (Fry 1961). Construction of other low elevation dams in the foothills of the Sierras on the American, Mokelumne, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Rivers extirpated Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon from these watersheds. Naturally-spawning populations of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon currently are restricted to accessible reaches of the upper Sacramento River, Antelope Creek, Battle Creek, Beegum Creek, Big Chico Creek, Butte Creek, Clear Creek, Deer Creek, Feather River, Mill Creek, and Yuba River (CDFG 1998).

Adult Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon leave the ocean to begin their upstream migration in late January and early February (CDFG 1998) and enter the Sacramento River between March and September, primarily in May and June (Table 3; Yoshiyama *et al.* 1998, Moyle 2002). Lindley *et al.* (2007) indicates adult Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon enter native tributaries from the Sacramento River primarily between mid April and mid June. Typically, spring-run Chinook salmon utilize mid- to high-elevation streams that provide appropriate temperatures and sufficient flow, cover, and pool depth to allow over-summering while conserving energy and allowing their gonadal tissue to mature (Yoshiyama *et al.* 1998). Spring-run Chinook salmon spawning occurs between September and October depending on water temperatures. Between 56 and 87 percent of adult spring-run Chinook salmon that enter the Sacramento River basin to spawn are 3 years old (Calkins *et al.* 1940, Fisher 1994).

Table 3. The temporal occurrence of adult (a) and juvenile (b) Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River. Darker shades indicate months of greatest relative abundance.



Source: [1]Yoshiyama *et al.* 1998; [2]Moyle 2002; [3]Myers *et al.* 1998; [4]Lindley *et al.* 2007; [5]CDFG 1998; [6]McReynolds *et al.* 2005; Ward *et al.* 2002, 2003; [7]Snider and Titus 2000

Relative Abundance: = High = Medium = Low

Spring-run Chinook salmon fry emerge from the gravel from November to March (Moyle 2002) and the emigration timing is highly variable, as they may migrate downstream as young-of-the-year (YOY) or as juveniles or yearlings. The modal size of fry migrants at approximately 40 mm between December and April in Mill, Butte, and Deer Creeks reflects a prolonged emergence of fry from the gravel (Lindley *et al.* 2007). Studies in Butte Creek (Ward *et al.* 2002, 2003, McReynolds *et al.* 2005) found the majority of spring-run Chinook salmon migrants to be fry occurring primarily during December, January, and February; and that these movements appeared to be influenced by flow. Small numbers of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon remained in Butte Creek to rear and migrated as yearlings during the following winter and spring. Juvenile emigration patterns in Mill and Deer Creeks are very similar to patterns observed in Butte Creek, with the exception that Mill and Deer Creek juveniles typically exhibit a later YOY migration and an earlier yearling migration (Lindley *et al.* 2007).

Once juveniles emerge from the gravel they initially seek areas of shallow water and low velocities while they finish absorbing the yolk sac and transition to exogenous feeding (Moyle 2002). Many also will disperse downstream during high-flow events. As is the case in other salmonids, there is a shift in microhabitat use by juveniles to deeper faster water as they grow larger. Microhabitat use can be influenced by the presence of predators which can force fish to select areas of heavy cover and suppress foraging in open areas (Moyle 2002). The emigration

period for spring-run Chinook salmon extends from November to early May, with up to 69 percent of the YOY fish outmigrating through the lower Sacramento River and Delta during this period (CDFG 1998). Peak movement of juvenile Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River at Knights Landing occurs in December, and again in March and April. However, juveniles also are observed between November and the end of May (Snider and Titus 2000). Based on the available information, the emigration timing of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon appears highly variable (CDFG 1998). Some fish may begin emigrating soon after emergence from the gravel, whereas others over summer and emigrate as yearlings with the onset of intense fall storms (CDFG 1998).

On the Feather River, significant numbers of spring-run Chinook salmon, as identified by run timing, return to the FRH. In 2002, the FRH reported 4,189 returning spring-run Chinook salmon, which is 22 percent below the 10-year average of 4,727 fish. However, coded-wire tag (CWT) information from these hatchery returns indicates substantial introgression has occurred between fall-run and spring-run Chinook salmon populations within the Feather River system due to hatchery practices. Because Chinook salmon have not always been temporally separated in the hatchery, spring-run and fall-run Chinook salmon have been spawned together, thus compromising the genetic integrity of the spring-run Chinook salmon stock. The number of naturally spawning spring-run Chinook salmon in the Feather River has been estimated only periodically since the 1960s, with estimates ranging from 2 fish in 1978 to 2,908 in 1964. However, the genetic integrity of this population is questionable because of the significant temporal and spatial overlap between spawning populations of spring-run and fall-run Chinook salmon (Good *et al.* 2005). For the reasons discussed above, the Feather River spring-run Chinook population numbers are not included in the following discussion of ESU abundance.

Several actions have been taken to improve habitat conditions for Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, including: improved management of Central Valley water (*i.e.*, through use of CALFED EWA and CVPIA (b)(2) water accounts); implementing new and improved screen and ladder designs at major water diversions along the mainstem Sacramento River and tributaries; and, changes in ocean and inland fishing regulations to minimize harvest. Although protective measures likely have contributed to recent increases in spring-run Chinook salmon abundance, the ESU is still below levels observed from the 1960s through 1990. Threats from hatchery production (*i.e.*, competition for food between naturally spawned and hatchery fish, run hybridization and genomic homogenization), climatic variation, high temperatures, predation, and water diversions still persist.

There have been significant habitat improvements (including the removal of several small dams and increases in summer flows) in Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon watersheds, as well as reduced ocean fisheries and a favorable terrestrial and marine climate. It appears that the three independent spring-run Chinook salmon populations in the Central Valley are growing (Good *et al.* 2005). All three spring-run Chinook salmon populations show signs of positive long- and short-term mean annual population growth rates. Although Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon have some of the highest population growth rates in the Central Valley, other than Butte Creek and the hatchery-influenced Feather River, population sizes are relatively small compared to fall-run Chinook salmon populations (Good *et al.* 2005). Because the Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU is spatially confined to relatively few remaining

streams, continues to display broad fluctuations in abundance, and a large proportion of the population (*i.e.*, in Butte Creek) faces the risk of high mortality rates, the population remains at a moderate to high risk of extinction.

(1) Viable Salmonid Population Summary for Central Valley Spring-Run Chinook Salmon

Abundance. The Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU has experienced a trend of increasing abundance in some natural populations, most dramatically in the Butte Creek population (Good *et al.* 2005). There has been more opportunistic utilization of migration-dependent streams overall. The FRH spring-run stock has been included in the ESU based on its genetic linkage to the natural population and the potential development of a conservation strategy for the hatchery program.

Productivity. The 5-year geometric mean for the extant Butte, Deer, and Mill Creek spring-run populations ranges from 491 to 4,513 fish (Good *et al.* 2005), indicating increasing productivity over the short-term and projected as likely to continue (Good *et al.* 2005). The productivity of the Feather River and Yuba River populations and contribution to the Central Valley spring-run ESU currently is unknown.

Spatial Structure. Spring-run Chinook salmon presence has been reported more frequently in several upper Central Valley creeks, but the sustainability of these runs is unknown. Butte Creek spring-run cohorts have recently utilized all available habitat in the creek; the population cannot expand further and it is unknown if individuals have opportunistically migrated to other systems. The spatial structure of the spring-run ESU has been reduced with the extirpation of all San Joaquin River basin spring-run populations.

Diversity. The Central Valley spring-run ESU is comprised of two genetic complexes. Analysis of natural and hatchery spring-run Chinook salmon stocks in the Central Valley indicates that the southern Cascades spring-run population complex (Mill, Deer, and Butte creeks) retains genetic integrity. The genetic integrity of the Sierra Nevada spring-run population complex has been somewhat compromised. The Feather River spring-run have introgressed with the fall-run, and it appears that the Yuba River population may have been impacted by FRH fish straying into the Yuba River. Additionally, the diversity of the spring-run ESU has been further reduced with the loss of the San Joaquin River basin spring-run populations.

The Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU has displayed broad fluctuations in adult abundance, ranging from 1,403 in 1993 to 24,725 in 1998 (Table 4, Figure 2). Sacramento River tributary populations in Mill, Deer, and Butte Creeks are probably the best trend indicators for the Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU as a whole because these streams contain the primary independent populations within the ESU. Generally, these streams have shown a positive escapement trend since 1991. Escapement numbers are dominated by Butte Creek returns, which have averaged over 7,000 fish since 1995. During this same period, adult returns on Mill Creek have averaged 778 fish, and 1,463 fish on Deer Creek. Although recent trends are positive, annual abundance estimates display a high level of fluctuation, and the overall number of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon remains well below estimates of historic abundance. Additionally, in 2002 and 2003, mean water temperatures in Butte Creek exceeded

21°C for 10 or more days in July (reviewed by Williams 2006). These persistent high water temperatures, coupled with high fish densities, precipitated an outbreak of Columnaris Disease (*Flexibacter columnaris*) and Ichthyophthiriasis (*Ichthyophthirius multifiis*) in the adult spring-run Chinook salmon over-summering in Butte Creek. In 2002, this contributed to the pre-spawning mortality of approximately 20 to 30 percent of the adults. In 2003, approximately 65 percent of the adults succumbed, resulting in a loss of an estimated 11,231 adult spring-run Chinook salmon in Butte Creek.

Table 4. Central Valley Spring-run Chinook salmon population estimates from CDFG Grand Tab (February 2007) with corresponding cohort replacement rates for years since 1986.

Year	Sacramento River Basin Escapement Run Size	5-Year Moving Average of Population Estimate	Cohort Replacement Rate	5-Year Moving Average of Cohort Replacement Rate	NMFS Calculated JPE[a]
1986	24,263	-	-	-	4,396,998
1987	12,675	-	-	-	2,296,993
1988	12,100	-	-	-	2,192,790
1989	7,085	-	0.29	-	1,283,960
1990	5,790	12,383	0.46	-	1,049,277
1991	1,624	7,855	0.13	-	294,305
1992	1,547	5,629	0.22	-	280,351
1993	1,403	3,490	0.24	0.27	254,255
1994	2,546	2,582	1.57	0.52	461,392
1995	9,824	3,389	6.35	1.70	1,780,328
1996	2,701	3,604	1.93	2.06	489,482
1997	1,433	3,581	0.56	2.13	259,692
1998	24,725	8,246	2.52	2.58	4,480,722
1999	6,104	8,957	2.26	2.72	1,106,181
2000	5,577	8,108	3.89	2.23	1,010,677
2001	13,563	10,280	0.55	1.96	2,457,919
2002	13,220	12,638	2.17	2.28	2,395,759
2003	8,902	9,474	1.60	2.09	1,614,329
2004	9,774	10,208	0.72	1.78	1,771,267
2005	14,346	11,962	1.09	1.22	2,599,816
2006	8,700	10,990	0.98	1.31	1,576,634
2007	7,819	9,909	0.80	1.04	1,416,977
Median	8,260	9,692	1.03	1.58	1,496,806
Average	8,897	9,088	1.58	1.61	1,612,277
Gmean[b]	6,460	8,049	1.02	1.39	1,170,650

[a]NMFS calculated the spring-run JPE using returning adult escapement numbers to the Sacramento River basin prior to the opening of the RBDD for spring-run migration, and then escapement to Mill, Deer, and Butte Creeks for the remaining period, and assuming a female to male ratio of 6:4 and pre-spawning mortality of 25 percent. NMFS utilized the female fecundity values in Fisher (1994) for spring-run Chinook salmon (4,900 eggs/female). The remaining survival estimates used the winter-run values for calculating JPE.
[b]Gmean is the geometric mean of the data set in that column.

Figure 2:
Annual estimated Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon escapement population for the Sacramento River watershed for years 1967 through 2003.
Sources: PFMC 2004, CDFG 2004, Yoshiyama *et al.* 1998, GrandTab 2008.
Trendline for Figure 2 is an exponential function: $Y=11909\ e^{-0.0187x}$, $R^2 = 0.0629$.



Lindley *et al.* (2007) indicated that the spring-run Chinook salmon populations in Butte and Deer Creek had a low risk of extinction, according to their PVA model and the other population viability criteria (*i.e.*, population size, population decline, catastrophic events, and hatchery influence). The Mill Creek population of spring-run Chinook salmon is at moderate extinction risk according to the PVA model, but appears to satisfy the other viability criteria for low-risk status. However, like the winter-run Chinook salmon ESU, the Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU fails to meet the "representation and redundancy rule" since only one of the three diversity groups that historically contained viable populations continues to support viable populations today (the northern Sierra Nevada). The spring-run Chinook salmon populations that formerly occurred in the basalt and porous-lava region and southern Sierra Nevada region have been extirpated. The northwestern California region contains a few ephemeral populations of spring-run Chinook salmon that are likely dependent on the Northern Sierra populations for their continued existence. Over the long term, these remaining populations are considered to be vulnerable to catastrophic events, such as volcanic eruptions from Mount Lassen or large forest fires due to the close proximity of their headwaters to each other. Drought is also considered a significant threat to the viability of the spring-run Chinook

salmon populations in these three watersheds due to their close proximity to each other. One large event could eliminate all three populations.

2. Central Valley Steelhead

Steelhead can be divided into two life history types, summer-run steelhead and winter-run steelhead, based on their state of sexual maturity at the time of river entry and the duration of their spawning migration, stream-maturing and ocean-maturing. Only winter steelhead currently are found in Central Valley rivers and streams (McEwan and Jackson 1996), although there are indications that summer steelhead were present in the Sacramento river system prior to the commencement of large-scale dam construction in the 1940s (Interagency Ecological Program (IEP) Steelhead Project Work Team 1999). At present, summer steelhead are found only in North Coast drainages, mostly in tributaries of the Eel, Klamath, and Trinity River systems (McEwan and Jackson 1996).

Central Valley steelhead generally leave the ocean from August through April (Busby *et al.* 1996), and spawn from December through April with peaks from January though March in small streams and tributaries where cool, well oxygenated water is available year-round (Hallock *et al.* 1961, McEwan and Jackson 1996; Table 5). Timing of upstream migration is correlated with higher flow events, such as freshets or sand bar breaches at river mouths, and associated lower water temperatures. Unlike Pacific salmon, steelhead are iteroparous, or capable of spawning more than once before death (Barnhart *et al.* 1986, Busby *et al.* 1996). However, it is rare for steelhead to spawn more than twice before dying; most that do so are females (Busby *et al.* 1996). Iteroparity is more common among southern steelhead populations than northern populations (Busby *et al.* 1996). Although one-time spawners are the great majority, Shapovalov and Taft (1954) reported that repeat spawners are relatively numerous (17.2 percent) in California streams.

Table 5. The temporal occurrence of adult (a) and juvenile (b) Central Valley steelhead in the Central Valley. Darker shades indicate months of greatest relative abundance.

(a) Adult

Location	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
[1,3]Sac. River												
[2,3]Sac R at Red Bluff												
[4]Mill, Deer Creeks												
[6]Sac R. at Fremont Weir												
[6]Sac R. at Fremont Weir												
[7]San Joaquin River												

(b) Juvenile

Location	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
[1,2]Sacramento River												
[2,8]Sac. R at Knights Land												
[9]Sac. River @ KL												
[10]Chipps Island (wild)												
[8]Mossdale												
[11]Woodbridge Dam												
[12]Stan R. at Caswell												
[13]Sac R. at Hood												

Source: [1]Hallock 1961; [2]McEwan 2001; [3]USFWS unpublished data; [4]CDFG 1995; [5]Hallock et al. 1957; [6]Bailey 1954;
[7]CDFG Steelhead Report Card Data; [8]CDFG unpublished data; [9]Snider and Titus 2000;
[10]Nobriga and Cadrett 2003; [11]Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc., 2002; [12]S.P. Cramer and Associates, Inc. 2000 and 2001; [13]Schaffter 1980, 1997.

Relative Abundance: = High = Medium = Low

Spawning occurs during winter and spring months. The length of time it takes for eggs to hatch depends mostly on water temperature. Hatching of steelhead eggs in hatcheries takes about 30 days at 51 °F. Fry emerge from the gravel usually about 4 to 6 weeks after hatching, but factors such as redd depth, gravel size, siltation, and temperature can speed or retard this time (Shapovalov and Taft 1954). Newly emerged fry move to the shallow, protected areas associated with the stream margin (McEwan and Jackson 1996) and they soon move to other areas of the stream and establish feeding locations, which they defend (Shapovalov and Taft 1954).

Steelhead rearing during the summer takes place primarily in higher velocity areas in pools, although YOY also are abundant in glides and riffles. Productive steelhead habitat is characterized by complexity, primarily in the form of large and small woody debris. Cover is an important habitat component for juvenile steelhead both as velocity refugia and as a means of avoiding predation (Meehan and Bjornn 1991).

Juvenile steelhead emigrate episodically from natal streams during fall, winter, and spring high flows. Emigrating Central Valley steelhead use the lower reaches of the Sacramento River and the Delta for rearing and as a migration corridor to the ocean. Juvenile Central Valley steelhead feed mostly on drifting aquatic organisms and terrestrial insects and will also take active bottom invertebrates (Moyle 2002).

Some may utilize tidal marsh areas, non-tidal freshwater marshes, and other shallow water areas in the Delta as rearing areas for short periods prior to their final emigration to the sea. Hallock *et al.* (1961) found that juvenile steelhead in the Sacramento River basin migrate downstream during most months of the year, but the peak period of emigration occurred in the spring, with a much smaller peak in the fall. Nobriga and Cadrett (2003) also have verified these temporal findings based on analysis of captures at Chipps Island.

Historic Central Valley steelhead run sizes are difficult to estimate given the paucity of data, but may have approached 1 to 2 million adults annually (McEwan 2001). By the early 1960s the steelhead run size had declined to about 40,000 adults (McEwan 2001). Over the past 40 years, the naturally-spawned steelhead populations in the upper Sacramento River have declined substantially (Figure 3). Hallock *et al.* (1961) estimated an average of 20,540 adult steelhead through the 1960s in the Sacramento River, upstream of the Feather River. Steelhead counts at the RBDD declined from an average of 11,187 for the period of 1967 to 1977, to an average of approximately 2,000 through the early 1990s, with an estimated total annual run size for the entire Sacramento-San Joaquin system, based on RBDD counts, to be no more than 10,000 adults (McEwan and Jackson 1996, McEwan 2001). Steelhead escapement surveys at RBDD ended in 1993 due to changes in dam operations.

Figure 3:
Estimated Central Valley natural steelhead escapement population in the upper Sacramento River based on RBDD counts.
Source: McEwan and Jackson 1996.
Trendline for Figure 3 is a logarithmic function: $Y= -4419 \ln(x) + 14690$ $R^2= 0.8574$



Note: Steelhead escapement surveys at RBDD ended in 1993

Nobriga and Cadrett (2003) compared CWT and untagged (wild) steelhead smolt catch ratios at Chipps Island trawl from 1998 through 2001 to estimate that about 100,000 to 300,000 steelhead juveniles are produced naturally each year in the Central Valley. In the *Updated Status Review of West Coast Salmon and Steelhead* (Good *et al.* 2005), the Biological Review Team (BRT) made the following conclusion based on the Chipps Island data:

> "If we make the fairly generous assumptions (in the sense of generating large estimates of spawners) that average fecundity is 5,000 eggs per female, 1 percent of eggs survive to reach Chipps Island, and 181,000 smolts are produced (the 1998-2000 average), about 3,628 female steelhead spawn naturally in the entire Central Valley. This can be compared with McEwan's (2001) estimate of 1 million to 2 million spawners before 1850, and 40,000 spawners in the 1960s".

Existing wild steelhead stocks in the Central Valley are mostly confined to the upper Sacramento River and its tributaries, including Antelope, Deer, and Mill Creeks and the Yuba River. Populations may exist in Big Chico and Butte Creeks and a few wild steelhead are produced in the American and Feather Rivers (McEwan and Jackson 1996). Recent snorkel surveys (1999 to

2002) indicate that steelhead are present in Clear Creek (J. Newton, USFWS, pers. comm. 2002, as reported in Good *et al.* 2005). Because of the large resident *O. mykiss* population in Clear Creek, steelhead spawner abundance has not been estimated.

Until recently, Central Valley steelhead were thought to be extirpated from the San Joaquin River system. Recent monitoring has detected small self-sustaining populations of steelhead in the Stanislaus, Mokelumne, and Calaveras rivers, and other streams previously thought to be devoid of steelhead (McEwan 2001). On the Stanislaus River, steelhead smolts have been captured in rotary screw traps at Caswell State Park and Oakdale each year since 1995 (S.P. Cramer and Associates Inc. 2000, 2001). Zimmerman *et al.* (2008) has documented Central Valley steelhead in the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers based on otilith microchemistry.

It is possible that naturally-spawning populations exist in other streams but are undetected due to lack of monitoring programs (IEP Steelhead Project Work Team 1999). Incidental catches and observations of steelhead juveniles also have occurred on the Tuolumne and Merced rivers during fall-run Chinook salmon monitoring activities, indicating that steelhead are widespread throughout accessible streams and rivers in the Central Valley (Good *et al.* 2005). CDFG staff has prepared catch summaries for juvenile migrant Central Valley steelhead on the San Joaquin River near Mossdale which represents migrants from the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers. Based on trawl recoveries at Mossdale between 1988 and 2002, as well as rotary screw trap efforts in all three tributaries, CDFG staff stated that it is "clear from this data that rainbow trout do occur in all the tributaries as migrants and that the vast majority of them occur on the Stanislaus River" (Letter from Dean Marston, CDFG, to Michael Aceituno, NMFS, 2004). The documented returns on the order of single fish in these tributaries suggest that existing populations of Central Valley steelhead on the Tuolumne, Merced, and lower San Joaquin rivers are severely depressed (Figure 4).

Figure 4:
Annual number of Central Valley steelhead caught while Kodiak trawling at the Mossdale monitoring location on the San Joaquin River (Marston 2004, SJRG 2007).



Lindley *et al.* (2006a) indicated that prior population census estimates completed in the 1990s found the Central Valley steelhead spawning population above RBDD had a fairly strong negative population growth rate and small population size. Good *et al.* (2005) indicated the decline was continuing as evidenced by new information (Chipps Island trawl data). Central Valley steelhead populations generally show a continuing decline, an overall low abundance, and fluctuating return rates.

a. *Viable Salmonid Population Summary for Central Valley Steelhead*

Abundance. All indications are that natural Central Valley steelhead have continued to decrease in abundance and in the proportion of natural fish over the past 25 years (Good *et al.* 2005); the long-term trend remains negative. There has been little steelhead population monitoring despite 100 percent marking of hatchery steelhead since 1998. Hatchery production and returns are far greater than those of natural fish and include significant numbers of non-DPS-origin Eel River steelhead stock.

Productivity. An estimated 100,000 to 300,000 natural juvenile steelhead are estimated to leave the Central Valley annually, based on rough calculations from sporadic catches in trawl gear (Good *et al.* 2005). Concurrently, one million in-DPS hatchery steelhead smolts and another half

million out-of-DPS hatchery steelhead smolts are released annually in the Central Valley. The estimated ratio of nonclipped to clipped steelhead has decreased from 0.3 percent to less than 0.1 percent, with a net decrease to one-third of wild female spawners from 1998 to 2000 (Good *et al.* 2005).

Spatial Structure. Steelhead appear to be well-distributed where found throughout the Central Valley (Good *et al.* 2005). Until recently, there was very little documented evidence of steelhead due to the lack of monitoring efforts. Since 2000, steelhead have been confirmed in the Stanislaus and Calaveras rivers.

Diversity. Analysis of natural and hatchery steelhead stocks in the Central Valley reveal genetic structure remaining in the DPS (Nielsen *et al.* 2003). There appears to be a great amount of gene flow among upper Sacramento River basin stocks, due to the post-dam, lower basin distribution of steelhead and management of stocks. Recent reductions in natural population sizes have created genetic bottlenecks in several Central Valley steelhead stocks (Good *et al.* 2005; Nielsen *et al.* 2003). The out-of-basin steelhead stocks of the Nimbus and Mokelumne River hatcheries are not included in the Central Valley steelhead DPS.

3. Southern Distinct Population Segment of North American Green Sturgeon

North American green sturgeon have morphological characteristics of both cartilaginous fish and bony fish. They have some morphological traits similar to sharks, such as a cartilaginous skeleton, heterocercal caudal fin, spiracles, spiral valve intestine, electro-sensory pores on its snout and an enlarged liver. However, like more modern teleosts, North American green sturgeon have five gill arches contained within one branchial chamber, covered by one opercular plate and a functional swim bladder for bouyancy control. Adult green sturgeon have a maximum fork length of 2.3 meters and 159 kg body weight (Miller and Lee 1972, Moyle *et al.* 1992). Green sturgeon can live at least 60 years, based on data from the Klamath River (Emmett *et al.* 1991).

The green sturgeon is the most widely distributed of the *acipenseridae*. They are amphi-Pacific and circumboreal, ranging from the inshore waters of Baja California northwards to the Bering Sea (Moyle 2002). Although widely distributed, they are not very abundant in comparison to the sympatric white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*). Similar species occur in northern Asiatic river systems and their relatedness to green sturgeon has been discussed in Artyukhin *et al.* (2007).

In North America, spawning populations of green sturgeon are currently found in only three river systems: the Sacramento and Klamath Rivers in California and the Rogue River in southern Oregon. Green sturgeon are known to range from Baja California to the Bering Sea along the North American continental shelf. Data from commercial trawl fisheries and tagging studies indicate that the green sturgeon occupy waters within the 110 meter contour (NMFS 2005a). During the late summer and early fall, subadults and nonspawning adult green sturgeon frequently can be found aggregating in estuaries along the Pacific coast (Emmett *et al.* 1991, Moser and Lindley 2007). Particularly large concentrations occur in the Columbia River estuary, Willapa Bay, and Grays Harbor, with smaller aggregations in San Francisco and San Pablo Bays

(Emmett *et al* 1991, Moyle *et al.* 1992, Beamesderfer *et al.* 2004). Lindley *et al.* (2008) reported that green sturgeon make seasonal migratory movements along the west coast of North America, overwintering north of Vancouver Island and south of Cape Spencer, Alaska. Southern DPS green sturgeon have been detected in these seasonal aggregations.

Two green sturgeon DPSs were identified based on evidence of spawning site fidelity (indicating multiple DPS tendencies), and on the preliminary genetic evidence that indicates differences at least between the Klamath River and San Pablo Bay samples (Adams *et al.* 2002, 2007). The Northern DPS includes all green sturgeon populations starting with the Eel River and extending northward. The Southern DPS would include all green sturgeon populations south of the Eel River with the only known spawning population being in the Sacramento River.

The Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon life cycle can be broken into four distinct phases based on developmental stage and habitat use: (1) adult females greater than or equal to 13 years of age and males greater than or equal to 9 years of age, (2) larvae and post-larvae less than 10 months of age, (3) juveniles less than or equal to 3 years of age, and (4) coastal migrant females between 3 and 13, and males between 3 and 9 years of age (Nakamoto *et al.* 1995).

Information regarding the migration and habitat use of the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon has recently emerged. Lindley (2006b) presents preliminary results of large-scale green sturgeon migration studies. Lindley's analysis verified past population structure delineations based on genetic work and found frequent large-scale migrations of green sturgeon along the Pacific Coast. It appears North American green sturgeon are migrating considerable distances up the Pacific Coast into other estuaries, particularly the Columbia. This information also agrees with the results of green sturgeon tagging studies completed by CDFG where they tagged a total of 233 green sturgeon in the San Pablo Bay estuary between 1954 and 2001. A total of 17 tagged fish were ultimately recovered: 3 in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, 2 in the Pacific Ocean off of California, and 12 from commercial fisheries off of the Oregon and Washington coasts. Eight of the 12 recoveries were in the Columbia Estuary (CDFG 2002). In addition, recent analysis by Israel (2006a) indicates a substantial component of the population (*i.e.*, 50-80 percent) of Southern DPS North American green sturgeon to be present in the Columbia estuary.

Kelley *et al.* (2007) indicated that green sturgeon enter the San Francisco Estuary during the spring and remain until autumn. The authors studied the movement of adults in the San Francisco Estuary and found them to make significant long-distance movements with distinct directionality. The movements were not found to be related to salinity, current, or temperature and the authors surmised they are related to foraging behavior (Kelley *et al.* 2007). Recent acoustical tagging studies on the Rogue River (Erickson *et al.* 2002) have shown that adult green sturgeon will hold for as long as 6 months in deep (> 5m), low gradient reaches or off channel sloughs or coves of the river during summer months when water temperatures were between 15 °C and 23 °C. When ambient temperatures in the river dropped in autumn and early winter (<10 °C) and flows increased, fish moved downstream and into the ocean. Similar behavior is exhibted by adult green sturgeon on the Sacramento River based on captures of adult green sturgeon in holding pools on the Sacramento River above the Glen-Colusa Irrigation District (GCID) diversion (RM 205). It appears adult green sturgeon could possibly utilize a variety of

freshwater and brackish habitats for up to 9 months of the year in the Sacramento River watershed.

Adult green sturgeon are believed to feed primarily upon benthic invertebrates such as clams, mysid and grass shrimp, and amphipods (Radtke 1966, J. Stuart, unpublished data). Adult green sturgeon caught in Washington state waters have also been found to have fed on Pacific sand lance (*Ammodytes hexapterus*) and callianassid shrimp (Moyle *et al.* 1992).

Adults of the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon begin their upstream spawning migrations into the San Francisco Bay by at least March, reach Knights Landing during April, and spawn between March and July (Heublein *et al.* 2006). Peak spawning is believed to occur between April and June (Table 6) and thought to occur in deep turbulent pools (Adams *et al.* 2002, 2007). Spawning females broadcast their eggs over suitable substrate which can range from clean sand to bedrock but is thought to predominately consist of large cobbles (USFWS 2002). According to Heublein (2006), all adults leave the Sacramento River prior to September 1.

Table 6. The temporal occurrence of adult (a) larval and post-larval (b) juvenile (c) and coastal migrant (d) Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon. Locations emphasize the Central Valley of California. Darker shades indicate months of greatest relative abundance.



Green sturgeon larvae hatched from fertilized eggs after approximately 169 hours at a water temperature of 15 °C (Van Eenennaam *et al.* 2001, Deng *et al.* 2002). Studies conducted at the University of California, Davis by Van Eenennaam *et al.* (2005) indicated that an optimum range of water temperature for egg development ranged between 14 °C and 17 °C. Temperatures over 23 °C resulted in 100 percent mortality of fertilized eggs before hatching.

Green sturgeon larvae do not exhibit the initial pelagic swim–up behavior characteristic of other *acipenseridae*. The are strongly oriented to the bottom and exhibit nocturnal activity patterns. After 6 days, the larvae exhibit nocturnal swim-up activity (Deng *et al.* 2002) and nocturnal downstream migrational movements (Kynard *et al.* 2005). Juvenile fish continue to exhibit nocturnal behavior beyond the metamorphosis from larvae to juvenile stages. Kynard *et al.*'s (2005) laboratory studies indicated that juvenile fish continued to migrate downstream at night for the first 6 months of life. When ambient water temperatures reached 8 °C, downstream migrational behavior diminished and holding behavior increased. These data suggest that 9 to 10 month old fish would hold over in their natal rivers during the ensuing winter following hatching, but at a location downstream of their spawning grounds. During these early life stages, larval and juvenile green sturgeon are subject to predation by both native and introduced fish species. Smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolmoides*) have been recorded on the Rogue River as preying on juvenile green sturgeon, and prickly sculpin (*Cottus asper*) have been shown to be an effective predator on the larvae of sympatric white sturgeon (Gadomski and Parsley 2005).

Green sturgeon juveniles tested under laboratory conditions had optimal bioenergetic performance (*i.e.*, growth, food conversion, swimming ability) between 15 °C and 19 °C under either full or reduced rations (Mayfield and Cech 2004). This temperature range overlaps the egg incubation temperature range for peak hatching success previously discussed. Ambient water temperature conditions in the Rogue and Klamath River systems range from 4 °C to approximately 24 °C. The Sacramento River has similar temperature profiles, and, like the previous two rivers, is a regulated system with several dams controlling flows on its mainstem (Shasta and Keswick Dams), and its tributaries (Oroville, Englebright, Folsom, and Nimbus Dams).

Known historic and current spawning occurs in the Sacramento River (Adams *et al.* 2002 and 2007, Beamesderfer *et al.* 2004). Currently, Keswick and Shasta Dams on the mainstem of the Sacramento River block passage to the upper river. Although no historical accounts exist for identified green sturgeon spawning occuring above the current dam sites, suitable spawning habitat existed and based on habitat assessments done for Chinook salmon, the geographic extent of spawning has been reduced due to the impassable barriers.

Spawning on the Feather River is suspected to have occurred in the past due to the continued presence of adult green sturgeon in the river below Oroville Dam. This continued presence of adults below the dam suggests that fish are trying to migrate to upstream spawning areas now blocked by the dam which was constructed in 1968.

Spawning in the San Joaquin River system has not been recorded historically or observed recently, but alterations of the San Joaquin River tributaries (Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Rivers) and its mainstem occurred early in the european settlement of the region. During the

later half of the 1800s impassable barriers were built on these tributaries where the water courses left the foothills and entered the valley floor. Therefore, these low elevation dams have blocked potentially suitable spawning habitats located further upstream for approximately a century. Additional destruction of riparian and stream channel habitat by industrialized gold dredging further disturbed any valley floor habitat that was still available for sturgeon spawning. It is likely that both white and green sturgeon utilized the San Joaquin River basin for spawning prior to the onset of european influence, based on past use of the region by populations of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon and steelhead. These two populations of salmonids have either been extirpated or greatly diminished in their use of the San Joaquin River basin and it is reasonable to assume that green sturgeon have suffered a similar fate.

Population abundance information concerning the Southern DPS green sturgeon is described in the NMFS status reviews (Adams *et al.* 2002, NMFS 2005a). Limited population abundance information comes from incidental captures of North American green sturgeon from the white sturgeon monitoring program by the CDFG (CDFG 2002). By comparing ratios of white sturgeon to green sturgeon captures, CDFG provided estimates of adult and sub-adult North American green sturgeon abundance. Estimated abundance between 1954 and 2001 ranged from 175 fish to more than 8,000 per year and averaged 1,509 fish per year. Unfortunately, there are many biases and errors associated with these data, and CDFG does not consider these estimates reliable. Fish monitoring efforts at RBDD and GCID on the upper Sacramento River have captured between 0 and 2,068 juvenile North American green sturgeon per year (Adams *et al.* 2002, 2007). The only existing information regarding changes in the abundance of the Southern DPS of green sturgeon includes changes in abundance at the John E. Skinner Fish Facility between 1968 and 2001 (Table 7, Figures 5). The average number of North American green sturgeon taken per year at the State Facility prior to 1986 was 732; from 1986 on, the average per year was 47 (April 5, 2005 70 FR 17386). For the Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant, the average number prior to 1986 was 889; from 1986 to 2001 the average was 32 (April 5, 2005 70 FR 17386). In light of the increase in exports at these facilities since 1986, which should have resulted in increased captures of North American green sturgeon, it is clear that the abundance of the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon is dropping. Additional analysis of North American green and white sturgeon taken at the Fish Facilities indicates that take of both North American green and white sturgeon per acre-foot of water exported has decreased substantially since the 1960s (April 5, 2005 70 FR 17386). Catches of sub-adult and adult North American green sturgeon by the IEP between 1996 and 2004 ranged from 1 to 212 green sturgeon per year (212 occurred in 2001), however, the portion of the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon is unknown as these captures were primarily located in San Pablo Bay which is known to consist of a mixture of Northern and Southern DPS North American green sturgeon. Recent spawning population estimates using sibling based genetics by Israel (2006b) indicates spawning populations of 32 spawners in 2002, 64 in 2003, 44 in 2004, 92 in 2005, and 124 in 2006 above RBDD (with an average of 71).

Table 7: The annual occurrence of juvenile Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon at the CVP and SWP fish collection facilities in the South Delta. (Adams et al, 2007, CDFG 2002)

Year	State Facilities		Federal Facilities	
	Salvage Numbers	Numbers per 1000 acre feet	Salvage Numbers	Numbers per 1000 acre feet
1968	12	0.0162		
1969	0	0		
1970	13	0.0254		
1971	168	0.2281		
1972	122	0.0798		
1973	140	0.1112		
1974	7313	3.9805		
1975	2885	1.2033		
1976	240	0.1787		
1977	14	0.0168		
1978	768	0.3482		
1979	423	0.1665		
1980	47	0.0217		
1981	411	0.1825	274	0.1278
1982	523	0.2005	570	0.2553
1983	1	0.0008	1475	0.653
1984	94	0.043	750	0.2881
1985	3	0.0011	1374	0.4917
1985	0	0	49	0.0189
1987	37	0.0168	91	0.0328
1988	50	0.0188	0	0
1989	0	0	0	0
1990	124	0.0514	0	0
1991	45	0.0265	0	0
1992	50	0.0332	114	0.0963
1993	27	0.0084	12	0.0045
1994	5	0.003	12	0.0068
1995	101	0.0478	60	0.0211
1996	40	0.0123	36	0.0139
1997	19	0.0075	60	0.0239
1998	136	0.0806	24	0.0115
1999	36	0.0133	24	0.0095
2000	30	0.008	0	0
2001	54	0.0233	24	0.0106
2002	12	0.0042	0	0
2003	18	0.0052	0	0
2004	0	0	0	0
2005	16	0.0044	12	0.0045
2006	39	0.0078	324	0.1235

Figure 5:
Estimated number of North American green sturgeon (Southern DPS) salvaged from the State Water Project and the Central Valley Project fish collection facilities.
Sources: Beamesderfer et al., 2007, CDFG 2002, Adams et al. 2007.



Based on the length and estimated age of post-larvae captured at RBDD (approximately 2 weeks of age) and GCID (downstream; approximately 3 weeks of age), it appears the majority of Southern DPS North American green sturgeon spawn above RBDD. Note, there are many assumptions with this interpretation (*i.e.*, equal sampling efficiency and distribution of post-larvae across channels) and this information should be considered cautiously.

a. *Population Viability Summary for the Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon*

The Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon was not included or analyzed in recent efforts to characterize the status and viability of Central Valley salmonid populations (Lindley *et al.* 2006a; Good *et al.* 2005). However, the following summary has been compiled from the best available data and information on North American green sturgeon to provide a general synopsis of the viability parameters for this DPS.

Abundance. Currently, there are no reliable data on population sizes, and data on population trends is also lacking. Fishery data collected at Federal and State pumping facilities in the Delta indicate a decreasing trend in abundance between 1968 and 2006 (70 FR 17386).

Productivity. There is insufficient information to evaluate the productivity of green sturgeon. However, as indicated above, there appears to be a declining trend in abundance, which indicates low to negative productivity.

Spatial Structure. Current data indicates that the Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon is comprised of a single population that spawns in the Sacramento River above RBDD. Although some individuals have been observed in the Feather and Yuba rivers, it is not yet known if these fish represent separate spawning populations. Therefore, the apparent presence of a single reproducing population puts the DPS at risk, due to extremely limited spatial structure.

Diversity. Green sturgeon genetic analyses shows strong differentiation between northern and southern populations, and therefore, the species was divided into Northern and Southern DPS's. However, the genetic diversity of the Southern DPS is not well understood.

C. Critical Habitat Condition and Function for Species' Conservation

The designated critical habitat for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon includes the Sacramento River from Keswick Dam (RM 302) to Chipps Island (RM 0) at the westward margin of the Delta; all waters from Chipps Island westward to Carquinez Bridge, including Honker Bay, Grizzly Bay, Suisun Bay, and Carquinez Strait; all waters of San Pablo Bay westward of the Carquinez Bridge; and all waters of San Francisco Estuary to the Golden Gate Bridge north of the San Francisco/Oakland Bay Bridge. In the Sacramento River, critical habitat includes the river water column, river bottom, and adjacent riparian zone used by fry and juveniles for rearing. In the areas westward of Chipps Island, critical habitat includes the estuarine water column and essential foraging habitat and food resources used by Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon as part of their juvenile emigration or adult spawning migration.

Critical habitat was designated for Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon and Central Valley steelhead on September 2, 2005 (70 FR 52488). Critical habitat for Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon includes stream reaches such as those of the Feather and Yuba rivers, Big Chico, Butte, Deer, Mill, Battle, Antelope, and Clear creeks, the Sacramento River, as well as portions of the northern Delta. Critical habitat for Central Valley steelhead includes stream reaches such as those of the Sacramento, Feather, and Yuba rivers, and Deer, Mill, Battle, and Antelope creeks in the Sacramento River basin; the San Joaquin River, including its tributaries, and the waterways of the Delta. Critical habitat includes the stream channels in the designated stream reaches and the lateral extent as defined by the ordinary high-water line. In areas where the ordinary high-water line has not been defined, the lateral extent will be defined by the bankfull elevation (defined as the level at which water begins to leave the channel and move into the floodplain; it is reached at a discharge that generally has a recurrence interval of 1 to 2 years on the annual flood series) (Bain and Stevenson 1999; 70 FR 52488). Critical habitat for Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon and steelhead is defined as specific areas that contain the primary constituent elements (PCE) and physical habitat elements essential to the conservation of the species. Following are the inland habitat types used as PCEs for Central Valley spring-run

Chinook salmon and Central Valley steelhead, and as physical habitat elements for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon.

1. Spawning Habitat

Freshwater spawning sites are those with water quantity and quality conditions and substrate supporting spawning, incubation, and larval development. Most spawning habitat in the Central Valley for Chinook salmon and steelhead is located in areas directly downstream of dams containing suitable environmental conditions for spawning and incubation. Spawning habitat for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon is restricted to the Sacramento River primarily between RBDD and Keswick Dam. Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon also spawn on the mainstem Sacramento River between RBDD and Keswick Dam and in tributaries such as Mill, Deer, and Butte Creeks (however, little spawning activity has been recorded in recent years on the Sacramento River mainstem for spring-run Chinook salmon). Spawning habitat for Central Valley steelhead is similar in nature to the requirements of Chinook salmon, primarily occurring in reaches directly below dams (*i.e.*, above RBDD on the Sacramento River) on perennial watersheds throughout the Central Valley. These reaches can be subjected to variations in flows and temperatures, particularly over the summer months, which can have adverse effects upon salmonids spawning below them.

2. Freshwater Rearing Habitat

Freshwater rearing sites are those with water quantity and floodplain connectivity to form and maintain physical habitat conditions that support juvenile growth and mobility; water quality and forage supporting juvenile development; and natural cover such as shade, submerged and overhanging large woody material, log jams and beaver dams, aquatic vegetation, large rocks and boulders, side channels, and undercut banks. Both spawning areas and migratory corridors comprise rearing habitat for juveniles, which feed and grow before and during their outmigration. Non-natal, intermittent tributaries also may be used for juvenile rearing. Rearing habitat condition is strongly affected by habitat complexity, food supply, and the presence of predators of juvenile salmonids. Some complex, productive habitats with floodplains remain in the system (*e.g.*, the lower Cosumnes River, Sacramento River reaches with setback levees [*i.e.*, primarily located upstream of the City of Colusa]) and flood bypasses (*i.e.*, Yolo and Sutter bypasses). However, the channelized, leveed, and riprapped river reaches and sloughs that are common in the Sacramento-San Joaquin system typically have low habitat complexity, low abundance of food organisms, and offer little protection from either fish or avian predators. Juvenile life stages of salmonids are dependant on the function of this habitat for successful survival and recruitment.

3. Freshwater Migration Corridors

Ideal freshwater migration corridors are free of migratory obstructions, with water quantity and quality conditions that enhance migratory movements. They contain natural cover such as riparian canopy structure, submerged and overhanging large woody objects, aquatic vegetation, large rocks and boulders, side channels, and undercut banks which augment juvenile and adult mobility, survival, and food supply. Migratory corridors are downstream of the spawning areas

and include the major tributaries as well as the mainstems of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and the Delta. These corridors allow the upstream passage of adults, and the downstream emigration of outmigrant juveniles. Migratory habitat condition is strongly affected by the presence of barriers, which can include dams (*i.e.*, hydropower, flood control, and irrigation dams), unscreened or poorly screened diversions, degraded water quality, or behavioral impediments to migration. The survival of anadromous salmonids is dependant on freshwater migration corridors to provide adequate passage from the ocean to the spawning habitat and back again.

4. Estuarine Areas

Estuarine areas free of migratory obstructions with water quality, water quantity, and salinity conditions supporting juvenile and adult physiological transitions between fresh and salt water are included as a PCE. Natural cover such as submerged and overhanging large woody material, aquatic vegetation, and side channels, are suitable for juvenile and adult foraging. Estuarine areas are extremely important to anadromous species because they act as a transitional zone between the freshwater and ocean environments.

D. Factors Impacting Listed Species

1. Habitat Blockage

Hydropower, flood control, and water supply dams of the CVP, SWP, and other municipal and private entities have permanently blocked or hindered salmonid access to historical spawning and rearing grounds. Clark (1929) estimated that originally there were 6,000 linear miles of salmon habitat in the Central Valley system and that 80 percent of this habitat had been lost by 1928. Yoshiyama *et al.* (1996) calculated that roughly 2,000 linear miles of salmon habitat was actually available before dam construction and mining, and concluded that 82 percent is not accessible today.

As a result of migrational barriers, winter-run Chinook salmon, spring-run Chinook salmon, and steelhead populations have been confined to lower elevation mainstems that historically only were used for migration. Population abundances have declined in these streams due to decreased quantity and quality of spawning and rearing habitat. Higher temperatures at these lower elevations during late-summer and fall are also a major stressor to adult and juvenile salmonids. According to Lindley *et al.* (2004), of the four independent populations of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon that occurred historically, only one mixed stock of winter-run Chinook salmon remains below Keswick Dam. Similarly, of the 18 independent populations of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon that occurred historically, only three independent populations remain in Deer, Mill, and Butte Creeks. Dependent populations of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon continue to occur in Big Chico, Antelope, Clear, Thomes, and Beegum Creeks and the Yuba River, but are thought to rely on the three extant independent populations for their continued survival. Central Valley steelhead historically had at least 81 independent populations based on Lindley *et al.*'s (2006a) analysis of potential habitat in the Central Valley. However, due to dam construction, access to 80 percent of the historically available habitat has been lost. Green sturgeon populations were likely also affected by barriers

and alterations to the natural hydrology of Central Valley river systems. In particular, the RBDD blocked all access to the primary spawning habitat in the Sacramento River for many years under the old operational procedures, and continues to block a significant portion of the adult spawning run under current operationl procedures.

The Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates (SMSCG), located on Montezuma Slough, were installed in 1988, and are operated with gates and flashboards to decrease the salinity levels of managed wetlands in Suisun Marsh. The SMSCG are known to block or delay passage of adult Chinook salmon migrating upstream (Edwards *et al.* 1996, Tillman *et al.* 1996, DWR 2002). The effects of the SMSCG on sturgeon are unknown at this time.

2. Water Development

The diversion and storage of natural flows by dams and diversion structures on Central Valley waterways have depleted stream flows and altered the natural cycles by which juvenile and adult salmonids base their migrations. As much as 60 percent of the natural historical inflow to Central Valley watersheds and the Delta have been diverted for human uses. Depleted flows have contributed to higher temperatures, lower dissolved oxygen (DO) levels, and decreased recruitment of gravel and large woody debris (LWD). More uniform flows year round have resulted in diminished natural channel formation, altered food web processes, and slower regeneration of riparian vegetation. These stabilized flow patterns have reduced bed load movement (Mount 1995, Ayers 2001), caused spawning gravels to become embedded, and decreased channel widths due to channel incision, all of which has decreased the available spawning and rearing habitat below dams. The storage of unimpeded runoff in these large reservoirs also has altered the normal hydrograph for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River watersheds. Rather than seeing peak flows in these river systems following winter rain events (Sacramento River) or spring snow melt (San Joaquin River), the current hydrology has truncated peaks with a prolonged period of elevated flows (compared to historical levels) continuing into the summer dry season.

Water withdrawals, for agricultural and municipal purposes have reduced river flows and increased temperatures during the critical summer months, and in some cases, have been of a sufficient magnitude to result in reverse flows in the lower San Joaquin River (Reynolds *et al.* 1993). Direct relationships exist between water temperature, water flow, and juvenile salmonid survival (Brandes and McLain 2001). Elevated water temperatures in the Sacramento River have limited the survival of young salmon in those waters. Juvenile fall-run Chinook salmon survival in the Sacramento River is also directly related with June stream flow and June and July Delta outflow (Dettman *et al.* 1987).

Water diversions for irrigated agriculture, municipal and industrial use, and managed wetlands are found throughout the Central Valley. Thousands of small and medium-size water diversions exist along the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, and their tributaries. Although efforts have been made in recent years to screen some of these diversions, many remain unscreened. Depending on the size, location, and season of operation, these unscreened diversions entrain and kill many life stages of aquatic species, including juvenile salmonids. For example, as of 1997, 98.5 percent of the 3,356 diversions included in a Central Valley database were either

unscreened or screened insufficiently to prevent fish entrainment (Herren and Kawasaki 2001). Most of the 370 water diversions operating in Suisun Marsh are unscreened (Herren and Kawasaki 2001).

Outmigrant juvenile salmonids in the Delta are subjected to adverse environmental conditions created by water export operations at the CVP and SWP facilities. Specifically, juvenile salmonid survival has been reduced by the following: (1) water diversion from the mainstem Sacramento River into the Central Delta via the Delta Cross Channel; (2) upstream or reverse flows of water in the lower San Joaquin River and southern Delta waterways; (3) entrainment at the CVP/SWP export facilities and associated problems at Clifton Court Forebay; and (4) increased exposure to introduced, non-native predators such as striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*), largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), and sunfishes (*Centrarchidae* spp.).

3. Water Conveyance and Flood Control

The development of the water conveyance system in the Delta has resulted in the construction of more than 1,100 miles of channels and diversions to increase channel elevations and flow capacity of the channels (Mount 1995). Levee development in the Central Valley affects spawning habitat, freshwater rearing habitat, freshwater migration corridors, and estuarine habitat PCEs. As Mount (1995) indicates, there is an "underlying, fundamental conflict inherent in this channelization." Natural rivers strive to achieve dynamic equilibrium to handle a watersheds supply of discharge and sediment (Mount 1995). The construction of levees disrupts the natural processes of the river, resulting in a multitude of habitat-related effects.

Many of these levees use angular rock (riprap) to armor the bank from erosive forces. The effects of channelization, and riprapping, include the alteration of river hydraulics and cover along the bank as a result of changes in bank configuration and structural features (Stillwater Sciences 2006). These changes affect the quantity and quality of nearshore habitat for juvenile salmonids and have been thoroughly studied (USFWS 2000, Schmetterling *et al.* 2001, Garland *et al.* 2002). Simple slopes protected with rock revetment generally create nearshore hydraulic conditions characterized by greater depths and faster, more homogeneous water velocities than occur along natural banks. Higher water velocities typically inhibit deposition and retention of sediment and woody debris. These changes generally reduce the range of habitat conditions typically found along natural shorelines, especially by eliminating the shallow, slow-velocity river margins used by juvenile fish as refuge and escape from fast currents, deep water, and predators (Stillwater Sciences 2006).

Prior to the 1970s, there was so much debris resulting from poor logging practices that many streams were completely clogged and were thought to have been total barriers to fish migration. As a result, in the 1960s and early 1970s it was common practice among fishery management agencies to remove woody debris thought to be a barrier to fish migration (NMFS 1996b). However, it is now recognized that too much LWD was removed from the streams resulting in a loss of salmonid habitat and it is thought that the large scale removal of woody debris prior to 1980 had major, long-term negative effects on rearing habitats for salmonids in northern California (NMFS 1996b). Areas that were subjected to this removal of LWD are still limited in

the recovery of salmonid stocks; this limitation could be expected to persist for 50 to 100 years following removal of debris.

Large quantities of downed trees are a functionally important component of many streams (NMFS 1996b). LWD influences stream morphology by affecting channel pattern, position, and geometry, as well as pool formation (Keller and Swanson 1979, Bilby 1984, Robison and Beschta 1990). Reduction of wood in the stream channel, either from past or present activities, generally reduces pool quantity and quality, alters stream shading which can affect water temperature regimes and nutrient input, and can eliminate critical stream habitat needed for both vertebrate and invertebrate populations. Removal of vegetation also can destabilize marginally stable slopes by increasing the subsurface water load, lowering root strength, and altering water flow patterns in the slope.

In addition, the armoring and revetment of stream banks tends to narrow rivers, reducing the amount of habitat per unit channel length (Sweeney *et al.* 2004). As a result of river narrowing, benthic habitat decreases and the number of macroinvertebrates, such as stoneflies and mayflies, per unit channel length decreases affecting salmonid food supply.

4. Land Use Activities

Land use activities continue to have large impacts on salmonid habitat in the Central Valley watershed. Until about 150 years ago, the Sacramento River was bordered by up to 500,000 acres of riparian forest, with bands of vegetation extending outward for 4 or 5 miles (California Resources Agency 1989). Starting with the gold rush, these vast riparian forests were cleared for building materials, fuel, and to clear land for farms on the raised natural levee banks. The degradation and fragmentation of riparian habitat continued with extensive flood control and bank protection projects, together with the conversion of the fertile riparian lands to agriculture outside of the natural levee belt. By 1979, riparian habitat along the Sacramento River diminished to 11,000 to 12,000 acres, or about 2 percent of historic levels (McGill 1987). The clearing of the riparian forests removed a vital source of snags and driftwood in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River basins. This has reduced the volume of LWD input needed to form and maintain stream habitat that salmon depend on in their various life stages. In addition to this loss of LWD sources, removal of snags and obstructions from the active river channel for navigational safety has further reduced the presence of LWD in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, as well as the Delta.

Increased sedimentation resulting from agricultural and urban practices within the Central Valley is one of the primary causes of salmonid habitat degradation (NMFS 1996a). Sedimentation can adversely affect salmonids during all freshwater life stages by: clogging or abrading gill surfaces, adhering to eggs, hampering fry emergence (Phillips and Campbell 1961), burying eggs or alevins, scouring and filling in pools and riffles, reducing primary productivity and photosynthesis activity (Cordone and Kelley 1961), and affecting intergravel permeability and DO levels. Excessive sedimentation over time can cause substrates to become embedded, which reduces successful salmonid spawning and egg and fry survival (Waters 1995).

Land use activities associated with road construction, urban development, logging, mining, agriculture, and recreation have significantly altered fish habitat quantity and quality through the alteration of stream bank and channel morphology; alteration of ambient water temperatures; degradation of water quality; elimination of spawning and rearing habitat; fragmentation of available habitats; elimination of downstream recruitment of LWD; and removal of riparian vegetation, resulting in increased stream bank erosion (Meehan 1991). Urban stormwater and agricultural runoff may be contaminated with herbicides and pesticides, petroleum products, sediment, *etc.* Agricultural practices in the Central Valley have eliminated large trees and logs and other woody debris that would otherwise be recruited into the stream channel (NMFS 1998a).

Since the 1850s, wetlands reclamation for urban and agricultural development has caused the cumulative loss of 79 and 94 percent of the tidal marsh habitat in the Delta downstream and upstream of Chipps Island, respectively (Conomos *et al.* 1985, Nichols *et al.* 1986, Wright and Phillips 1988, Monroe *et al.* 1992, Goals Project 1999). Prior to 1850, approximately 1400 km^2 of freshwater marsh surrounded the confluence of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, and another 800 km^2 of saltwater marsh fringed San Francisco Bay's margins. Of the original 2,200 km^2 of tidally influenced marsh, only about 125 km^2 of undiked marsh remains today. In Suisun Marsh, saltwater intrusion and land subsidence gradually has led to the decline of agricultural production. Presently, Suisun Marsh consists largely of tidal sloughs and managed wetlands for duck clubs, which first were established in the 1870s in western Suisun Marsh (Goals Project 1999). Even more extensive losses of wetland marshes occurred in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins. Little of the extensive tracts of wetland marshes that existed prior to 1850 along the valley's river systems and within the natural flood basins exist today. Most has been "reclaimed" for agricultural purposes, leaving only small remnant patches.

Dredging of river channels to enhance inland maritime trade and to provide raw material for levee construction has significantly and detrimentally altered the natural hydrology and function of the river systems in the Central Valley. Starting in the mid-1800s, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and other private consortiums began straightening river channels and artificially deepening them to enhance shipping commerce. This has led to declines in the natural meandering of river channels and the formation of pool and riffle segments. The deepening of channels beyond their natural depth also has led to a significant alteration in the transport of bed load in the riverine system as well as the local flow velocity in the channel (Mount 1995). The Sacramento Flood Control Project at the turn of the nineteenth century ushered in the start of large scale Corps actions in the Delta and along the rivers of California for reclamation and flood control. The creation of levees and the deep shipping channels reduced the natural tendency of the San Joaquin and Sacramento Rivers to create floodplains along their banks with seasonal inundations during the wet winter season and the spring snow melt periods. These annual inundations provided necessary habitat for rearing and foraging of juvenile native fish that evolved with this flooding process. The armored riprapped levee banks and active maintenance actions of Reclamation Districts precluded the establishment of ecologically important riparian vegetation, introduction of valuable LWD from these riparian corridors, and the productive intertidal mudflats characteristic of the undisturbed Delta habitat.

Urban stormwater and agricultural runoff may be contaminated with pesticides, oil, grease, heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and other organics and nutrients (Regional Board 1998) they can potentially destroy aquatic life necessary for salmonid survival (NMFS 1996a, b). Point source (PS) and non-point source (NPS) pollution occurs at almost every point that urbanization activity influences the watershed. Impervious surfaces (*i.e.*, concrete, asphalt, and buildings) reduce water infiltration and increase runoff, thus creating greater flood hazard (NMFS 1996a, b). Flood control and land drainage schemes may increase the flood risk downstream by concentrating runoff. A flashy discharge pattern results in increased bank erosion with subsequent loss of riparian vegetation, undercut banks and stream channel widening. In addition to the PS and NPS inputs from urban runoff, juvenile salmonids are exposed to increased water temperatures as a result of thermal inputs from municipal, industrial, and agricultural discharges.

Past mining activities routinely resulted in the removal of spawning gravels from streams, the straightening, and channelization of the stream corridor from dredging activities, and the leaching of toxic effluents into streams from mining operations. Many of the effects of past mining operations continue to impact salmonid habitat today. Current mining practices include suction dredging (sand and gravel mining), placer mining, lode mining and gravel mining. Present day mining practices are typically less intrusive than historic operations (hydraulic mining); however, adverse impacts to salmonid habitat still occur as a result of present-day mining activities. Sand and gravel are used for a large variety of construction activities including base material and asphalt, road bedding, drain rock for leach fields, and aggregate mix for concrete to construct buildings and highways.

Most aggregate is derived principally from pits in active floodplains, pits in inactive river terrace deposits, or directly from the active channel. Other sources include hard rock quarries and mining from deposits within reservoirs. Extraction sites located along or in active floodplains present particular problems for anadromous salmonids. Physical alteration of the stream channel may result in the destruction of existing riparian vegetation and the reduction of available area for seedling establishment (Stillwater Sciences 2002). Loss of vegetation impacts riparian and aquatic habitat by causing a loss of the temperature moderating effects of shade and cover, and habitat diversity. Extensive degradation may induce a decline in the alluvial water table, as the banks are effectively drained to a lowered level, affecting riparian vegetation and water supply (NMFS 1996b). Altering the natural channel configuration will reduce salmonid habitat diversity by creating a wide, shallow channel lacking in the pools and cover necessary for all life stages of anadromous salmonids. In addition, waste products resulting from past and present mining activities, include cyanide (an agent used to extract gold from ore), copper, zinc, cadmium, mercury, asbestos, nickel, chromium, and lead.

Juvenile salmonids are exposed to increased water temperatures in the Delta during the late spring and summer due to the loss of riparian shading, and by thermal inputs from municipal, industrial, and agricultural discharges. Studies by DWR on water quality in the Delta over the last 30 years show a steady decline in the food sources available for juvenile salmonids and sturgeon and an increase in the clarity of the water due to a reduction in phytoplankton and zooplankton. These conditions have contributed to increased mortality of juvenile Chinook salmon, steelhead, and sturgeon as they move through the Delta.

5. Water Quality

The water quality of the Delta has been negatively impacted over the last 150 years. Increased water temperatures, decreased DO levels, and increased turbidity and contaminant loads have degraded the quality of the aquatic habitat for the rearing and migration of salmonids. The Regional Board, in its 1998 Clean Water Act §303(d) list characterized the Delta as an impaired water body having elevated levels of chlorpyrifos, dichlorodiphenyltrichlor (*i.e.* DDT), diazinon, electrical conductivity, Group A pesticides (aldrin, dieldrin, chlordane, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, hexachlorocyclohexanes (including lindane), endosulfan and toxaphene), mercury, low DO, organic enrichment, and unknown toxicities (Regional Board 1998, 2001).

In general, water degradation or contamination can lead to either acute toxicity, resulting in death when concentrations are sufficiently elevated, or more typically, when concentrations are lower, to chronic or sublethal effects that reduce the physical health of the organism, and lessens its survival over an extended period of time. Mortality may become a secondary effect due to compromised physiology or behavioral changes that lessen the organism's ability to carry out its normal activities. For example, increased levels of heavy metals are detrimental to the health of an organism because they interfere with metabolic functions by inhibiting key enzyme activity in metabolic pathways, decrease neurological function, degrade cardiovascular output, and act as mutagens, teratogens, or carcinogens in exposed organisms (Rand *et al.* 1995, Goyer 1996). For listed species, these effects may occur directly to the listed fish or to its prey base, which reduces the forage base available to the listed species.

In the aquatic environment, most anthropogenic chemicals and waste materials including toxic organic and inorganic chemicals eventually accumulate in sediment (Ingersoll 1995). Direct exposure to contaminated sediments may cause deleterious effects to listed salmonids or the threatened green sturgeon. This may occur if a fish swims through a plume of the resuspended sediments or rests on contaminated substrate and absorbs the toxic compounds through one of several routes: dermal contact, ingestion, or uptake across the gills. Elevated contaminant levels may be found in localized "hot spots" where discharge occurs or where river currents deposit sediment loads. Sediment contaminant levels can thus be significantly higher than the overlying water column concentrations (EPA 1994). However, the more likely route of exposure to salmonids or sturgeon is through the food chain, when the fish feed on organisms that are contaminated with toxic compounds. Prey species become contaminated either by feeding on the detritus associated with the sediments or dwelling in the sediment itself. Therefore, the degree of exposure to the salmonids and green sturgeon depends on their trophic level and the amount of contaminated forage base they consume. Response of salmonids and green sturgeon to contaminated sediments is similar to water borne exposures.

Low DO levels frequently are observed in the portion of the Stockton deep-water ship channel (DWSC) extending from Channel Point, downstream to Turner and Columbia Cuts. Over a 5-year period, starting in August 2000, a DO meter has recorded channel DO levels at Rough and Ready Island (Dock 20 of the West Complex). Over the course of this time period, there have been 297 days in which violations of the 5 mg/l DO criteria for the protection of aquatic life in the San Joaquin River between Channel Point and Turner and Columbia Cuts have occurred

during the September through May migratory period for salmonids in the San Joaquin River. The data derived from the California Data Exchange Center files indicate that DO depressions occur during all migratory months, with significant events occurring from November through March when listed Central Valley steelhead adults and smolts would be utilizing this portion of the San Joaquin River as a migratory corridor.

Potential factors that contribute to these DO depressions are reduced river flows through the ship channel, released ammonia from the City of Stockton Wastewater Treatment Plant, upstream contributions of organic materials (*e.g.*, algal loads, nutrients, agricultural discharges) and the increased volume of the dredged ship channel. During the winter and early spring emigration period, increased ammonia concentrations in the discharges from the City of Stockton Waste Water Treatment Facility lowers the DO in the adjacent DWSC near the West Complex. In addition to the adverse effects of the lowered DO on salmonid physiology, ammonia is in itself toxic to salmonids at low concentrations. Likewise, adult fish migrating upstream will encounter lowered DO in the DWSC as they move upstream in the fall and early winter due to low flows and excessive algal and nutrient loads coming downstream from the upper San Joaquin River watershed. Levels of DO below 5 mg/L have been reported as delaying or blocking fall-run Chinook salmon in studies conducted by Hallock *et al.* (1970).

6. Hatchery Operations and Practices

Five hatcheries currently produce Chinook salmon in the Central Valley and four of these also produce steelhead. Releasing large numbers of hatchery fish can pose a threat to wild Chinook salmon and steelhead stocks through genetic impacts, competition for food and other resources between hatchery and wild fish, predation of hatchery fish on wild fish, and increased fishing pressure on wild stocks as a result of hatchery production (Waples 1991). The genetic impacts of artificial propagation programs in the Central Valley primarily are caused by straying of hatchery fish and the subsequent interbreeding of hatchery fish with wild fish. In the Central Valley, practices such as transferring eggs between hatcheries and trucking smolts to distant sites for release contribute to elevated straying levels (Department of the Interior [DOI] 1999). For example, Nimbus Hatchery on the American River rears Eel River steelhead stock and releases these fish in the Sacramento River basin. One of the recommendations in the Joint Hatchery Review Report (NMFS and CDFG 2001) was to identify and designate new sources of steelhead brood stock to replace the current Eel River origin brood stock.

Hatchery practices as well as spatial and temporal overlaps of habitat use and spawning activity between spring- and fall-run fish have led to the hybridization and homogenization of some subpopulations (CDFG 1998). As early as the 1960s, Slater (1963) observed that early fall- and spring-run Chinook salmon were competing for spawning sites in the Sacramento River below Keswick Dam, and speculated that the two runs may have hybridized. The FRH spring-run Chinook salmon have been documented as straying throughout the Central Valley for many years (CDFG 1998), and in many cases have been recovered from the spawning grounds of fall-run Chinook salmon, an indication that FRH spring-run Chinook salmon may exhibit fall-run life history characteristics. Although the degree of hybridization has not been comprehensively determined, it is clear that the populations of spring-run Chinook salmon spawning in the Feather River and counted at RBDD contain hybridized fish.

The management of hatcheries, such as Nimbus Hatchery and FRH, can directly impact spring-run Chinook salmon and steelhead populations by oversaturating the natural carrying capacity of the limited habitat available below dams. In the case of the Feather River, significant redd superimposition occurs in-river due to hatchery overproduction and the inability to physically separate spring- and fall-run Chinook salmon adults. This concurrent spawning has led to hybridization between the spring- and fall-run Chinook salmon in the Feather River. At Nimbus Hatchery, operating Folsom Dam to meet temperature requirements for returning hatchery fall-run Chinook salmon often limits the amount if water available for steelhead spawning and rearing the rest of the year.

The increase in Central Valley hatchery production has reversed the composition of the steelhead population, from 88 percent naturally produced fish in the 1950s (McEwan 2001) to an estimated 23 to 37 percent naturally produced fish currently (Nobriga and Cadrett 2001). The increase in hatchery steelhead production proportionate to the wild population has reduced the viability of the wild steelhead populations, increased the use of out-of-basin stocks for hatchery production, and increased straying (NMFS and CDFG 2001). Thus, the ability of natural populations to successfully reproduce and continue their genetic integrity likely has been diminished.

The relatively low number of spawners needed to sustain a hatchery population can result in high harvest-to-escapements ratios in waters where fishing regulations are set according to hatchery population. This can lead to over-exploitation and reduction in the size of wild populations existing in the same system as hatchery populations due to incidental bycatch (McEwan 2001).

Hatcheries also can have some positive effects on salmonid populations. Artificial propagation has been shown to be effective in bolstering the numbers of naturally spawning fish in the short term under specific scenarios. Artificial propagation programs can also aid in conserving genetic resources and guarding against catastrophic loss of naturally spawned populations at critically low abundance levels, as was the case with the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon population during the 1990s. However, relative abundance is only one component of a viable salmonid population.

7. Over Utilization

a. *Ocean Commercial and Sport Harvest – Chinook Salmon and Steelhead*

Extensive ocean recreational and commercial troll fisheries for Chinook salmon exist along the Northern and Central California coast, and an inland recreational fishery exists in the Central Valley for Chinook salmon and steelhead. Ocean harvest of Central Valley Chinook salmon is estimated using an abundance index, called the Central Valley Index (CVI). The CVI is the ratio of Chinook salmon harvested south of Point Arena (where 85 percent of Central Valley Chinook salmon are caught) to escapement. CWT returns indicate that Sacramento River salmon congregate off the California coast between Point Arena and Morro Bay.

Since 1970, the CVI for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon generally has ranged between 0.50 and 0.80. In 1990, when ocean harvest of winter-run Chinook salmon was first

evaluated by NMFS and the Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC), the CVI harvest rate was near the highest recorded level at 0.79. NMFS determined in a 1991 biological opinion that continuance of the 1990 ocean harvest rate would not prevent the recovery of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon. Through the early 1990s, the ocean harvest index was below the 1990 level (*i.e.*, 0.71 in 1991 and 1992, 0.72 in 1993, 0.74 in 1994, 0.78 in 1995, and 0.64 in 1996). In 1996 and 1997, NMFS issued a biological opinion which concluded that incidental ocean harvest of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon represented a significant source of mortality to the endangered population, even though ocean harvest was not a key factor leading to the decline of the population. As a result of these opinions, measures were developed and implemented by the PFMC, NMFS, and CDFG to reduce ocean harvest by approximately 50 percent. In 2001 the CVI dropped to 0.27, most likely due to the reduction in harvest and the higher abundance of other salmonids originating from the Central Valley (Good *et al.* 2005).

Ocean fisheries have affected the age structure of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon through targeting large fish for many years and reducing the numbers of 4- and 5-year-old fish (CDFG 1998). Ocean harvest rates of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon are thought to be a function of the CVI (Good *et al.* 2005). Harvest rates of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ranged from 0.55 to nearly 0.80 between 1970 and 1995 when harvest rates were adjusted for the protection of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon. The drop in the CVI in 2001 as a result of high fall-run escapement to 0.27 also reduced harvest of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon. There is essentially no ocean harvest of steelhead.

b. *Inland Sport Harvest –Chinook Salmon and Steelhead*

Historically in California, almost half of the river sport fishing effort was in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River system, particularly upstream from the City of Sacramento (Emmett *et al.* 1991). Since 1987, the Fish and Game Commission has adopted increasingly stringent regulations to reduce and virtually eliminate the in-river sport fishery for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon. Present regulations include a year-round closure to Chinook salmon fishing between Keswick Dam and the Deschutes Road Bridge and a rolling closure to Chinook salmon fishing on the Sacramento River between the Deschutes River Bridge and the Carquinez Bridge. The rolling closure spans the months that migrating adult Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon are ascending the Sacramento River to their spawning grounds. These closures have virtually eliminated impacts on Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon caused by recreational angling in freshwater. In 1992, the California Fish and Game Commission adopted gear restrictions (all hooks must be barbless and a maximum of 5.7 cm in length) to minimize hooking injury and mortality of winter-run Chinook salmon caused by trout anglers. That same year, the Commission also adopted regulations which prohibited any salmon from being removed from the water to further reduce the potential for injury and mortality.

In-river recreational fisheries historically have taken Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon throughout the species' range. During the summer, holding adult Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon are easily targeted by anglers when they congregate in large pools. Poaching also occurs at fish ladders, and other areas where adults congregate; however, the significance of poaching on the adult population is unknown. Specific regulations for the protection of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon in Mill, Deer, Butte, and Big Chico Creeks and the Yuba

River have been added to the existing CDFG regulations. The current regulations, including those developed for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon provide some level of protection for spring-run fish (CDFG 1998).

There is little information on steelhead harvest rates in California. Hallock *et al.* (1961) estimated that harvest rates for Sacramento River steelhead from the 1953-1954 through 1958-1959 seasons ranged from 25.1 percent to 45.6 percent assuming a 20 percent non-return rate of tags. The average annual harvest rate of adult steelhead above RBDD for the 3-year period from 1991-1992 through 1993-1994 was 16 percent (McEwan and Jackson 1996). Since 1998, all hatchery steelhead have been marked with an adipose fin clip allowing anglers to distinguish hatchery and wild steelhead. Current regulations restrict anglers from keeping unmarked steelhead in Central Valley streams. Overall, this regulation has greatly increased protection of naturally produced adult steelhead; however, the total number of Central Valley steelhead contacted might be a significant fraction of basin-wide escapement, and even low catch-and-release mortality may pose a problem for wild populations (Good *et al.* 2005).

c. *Green Sturgeon*

Commercial harvest of white sturgeon results in the incidental bycatch of green sturgeon primarily along the Oregon and Washington coasts and within their coastal estuaries. Oregon and Washington have recently prohibited the retention of green sturgeon in their waters for commercial and recreational fisheries. Adams *et al.* (2002, 2007) reported harvest of green sturgeon from California, Oregon, and Washington between 1985 and 2001. Total captures of green sturgeon in the Columbia River Estuary by commercial means ranged from 240 fish per year to 6,000. Catches in Willapa Bay and Grays Harbor by commercial means combined ranged from 9 fish to 2,494 fish per year. Emmett *et al.* (1991) indicated that averages of 4.7 to 15.9 tons of green sturgeon were landed annually in Grays Harbor and Willapa Bay respectively. Overall, captures appeared to be dropping through the years; however, this could be related to changing fishing regulations. Adams *et al.* (2002, 2007) also reported sport fishing captures in California, Oregon, and Washington. Within the San Francisco Estuary, green sturgeon are captured by sport fisherman targeting the more desirable white sturgeon, particularly in San Pablo and Suisun bays (Emmett *et al.* 1991). Sport fishing in the Columbia River, Willapa Bay, and Grays Harbor captured from 22 to 553 fish per year between 1985 and 2001. Again, it appears sport fishing captures are dropping through time; however, it is not known if this is a result of abundance, changed fishing regulations, or other factors. Based on new research by Israel (2006a) and past tagged fish returns reported by CDFG (2002), a high proportion of green sturgeon present in the Columbia River, Willapa Bay, and Grays Harbor (as much as 80 percent in the Columbia River) may be Southern DPS North American green sturgeon. This indicates a potential threat to the Southern DPS North American green sturgeon population. Beamesderfer *et al.* (2007) estimated that green sturgeon will be vulnerable to slot limits (outside of California) for approximately 14 years of their life span. Fishing gear mortality presents an additional risk to the long-lived sturgeon species such as the green sturgeon (Boreman 1997). Although sturgeon are relatively hardy and generally survive being hooked, their long life makes them vulnerable to repeated hooking encounters, which leads to an overall significant hooking mortality rate over their lifetime. An adult green sturgeon may not become sexually mature until they are 13 to 18 years of age for males (152-185cm), and 16 to 27 years of age for females

(165-202 cm) (Van Eenennaam 2006). Even though slot limits "protect" a significant proportion of the life history of green sturgeon from harvest, they do not protect them from fishing pressure.

Green sturgeon are caught incidentally by sport fisherman targeting the more highly desired white sturgeon within the Delta waterways and the Sacramento River. New regulations which went into effect in March 2007, reduced the slot limit of sturgeon from 72 inches to 66 inches, and limit the retention of white sturgeon to one fish per day with a total of 3 fish retained per year. In addition, a non-transferable sturgeon punch card with tags must be obtained by each angler fishing for sturgeon. All sturgeon caught must be recorded on the card, including those released. All green sturgeon must be released unharmed and recorded on the sturgeon punch card by the angler.

Poaching rates of green sturgeon in the Central Valley are unknown; however, catches of sturgeon occur during all years, especially during wet years. Unfortunately, there is no catch, effort, and stock size data for this fishery which precludes making exploitation estimates (USFWS 1995a). Areas just downstream of Thermalito Afterbay outlet and Cox's Spillway, and several barriers impeding migration on the Feather River may be areas of high adult mortality from increased fishing effort and poaching. The small population of sturgeon inhabiting the San Joaquin River experiences heavy fishing pressure, particularly regarding illegal snagging and it may be more than the population can support (USFWS 1995a).

8. Disease and Predation

Infectious disease is one of many factors that influence adult and juvenile salmonid survival. Salmonids are exposed to numerous bacterial, protozoan, viral, and parasitic organisms in spawning and rearing areas, hatcheries, migratory routes, and the marine environment (NMFS 1996a, 1996b, 1998a). Specific diseases such as bacterial kidney disease, *Ceratomyxosis shasta* (C-shasta), columnaris, furunculosis, infectious hematopoietic necrosis, redmouth and black spot disease, whirling disease, and erythrocytic inclusion body syndrome are known, among others, to affect steelhead and Chinook salmon (NMFS 1996a, 1996b, 1998a). Very little current or historical information exists to quantify changes in infection levels and mortality rates attributable to these diseases; however, studies have shown that wild fish tend to be less susceptible to pathogens than are hatchery-reared fish. Nevertheless, wild salmonids may contract diseases that are spread through the water column (*i.e.*, waterborne pathogens) as well as through interbreeding with infected hatchery fish. The stress of being released into the wild from a controlled hatchery environment frequently causes latent infections to convert into a more pathological state, and increases the potential of transmission from hatchery reared fish to wild stocks within the same waters.

Accelerated predation also may be a factor in the decline of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon and Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, and to a lesser degree Central Valley steelhead. Human-induced habitat changes such as alteration of natural flow regimes and installation of bank revetment and structures such as dams, bridges, water diversions, piers, and wharves often provide conditions that both disorient juvenile salmonids and attract predators (Stevens 1961, Decato 1978, Vogel *et al.* 1988, Garcia 1989).

On the mainstem Sacramento River, high rates of predation are known to occur at the RBDD, Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District's (ACID) diversion dam, GCID's diversion facility, areas where rock revetment has replaced natural river bank vegetation, and at South Delta water diversion structures (*e.g.*, Clifton Court Forebay; CDFG 1998). Predation at RBDD on juvenile winter-run Chinook salmon is believed to be higher than normal due to flow dynamics associated with the operation of this structure. Due to their small size, early emigrating winter-run Chinook salmon may be very susceptible to predation in Lake Red Bluff when the RBDD gates remain closed in summer and early fall. In passing the dam, juveniles are subject to conditions which greatly disorient them, making them highly susceptible to predation by fish or birds. Sacramento pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus grandis*) and striped bass congregate below the dam and prey on juvenile salmon in the tail waters. The Sacramento pikeminnow is a species native to the Sacramento River basin and has co-evolved with the anadromous salmonids in this system. However, rearing conditions in the Sacramento River today (*e.g.*, warm water, low-irregular flow, standing water, and water diversions) compared to its natural state and function decades ago in the pre-dam era, are more conducive to warm water species such as Sacramento pikeminnow and striped bass than to native salmonids. Tucker *et al.* (1998) reported that predation during the summer months by Sacramento pikeminnow on juvenile salmonids increased to 66 percent of the total weight of stomach contents in the predatory pikeminnow. Striped bass showed a strong preference for juvenile salmonids as prey during this study. This research also indicated that the percent frequency of occurrence for juvenile salmonids nearly equaled other fish species in the stomach contents of the predatory fish. Tucker *et al.* (2003) showed the temporal distribution for these two predators in the RBDD area were directly related to RBDD operations (predators congregated when the dam gates were in, and dispersed when the gates were removed).

USFWS found that more predatory fish were found at rock revetment bank protection sites between Chico Landing and Red Bluff than at sites with naturally eroding banks (Michny and Hampton 1984). From October 1976 to November 1993, CDFG conducted 10 mark/recapture studies at the SWP's Clifton Court Forebay to estimate pre-screen losses using hatchery-reared juvenile Chinook salmon. Pre-screen losses ranged from 69 percent to 99 percent. Predation by striped bass is thought to be the primary cause of the loss (Gingras 1997).

Predation on juvenile salmonids has increased as a result of water development activities which have created ideal habitats for predators and non-native invasive species (NIS). Turbulent conditions near dam bypasses, turbine outfalls, water conveyances, and spillways disorient juvenile salmonid migrants and increase their predator avoidance response time, thus improving predator success. Increased exposure to predators has also resulted from reduced water flow through reservoirs; a condition which has increased juvenile travel time. Other locations in the Central Valley where predation is of concern include flood bypasses, post-release sites for salmonids salvaged at the CVP and SWP Fish Facilities, and the SMSCG. Predation on salmon by striped bass and pikeminnow at salvage release sites in the Delta and lower Sacramento River has been documented (Orsi 1967, Pickard *et al.* 1982); however, accurate predation rates at these sites are difficult to determine. CDFG conducted predation studies from 1987 to 1993 at the SMSCG to determine if the structure attracts and concentrates predators. The dominant predator species at the SMSCG was striped bass, and the remains of juvenile Chinook salmon were identified in their stomach contents (Edwards *et al.* 1996, Tillman *et al.* 1996, NMFS 1997).

Avian predation on fish contributes to the loss of migrating juvenile salmonids by constraining natural and artificial production. Fish-eating birds that occur in the California Central Valley include great blue herons (*Ardea herodias*), gulls (*Larus spp.*), osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*), common mergansers (*Mergus merganser*), American white pelicans (*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*), double-crested cormorants (*Phalacrocorax spp.*), Caspian terns (*Sterna caspia*), belted kingfishers (*Ceryle alcyon*), black-crowned night herons (*Nycticorax nycticorax*), Forster's terns (*Sterna forsteri*), hooded mergansers (*Lophodytes cucullatus*), and bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) (Stephenson and Fast 2005). These birds have high metabolic rates and require large quantities of food relative to their body size.

Mammals can also be an important source of predation on salmonids within the California Central Valley. Predators such as river otters (*Lutra canadensis*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*), and western spotted skunk (*Spilogale gracilis*) are common. Other mammals that take salmonid include: badger (*Taxidea taxus*), bobcat (*Linx rufis*), coyote (*Canis latrans*), gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), long-tailed weasel (*Mustela frenata*), mink (*Mustela vison*), mountain lion (*Felis concolor*), red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), and ringtail (*Bassariscus astutus*). These animals, especially river otters, are capable of removing large numbers of salmon and trout from the aquatic habitat (Dolloff 1993). Mammals have the potential to consume large numbers of salmonids, but generally scavenge post-spawned salmon. In the marine environment, pinnipeds, including harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*), California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*), and Steller's sea lions (*Eumetopia jubatus*) are the primary marine mammals preying on salmonids (Spence *et al.* 1996). Pacific striped dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*) and killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) can also prey on adult salmonids in the nearshore marine environment, and at times become locally important. Although harbor seal and sea lion predation primarily is confined to the marine and estuarine environments, they are known to travel well into freshwater after migrating fish and have frequently been encountered in the Delta and the lower portions of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers. All of these predators are opportunists, searching out locations where juveniles and adults are most vulnerable, such as the large water diversions in the South Delta.

9. Environmental Variation

Natural changes in the freshwater and marine environments play a major role in salmonid abundance. Recent evidence suggests that marine survival among salmonids fluctuates in response to 20- to 30-year cycles of climatic conditions and ocean productivity (Hare *et al.* 1999, Mantua and Hare 2002). This phenomenon has been referred to as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation. In addition, large-scale climatic regime shifts, such as the El Niño condition, appear to change productivity levels over large expanses of the Pacific Ocean. A further confounding effect is the fluctuation between drought and wet conditions in the basins of the American west. During the first part of the 1990s, much of the Pacific Coast was subject to a series of very dry years, which reduced inflows to watersheds up and down the west coast.

"El Niño" is an environmental condition often cited as a cause for the decline of West Coast salmonids (NMFS 1996b). El Niño is an unusual warming of the Pacific Ocean off South America and is caused by atmospheric changes in the tropical Pacific Ocean (Southern

Oscillation-ENSO) resulting in reductions or reversals of the normal trade wind circulation patterns. The El Niño ocean conditions are characterized by anomalous warm sea surface temperatures and changes to coastal currents and upwelling patterns. Principal ecosystem alterations include decreased primary and secondary productivity in affected regions and changes in prey and predator species distributions. Cold-water species are displaced towards higher latitudes or move into deeper, cooler water, and their habitat niches occupied by species tolerant of warmer water that move upwards from the lower latitudes with the warm water tongue.

A key factor affecting many West Coast stocks has been a general 30-year decline in ocean productivity. The mechanism whereby stocks are affected is not well understood, partially because the pattern of response to these changing ocean conditions has differed among stocks, presumably due to differences in their ocean timing and distribution. It is presumed that survival in the ocean is driven largely by events occurring between ocean entry and recruitment to a sub-adult life stage.

NMFS issued a statement dated February 2, 2008 (NMFS 2008) which assessed potential causes for the reduced escapement of adult Chinook salmon and coho salmon (*O. kisutch*) in California. In this document, NMFS found that poor ocean conditions were the primary causative factor for the low escapement numbers in 2007-2008. This finding was based on the spatial extent of the low returns along the coast of California which includes both Central Valley stocks of Chinook salmon and coastal stocks of coho salmon. NMFS' analysis found that ocean conditions were poor for salmon growth and survival during the spring-summer seasons of both 2005 and 2006. The Wells Ocean Productivity Index (WOPI), a composite index of 13 oceanographic variables and indices, weighted heavily by sea level height, sea surface temperature, upwelling index, and surface wind stress has been used successfully to track several other biological parameters including ocean productivity and rockfish juvenile production. In both of the spring-summer seasons of 2005 and 2006, the WOPI values were at some of their lowest levels ever for waters along the California coast. Only WOPI values during the El Niño years (1982-83, 1992-93, and 1999) had lower values. The WOPI index is also predicting that the 2008 salmon escapement numbers are likely to be low.

10. Ecosystem Restoration

a. *California Bay-Delta Authority (CBDA)*

Two programs included under CBDA; the Ecosystem Restoration Program (ERP) and the EWA, were created to improve conditions for fish, including listed salmonids, in the Central Valley (CALFED 2000). Restoration actions implemented by the ERP include the installation of fish screens, modification of barriers to improve fish passage, habitat acquisition, and instream habitat restoration. The majority of these actions address key factors affecting listed salmonids and emphasis has been placed in tributary drainages with high potential for steelhead and spring-run Chinook salmon production. Additional ongoing actions include new efforts to enhance fisheries monitoring and directly support salmonid production through hatchery releases. Recent habitat restoration initiatives sponsored and funded primarily by the CBDA-ERP Program have resulted in plans to restore ecological function to 9,543 acres of shallow-water tidal and marsh habitats within the Delta. Restoration of these areas primarily involves flooding lands previously

used for agriculture, thereby creating additional rearing habitat for juvenile salmonids. Similar habitat restoration is imminent adjacent to Suisun Marsh (*i.e.*, at the confluence of Montezuma Slough and the Sacramento River) as part of the Montezuma Wetlands project, which is intended to provide for commercial disposal of material dredged from San Francisco Bay in conjunction with tidal wetland restoration.

A sub-program of the ERP called the Environmental Water Program (EWP) has been established to support ERP projects through enhancement of instream flows that are biologically and ecologically significant in anadromous reaches of priority streams controlled by dams. This program is in the development stage and the benefits to listed salmonids are not yet clear. Clear Creek is one of five priority watersheds in the Central Valley that has been targeted for action during Phase I of the EWP.

The EWA is designed to provide water at critical times to meet ESA requirements and incidental take limits without water supply impacts to other users, particularly South of Delta water users. In early 2001, the EWA released 290 thousand acre feet of water from San Luis Reservoir at key times to offset reductions in South Delta pumping implemented to protect winter-run Chinook salmon, delta smelt, and splittail. However, the benefit derived by this action to winter-run Chinook salmon in terms of number of fish saved was very small. The anticipated benefits to other Delta fisheries from the use of the EWA water are much higher than those benefits ascribed to listed salmonids by the EWA release.

Currently, the EWA program is authorized through 2010 and is scheduled to be reduced in its scope. Future EWA operations will be considered to have limited assets and will primarily be utilized only during the VAMP pumping reductions in April and May to offset the "uncompensated losses" to CVP and SWP contractors for fisheries related actions. The primary source of EWA assets through 2015 will come from the 60,000 acres feet of water transferred to the State under the Yuba Accord with total assets of approximately.

b. *Central Valley Project Improvement Act*

The CVPIA, implemented in 1992, requires that fish and wildlife get equal consideration with other demands for water allocations derived from the CVP. From this act arose several programs that have benefited listed salmonids: the Anadromous Fish Restoration Program (AFRP), the Anadromous Fish Screen Program (AFSP), and the Water Acquisition Program (WAP). The AFRP is engaged in monitoring, education, and restoration projects geared toward recovery of all anadromous fish species residing in the Central Valley. Restoration projects funded through the AFRP include fish passage, fish screening, riparian easement and land acquisition, development of watershed planning groups, instream and riparian habitat improvement, and gravel replenishment. The AFSP combines Federal funding with State and private funds to prioritize and construct fish screens on major water diversions mainly in the upper Sacramento River. The goal of the WAP is to acquire water supplies to meet the habitat restoration and enhancement goals of the CVPIA and to improve the DOI's ability to meet regulatory water quality requirements. Water has been used successfully to improve fish habitat for spring-run Chinook salmon and steelhead by maintaining or increasing instream flows in Butte and Mill Creeks and the San Joaquin River at critical times.

c. *Iron Mountain Mine Remediation*

Environmental Protection Agency's Iron Mountain Mine remediation involves the removal of toxic metals in acidic mine drainage from the Spring Creek Watershed with a state-of-the-art lime neutralization plant. Contaminant loading into the Sacramento River from Iron Mountain Mine has shown measurable reductions since the early 1990s (see Reclamation 2004 Appendix J). Decreasing the heavy metal contaminants that enter the Sacramento River should increase the survival of salmonid eggs and juveniles. However, during periods of heavy rainfall upstream of the Iron Mountain Mine, Reclamation substantially increases Sacramento River flows in order to dilute heavy metal contaminants being spilled from the Spring Creek debris dam. This rapid change in flows can cause juvenile salmonids to become stranded or isolated in side channels below Keswick Dam.

d. *State Water Project Delta Pumping Plant Fish Protection Agreement (Four-Pumps Agreement)*

The Four Pumps Agreement Program has approved about $49 million for projects that benefit salmon and steelhead production in the Sacramento-San Joaquin basins and Delta since the agreement inception in 1986. Four Pumps projects that benefit spring-run Chinook salmon and steelhead include water exchange programs on Mill and Deer Creeks; enhanced law enforcement efforts from San Francisco Bay upstream to the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and their tributaries; design and construction of fish screens and ladders on Butte Creek; and screening of diversions in Suisun Marsh and San Joaquin tributaries. Predator habitat isolation and removal, and spawning habitat enhancement projects on the San Joaquin tributaries benefit steelhead (see Reclamation 2004 Chapter 15).

11. Non-Native Invasive Species (NIS)

As currently seen in the San Francisco estuary, NIS can alter the natural food webs that existed prior to their introduction. Perhaps the most significant example is illustrated by the Asiatic freshwater clams *Corbicula fluminea* and *Potamocorbula amurensis*. The arrival of these clams in the estuary disrupted the normal benthic community structure and depressed phytoplankton levels in the estuary due to the highly efficient filter feeding of the introduced clams (Cohen and Moyle 2004). The decline in the levels of phytoplankton reduces the population levels of zooplankton that feed upon them, and hence reduces the forage base available to salmonids transiting the Delta and San Francisco estuary which feed either upon the zooplankton directly or their mature forms. This lack of forage base can adversely impact the health and physiological condition of these salmonids as they emigrate through the Delta region to the Pacific Ocean.

Attempts to control the NIS also can adversely impact the health and well-being of salmonids within the affected water systems. For example, the control programs for the invasive water hyacinth and *Egeria densa* plants in the Delta must balance the toxicity of the herbicides applied to control the plants to the probability of exposure to listed salmonids during herbicide application. In addition, the control of the nuisance plants can have negative effects on certain

physical parameters that must be accounted for in the treatment protocols, particularly the decrease in DO resulting from the decomposing vegetable matter left by plants that have died.

12. Summary

For Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, and Central Valley steelhead, the construction of high dams for hydropower, flood control, and water supply resulted in the loss of vast amounts of upstream habitat (*i.e.*, approximately 80 percent, or a minimum linear estimate of over 1,000 stream miles), and often resulted in precipitous declines in affected salmonid populations. For example, the completion of Friant Dam in 1947 has been linked with the extirpation of spring-run Chinook salmon in the San Joaquin River upstream of the Merced River within just a few years. The reduced populations that remain below Central Valley dams are forced to spawn in lower elevation tailwater habitats of the mainstem rivers and tributaries that were previously not used for this purpose. This habitat is entirely dependent on managing reservoir releases to maintain cool water temperatures suitable for spawning, and/or rearing of salmonids. This requirement has been difficult to achieve in all water year types and for all life stages of affected salmonid species. Steelhead, in particular, seem to require the qualities of small tributary habitat similar to what they historically used for spawning; habitat that is largely unavailable to them under the current water management scenario. All salmonid species considered in this consultation have been adversely affected by the production of hatchery fish associated with the mitigation for the habitat lost to dam construction (*e.g.*, from genetic impacts, increased competition, exposure to novel diseases, *etc.*).

Land-use activities such as road construction, urban development, logging, mining, agriculture, and recreation are pervasive and have significantly altered fish habitat quantity and quality for Chinook salmon and steelhead through alteration of streambank and channel morphology; alteration of ambient water temperatures; degradation of water quality; elimination of spawning and rearing habitat; fragmentation of available habitats; elimination of downstream recruitment of LWD; and removal of riparian vegetation resulting in increased streambank erosion. Human-induced habitat changes, such as: alteration of natural flow regimes; installation of bank revetment; and building structures such as dams, bridges, water diversions, piers, and wharves, often provide conditions that both disorient juvenile salmonids and attract predators. Harvest activities, ocean productivity, and drought conditions provide added stressors to listed salmonid populations. In contrast, various ecosystem restoration activities have contributed to improved conditions for listed salmonids (*e.g.*, various fish screens). However, some important restoration activities (*e.g.*, Battle Creek Restoration Project) have not yet been implemented and benefits to listed salmonids from the EWA have been less than anticipated.

Similar to the listed salmonids, the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon have been negatively impacted by hydroelectric and water storage operations in the Central Valley which ultimately affect the hydrology and accesibility of Central Valley rivers and streams to anadromous fish. Anthropogenic manipulations of the aquatic habitat, such as dredging, bank stabilization, and waste water discharges have also degraded the quality of the Central Valley's waterways for green sturgeon.

IV. ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

The environmental baseline "includes the past and present impacts of all Federal, State, or private actions and other human activities in the action area, the anticipated impacts of all proposed Federal projects in the action area that have already undergone formal or early section 7 consultation, and the impact of State or private actions which are contemporaneous with the consultation in process" (50 CFR §402.02).

A. Status of the Species and Critical Habitat in the Action Area

1. Status of the Species within the Action Area

The action area functions primarily as a migratory corridor for adult and juvenile Central Valley steelhead. All adult Central Valley steelhead originating in the San Joaquin River watershed will have to migrate through the action area in order to reach their spawning grounds and to return to the ocean following spawning. Likewise, all Central Valley steelhead smolts originating in the San Joaquin River watershed will also have to pass through the action area during their emigration to the ocean. The waterways in the action area also are expected to provide some rearing benefit to emigrating steelhead smolts as they move through the action area. The action area also provides some use as a migratory corridor and rearing habitat for juvenile Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon and Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, as well as Central Valley steelhead from the Sacramento River watershed that are drawn into the Central and South Delta and must therefore emigrate towards the ocean through the lower San Joaquin River system. The action area also functions as migratory, holding, and rearing habitat for adult and juvenile Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon.

a. *Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon*

The temporal occurrence of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon smolts and juveniles in the action area are best described by the salvage records of the CVP and SWP fish handling facilities. Based on salvage records covering the last 8 years at the CVP and SWP, Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon are typically present in the Western and Central Delta starting in December. Their presence peaks in March and then rapidly declines from April through June. Nearly 50 percent of the average annual salvage of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon juveniles occurs in March (48.8 percent). Salvage in April accounts for only 2.8 percent of the average annual salvage and falls to less than 1 percent for May and June combined Table 8). The presence of juvenile Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon in the Western and Central Delta is a function of river flows on the Sacramento River, where the fish are spawned, and the demands for water diverted by the SWP and CVP facilities. When conditions on the Sacramento River are conducive to stimulating outmigrations of juvenile Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, the draw of the CVP and SWP pumping facilities pulls a portion of these emigrating fish through one of the four access points on the Sacramento River (Georgiana Slough, the Delta Cross Channel, Three Mile Slough, and the San Joaquin River via Broad Slough) into the channels of the Western and Central Delta, including the lower sections of the San Joaquin River. The combination of pumping rates and tidal flows primarily moves these fish

into the western portion of the Delta, however, and the proportion of these fish that are drawn into the lower San Joaquin River portion of the action area is expected to be minimal by comparison.

b. *Central Valley Spring-Run Chinook salmon*

Like the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, the presence of juvenile Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon in the action area is under the influence of the CVP and SWP water diversions and the flows on the Sacramento River and its tributary watersheds. Juvenile Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon first begin to appear in this area in January. A significant presence of fish does not occur until March (20.1 percent of average annual salvage) and peaks in April (66.8 percent of average annual salvage) (Table 8). By May, the salvage of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon juveniles declines sharply (11.5 percent of average annual salvage) and essentially ends by the end of June (1.3 percent of average annual salvage).

Currently, all known populations of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon inhabit the Sacramento River watershed. The San Joaquin River watershed populations have been extirpated, with the last known runs on the San Joaquin River being extirpated in the late 1940s and early 1950s following the construction of Friant Dam and the opening of the Kern-Friant irrigation canal. As in the case of Sacramento winter-run Chinook salmon, however, a small percentage of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon may get drawn into the Lower San Joaquin River portion of the action area as the result of CVP and SWP pumping operations.

c. *Central Valley Steelhead*

The Central Valley steelhead DPS occurs in both the Sacramento River and the San Joaquin River watersheds. However the spawning population of fish is much greater in the Sacramento River watershed and accounts for nearly all of the DPS' population. Like Sacramento River Chinook salmon, Sacramento River origin steelhead can be drawn into the Central and Western Delta by the actions of the CVP and SWP water diversion facilities. Small, remnant populations of Central Valley steelhead are known to occur on the Stanislaus River and the Tuolumne River and their presence is assumed on the Merced River due to proximity, similar habitats, historical presence, and recent otolith chemistry studies verifying at least one steelhead in the limited samples collected from the river. Central Valley steelhead smolts first start to appear in the action area in November based on the records from the CVP and SWP fish salvage facilities (Table 8). Their presence increases through December and January (22.5 percent of average annual salvage) and peaks in February (34.6 percent) and March (31.6 percent) before rapidly declining in April (7.8 percent). By June, the emigration has essentially ended, with only a small number of fish being salvaged through the summer at the CVP and SWP.

Steelhead smolt production originating in the San Joaquin River basin (all natural) is monitored by Kodiak trawls conducted by the USFWS and CDFG on the mainstem of the San Joaquin River just above the Head of Old River Barrier during the VAMP experimental period. These efforts routinely catch low numbers of outmigrating steelhead smolts from the San Joaquin Basin. Monitoring is less frequent prior to the VAMP, therefore emigrating steelhead smolts have a lower probability of being detected. Rotary screw trap (RST) monitoring on the

Stanislaus River at Caswell State Park and further upriver near the City of Oakdale indicate that smolt sized fish start emigrating downriver in January and can continue through late May. Fry sized fish (30 to 50 mm) are captured at the Oakdale RST starting as early as April and continuing through June. Adult escapement numbers have been monitored for the past several years with the installation of an Alaskan style weir on the lower Stanislaus River near Riverbank. Typically, very few adult steelhead have been observed moving upstream past the weir. However, in 2006 to 2007, the weir was left in through the winter and spring and seven adult steelhead were counted moving upstream. Natural steelhead production also occurs on the Calaveras River, with empties into the San Joaquin River in the City of Stockton. Monitoring is conducted by RSTs in the upper reaches of the river below New Hogan Dam. Emigration of smolts from this watershed is highly correlated with stream flow conditions, and passage of smolts through the valley floor section of the watercourse is predicated on the river maintaining connectivity with the Delta. Steelhead smolt migrations are likewise monitored at several sites on the Sacramento River by the USFWS and CDFG. An important monitoring station for tracking smolt numbers is the Chipps Island station in the western Delta. This monitoring site collects steelhead smolts produced within the entire Central Valley basin.

Table 8: Salvage rates at the CVP and SWP Fish Collection Facilities for listed Salmonids. (Data from CVO web site)

Sacramento River Winter-run Chinook Salmon

Water Year	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Sum
2006-2007	0	0	87	514	1678	2730	330	0	0	NA	NA	NA	5339
2005-2006	0	0	649	362	1016	1558	249	27	208	NA	NA	NA	4069
2004-2005	0	0	228	3097	1188	644	123	0	0	NA	NA	NA	5280
2003-2004	0	0	84	640	2812	4865	39	30	0	NA	NA	NA	8470
2002-2003	0	0	1261	1641	1464	2789	241	24	8	NA	NA	NA	7401
2001-2002	0	0	1326	478	222	1167	301	0	0	NA	NA	NA	3494
2000-2001	0	0	384	1302	6014	15379	259	0	0	NA	NA	NA	23338
1999-2000	0	0	NA	NA0	NA	1592	250	0	0	NA	NA	NA	1842
Sum	0	0	4019	8007	14394	30724	1792	81	216	0	0	0	59233
Avg	0	0	574	1144	2056	3841	224	10	27	0	0	0	7876
% WR/Total	0	0	9.5	22.5	12.5	29.5	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
% WR	0	0	7.290	14.523	26.109	48.763	2.844	0.129	0.343	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Central Valley Spring-run Chinook Salmon

Water Year	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Sum
2006-2007	0	0	0	0	7	190	4700	3656	0	NA	NA	NA	5262
2005-2006	0	0	0	0	104	1034	8315	3521	668	NA	NA	NA	13642
2004-2005	0	0	0	0	0	1856	10007	1761	639	NA	NA	NA	14263
2003-2004	0	0	0	25	50	4646	5901	960	0	NA	NA	NA	11582
2002-2003	0	0	0	46	57	11400	27977	2577	0	NA	NA	NA	42057
2001-2002	0	0	0	21	8	1245	10832	2465	19	NA	NA	NA	14590
2000-2001	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0
1999-2000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0
Sum	0	0	0	92	226	20371	67732	11649	1326	0	0	0	101396
Avg	0	0	0	15	38	3395	11289	1942	221	0	0	0	16899
SR/Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	26.1	21.1	2.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
% SR	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.091	0.223	20.091	66.799	11.489	1.308	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Central Valley Steelhead

Water Year	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Sum
2006-2007	0	0	10	81	1643	4784	2689	113	20	NA	NA	NA	9340
2005-2006	0	0	0	129	867	3942	337	324	619	NA	NA	NA	6218
2004-2005	0	20	70	120	1212	777	687	159	116	NA	NA	NA	3161
2003-2004	0	12	40	613	10598	4671	207	110	0	NA	NA	NA	16521
2002-2003	0	0	413	13627	3818	2357	823	203	61	NA	NA	NA	21302
2001-2002	0	0	3	1169	1559	2400	583	37	42	NA	NA	NA	5793
2000-2001		0	89	543	5332	5925	720	69	12	NA	NA	NA	12690
1999-2000	3	60	NA	NA	NA	1243	426	87	48	NA	NA	NA	1867
Sum	3	92	625	16282	25029	26099	6472	1102	918	0	0	0	76622
Avg	0	12	89	2326	3576	3262	809	138	115	0	0	0	10327
% SH	0.0	0.1	0.9	22.5	34.6	31.6	7.8	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Total Chinook salmon entrained by month at the CVP and SWP Facilities (average)

Facility	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
CVP	2031	1227	1152	1918	13571	8842	35192	49892	18299	719	42	121
SWP	1628	1531	4891	3165	2883	4182	18435	30009	11037	474	95	76
Sum	3659	2758	6044	5083	16454	13024	53627	79901	29336	1193	137	197

d. *Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon*

Juvenile green sturgeons from the Southern DPS are routinely collected at the SWP and CVP salvage facilities throughout the year. However, numbers are considerably lower than for other species of fish monitored at the facilities. Based on the salvage records from 1981 through 2007, green sturgeon may be present during any month of the year, and have been particularly prevalent during July and August (Figure 6). The sizes of these fish are less than 1 meter and average 330 mm with a range of 136 mm to 774 mm. The size range indicates that these are sub-adult fish rather than adult or larval/juvenile fish. It is believed that these sub-adult fish utilize the Delta for rearing for up to a period of approximately 3 years. The proximity of the CVP and SWP facilities to the action area would indicate that sub-adult green sturgeons have a strong potential to be present within the action area.

Figure 6:
Estimated number of North American green sturgeon (Southern DPS) salvaged monthly from the State Water Project and the Central Valley Project fish collection facilities.
Source: CDFG 2002, unpublished CDFG records.



2. Status of Critical Habitat Within the Action Area

The action area is predominately within the Middle San Joaquin – Lower Merced – Lower Stanislaus and the San Joaquin Delta hydrologic units (HU) (18040002 and 18040003, respectively) and is included in the critical habitat designated for Central Valley steelhead. This

opinion will focus on the mainstem San Joaquin River as well as those waterways in the southern portions of the Delta, which are expected to show expressions of water quality characteristics influenced by discharges originating in the GBP.

The San Joaquin Delta HU is in the southwestern portion of the Central Valley steelhead DPS range and includes portions of the south Delta channel complex. The San Joaquin Delta HU encompasses approximately 938 square miles, with 455 miles of stream channels (at 1:100,000 hydrography). The critical habitat analytical review team (CHART) identified approximately 276 miles of occupied riverine/estuarine habitat in this hydrologic subunit area (HSA) that contained one or more PCEs for the Central Valley steelhead DPS (NMFS 2005b). The PCEs of steelhead habitat within the action area include freshwater rearing habitat, freshwater migration corridors, and estuarine areas. The essential features of these PCEs included the following: sufficient water quantity and floodplain connectivity to form and maintain physical habitat conditions necessary for salmonid development and mobility, sufficient water quality, food and nutrients sources, natural cover and shelter, migration routes free from obstructions, natural levels of predation, holding areas for juveniles and adults, and shallow water areas and wetlands. Habitat within the action area is primarily utilized for freshwater rearing and migration by Central Valley steelhead juveniles and smolts and for adult upstream migration. No spawning of Central Valley steelhead occurs within the action area.

The general condition and function of freshwater rearing and migration habitats has already been described in the *Status of the Species and Critical Habitat* section of this biological opinion. The substantial degradation over time of several of the essential features of these PCEs has diminished the function and condition of the habitats in the action area. This area currently provides only rudimentary functions compared to its historical status. The channels of the Delta have been heavily riprapped with coarse rock slope protection on artificial levee banks and these channels have been straightened to facilitate water conveyance through the system. The extensive riprapping and levee construction has precluded river channel migrations and the formation of natural riverine/estuarine features in the Delta's channels. The natural floodplains have essentially been eliminated, and the once extensive wetlands and riparian zones have been cleared for farming. Little riparian vegetation remains in the Delta, limited mainly to tules growing along the foot of artificial levee banks. Numerous artificial channels also have been created to bring water to irrigated lands that historically did not have access to the river channels (*i.e.*, Victoria Canal, Grant Line Canal, Fabian and Bell Canal, Woodward Cut, *etc.*). These artificial channels have disturbed the natural flow of water through the Delta. As a byproduct of this intensive engineering of the Delta's hydrology, numerous irrigation diversions have been placed along the banks of the flood control levees to divert water from the area's waterways to the agricultural lands of the Delta's numerous "reclaimed" islands. Most of these diversions are not screened adequately to protect migrating fish from entrainment. Sections of the Delta have been routinely dredged by DWR to provide adequate intake depth for these agricultural water diversions, particularly in the South Delta. Likewise, the main channels of the San Joaquin River and the Sacramento River have been routinely dredged by the Corps to create an artificially deep channel to provide passage for ocean going commercial shipping to the Port of Stockton and the Port of Sacramento.

Water flow through the Delta is highly manipulated to serve human purposes. Rainfall and snowmelt is captured by reservoirs in the upper watersheds, from which its release is dictated primarily by downstream human needs. The SWP and CVP pumps draw water towards the southwest corner of the Delta which creates a net upstream flow of water towards their intake points. Fish, and the forage base they depend upon for food, are drawn along with the current towards these diversion points. In addition to the altered flow patterns in the Delta, numerous discharges of treated wastewater from sanitation wastewater treatment plants (*e.g.*, Cities of Tracy, Stockton, Manteca, Lathrop, Modesto, Turlock, Riverbank, Oakdale, Ripon, Mountain House, and the Town of Discovery Bay) and the untreated discharge of numerous agricultural wasteways are emptied into the waters of the San Joaquin River and the channels of the Delta. This leads to cumulative additions to the system of thermal effluent loads as well as cumulative loads of potential contaminants (*i.e.*, selenium, boron, endocrine disruptors, pesticides, biostimulatory compounds, *etc.*).

Those members of the Central Valley steelhead DPS that spawn in the San Joaquin system must pass through the San Joaquin Delta HSA to reach their upstream spawning and freshwater rearing areas on the tributary watersheds. Therefore, it is of critical importance to the long-term viability of the San Joaquin River basin portion of the Central Valley steelhead DPS to maintain a functional migratory corridor and freshwater rearing habitat through the action area and the San Joaquin Delta HSA.

B. Factors Affecting the Species and Habitat in the Action Area

The action area encompasses a small portion of the area utilized by the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon and Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESUs, Central Valley steelhead DPS, and the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon. Many of the range-wide factors affecting these species are discussed in the *Status of the Species and Critical Habitat* section of this biological opinion, and are considered the same in the action area. This section will focus on the specific factors in the action area that are most relevant to the proposed execution of the SLWD and PWD Interim Renewal Contracts.

The magnitude and duration of peak flows during the winter and spring, which affects listed salmonids in the action area, are reduced by water impoundment in upstream reservoirs. Instream flows during the summer and early fall months have increased over historic levels for deliveries of municipal and agricultural water supplies. Overall, water management now reduces natural variability by creating more uniform flows year-round. Current flood control practices require peak flood discharges to be held back and released over a period of weeks to avoid overwhelming the flood control structures downstream of the reservoirs (*i.e.*, levees) and low lying terraces under cultivation (*i.e.*, orchards and row crops) in the natural floodplain along the basin tributaries. Consequently, managed flows often truncate the peak of the flood hydrographs and extend the releases from basin reservoirs over a protracted period. These actions reduce or eliminate the scouring flows necessary to mobilize sediments and create natural riverine morphological features within the action area.

Tidal action in the action area frequently has a much greater effect on river hydrodynamics than riverine flows. Only during high winter and spring runoff events do the effects of the river flow

compensate for the tidal actions in the area. Under natural conditions, flood flows were substantially higher than seen in the currently managed system. This pushed the tidal effects in the western Delta farther to the west, and created a much greater expanse of freshwater dominated habitat. Under the current water management operations, summer flows are higher and more uniform than those that naturally occurred. These conditions extend freshwater habitat farther downstream than under the natural conditions of low summer flows that historically occurred.

High water temperatures also limit habitat availability for listed salmonids in the San Joaquin River and the lower portions of the tributaries feeding into the mainstem of the river. High summer water temperatures in the lower San Joaquin River frequently exceed 72 °F (CDEC database), and create a thermal barrier to the migration of adult and juvenile salmonids.

Levee construction and bank protection have affected salmonid habitat availability and the processes that develop and maintain preferred habitat by reducing floodplain connectivity, changing riverbank substrate size, and decreasing riparian habitat and shaded riverine aquatic (SRA) cover. Such bank protection generally results in two levels of impacts to the environment: (1) site-level impacts which affect the basic physical habitat structure at individual bank protection sites; and (2) reach-level impacts which are the cumulative impacts to ecosystem functions and processes that accrue from multiple bank protection sites within a given river reach (USFWS 2000). Revetted embankments result in loss of sinuosity and braiding and reduce the amount of aquatic habitat. Impacts at the reach level result primarily from halting erosion and controlling riparian vegetation. Reach-level impacts which cause significant impacts to fish are reductions in new habitats of various kinds, changes to sediment and organic material storage and transport, reductions of lower food-chain production, and reduction in LWD.

The use of rock armoring limits recruitment of LWD (*i.e.*, from non-riprapped areas), and greatly reduces, if not eliminates, the retention of LWD once it enters the river channel. Riprapping creates a relatively clean, smooth surface which diminishes the ability of LWD to become securely snagged and anchored by sediment. LWD tends to become only temporarily snagged along riprap, and generally moves downstream with subsequent high flows. Habitat value and ecological functioning aspects are thus greatly reduced, because wood needs to remain in place for extended periods to generate maximum values to fish and wildlife (USFWS 2000). Recruitment of LWD is limited to any eventual, long-term tree mortality and whatever abrasion and breakage may occur during high flows (USFWS 2000). Juvenile salmonids are likely being impacted by reductions, fragmentation, and general lack of connectedness of remaining nearshore refuge areas.

PS and NPS of pollution resulting from agricultural discharge and urban and industrial development occur upstream of, and within the action area. The effects of these impacts are discussed in detail in the *Status of the Species and Critical Habitat* section. Environmental stresses as a result of low water quality can lower reproductive success and may account for low productivity rates in fish (*e.g.* green sturgeon, Klimley 2002). Organic contaminants from agricultural drain water, urban and agricultural runoff from storm events, and high trace element (*i.e.*, heavy metals) concentrations may deleteriously affect early life-stage survival of fish in the Central Valley watersheds (USFWS 1995b). Other impacts to adult migration present in the

action area, such as migration barriers, water conveyance factors, water quality, NIS, *etc.*, are discussed in the *Status of Species and Critical Habitat* section.

V. EFFECTS OF THE ACTION

A. Approach to the Assessment

Pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. §1536), Federal agencies are directed to ensure that their activities are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. Regulations that implement section 7(b)(2) of the ESA require biological opinions to evaluate the direct and indirect effects of Federal actions and actions that are interrelated with or interdependent to the Federal action to determine if it would be reasonable to expect them to appreciably reduce listed species' likelihood of surviving and recovering in the wild by reducing their reproduction, numbers, or distribution (16 U.S.C. §1536; 50 CFR 402.02). Section 7 of the ESA and its implementing regulations also require biological opinions to determine if Federal actions would destroy or adversely modify the conservation value of critical habitat (16 U.S.C. §1536). This biological opinion does not rely on the regulatory definition of "destruction or adverse modification" of critical habitat at 50 CFR 402.02. Instead, we have relied upon the statutory provisions of the ESA to complete the following analysis with respect to critical habitat. NMFS will evaluate destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat by determining if the action reduces the value of critical habitat for the conservation of the species. This biological opinion assesses the effects of the proposed action on endangered Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, threatened Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, threatened Central Valley steelhead, their designated critical habitat, and the threatened Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon and their proposed critical habitat.

NMFS generally approaches the "jeopardy" and critical habitat modification analyses in a series of steps. First, NMFS evaluates the available evidence to identify direct and indirect physical, chemical, and biotic effects of the proposed action on individual members of listed species or aspects of the species' environment (these effects include direct, physical harm or injury to individual members of a species; modifications to something in the species' environment - such as reducing a species' prey base, enhancing populations of predators, altering its spawning substrate, altering its ambient temperature regimes; or adding something novel to a species' environment - such as introducing exotic competitors or a sound). Once NMFS has identified the effects of the action, the available evidence is evaluated to identify a species' probable response (including behavioral responses) to those effects to determine if those effects could reasonably be expected to reduce a species' reproduction, numbers, or distribution (for example, by changing birth, death, immigration, or emigration rates; increasing the age at which individuals reach sexual maturity; decreasing the age at which individuals stop reproducing; and others). The available evidence is then used to determine if these reductions, if there are any, could reasonably be expected to appreciably reduce a species' likelihood of surviving and recovering in the wild.

1. Information Available for the Assessment

To conduct the assessment, NMFS examined evidence from a variety of sources. Detailed background information on the status of these species and critical habitat has been published in a number of documents, including peer-reviewed scientific journals, primary reference materials, governmental and non-governmental reports, and scientific meetings as well as the supporting information supplied with the action's environmental documents.

2. Assumptions Underlying This Assessment

In the absence of definitive data or conclusive evidence, NMFS must make a logical series of assumptions to overcome the limits of the available information. These assumptions will be made using sound, scientific reasoning that can be logically derived from the available information. The progression of the reasoning will be stated for each assumption, and supporting evidence cited.

B. Assessment

The proposed action is the execution of interim water service contracts for the continued delivery of the same quantities of CVP water to the same lands currently covered under the existing long-term water service contracts for the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts. The new interim contracts would extend these agreements for a period of up to 26 months. The proposed action does not require the construction of any new facilities, the installation of any new structures, or the modification of existing facilities, but operational aspects of these continued water deliveries may adversely affect several life stages of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, Central Valley steelhead, and North American green sturgeon in the action area. Adverse effects to these species and their habitat may result from changes in water quality resulting from the discharge of subsurface agricultural drainage water originating from within the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts. The execution of the Interim Renewal Contracts includes continuing implementation of the Westside Regional Drainage Plan and participation in programs such as the Grasslands Bypass Project, with the overall objective of reducing the amount of selenium entering the waterways of the San Joaquin Valley over time and thereby minimizing the potential impacts to water quality associated with agricultural drainage discharges to the San Joaquin River.

1. Presence of Listed Salmonids and North American Green Sturgeon in the Action Area

Adult Sacramento winter-run and Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon migrate through the Delta on their way to upstream spawning sites in the Sacramento River and its tributaries. Adult winter-run fish are most likely to be present in the action area between November and May while spring-run adults are most likely to occur from late January through May. Timing of juvenile emigration for both species through the action area on their way to the sea is highly variable depending on water flows and temperatures, but the highest occurrence of rearing juveniles of both ESUs in the Delta generally occurs between November and May. Therefore both adult and juvenile winter and spring-run Chinook salmon pass through the action area and will be exposed

to project related effects for a brief period during either their migration to upstream spawning sites or out to sea. However, due to the fact that adults migrating upstream do not forage, and the juveniles that enter the action area do not remain there for more than a short period of time and have likely been diverted off their typical migration route to sea, it is unlikely that project related effects will result in adverse effects to either of these ESU's.

Adult Central Valley steelhead begin to migrate into the region's watersheds (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers) during the period between September and the end of December, particularly when increased flows are being released from San Joaquin River reservoirs to enhance fall-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat in the San Joaquin River tributaries or when early winter rains cause increased flows in the system. The peak of juvenile Central Valley steelhead emigration from their tributaries in the San Joaquin Valley occurs during the period between February and May. There are, however, larger steelhead smolts that migrate at other times of the year, including the fall and early winter period (Cramer 2005), and thus may be exposed to the project related effects during their passage through the action area as well.

Low numbers of North American green sturgeon are anticipated to be present in the action area throughout the year, and in the case of rearing juveniles they may be present for up to 3 or 4 years before emigrating to the ocean. Although information on the density of green sturgeon in the action area is not currently available, their infrequent occurrence in sampling studies targeting other fish species indicates that they may be present throughout the year within the mainstem of the San Joaquin River and thus vulnerable to the adverse effects of the project.

2. Effects of the Action on Listed Species

The San Luis and Panoche Water Districts discharge subsurface drainwater into drainage district conveyance facilities owned and operated by the Charleston and Panoche Drainage Districts, respectively. Both drainage districts prohibit the discharge of surface return flows into their systems, but occasionally storm events generate substantial surface runoff from agricultural areas that will enter regional conveyances and eventually reach natural streams, including Mud Slough, the San Joaquin River, and the Delta. The Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) issued waste discharge requirements for the Grassland Bypass Project that conveys the subsurface drainage delivered by the Charleston and Panoche Drainage Districts into natural waterways, establishing a performance goal of 5ppb monthly mean selenium for the San Joaquin River below the Merced River for critical, dry, and below normal water year types, and 5ppb 4-day average during normal and wet years. Since its inception in 1996, the Grasslands Bypass Project has been successful in helping to achieve RWQCB goals of reducing selenium inputs to the San Joaquin River by consolidating, storing, reusing, and ultimately reducing subsurface drainage waters from the participating water districts. Nevertheless, selenium concentrations in the San Joaquin River and Delta continue to rise over time due to its prevalence in the soils derived from organic-rich shales throughout the semi-arid San Joaquin Valley, as well as the persistent and additive nature of this element once it enters the aquatic environment.

Selenium efficiently bioaccumulates through aquatic food webs, and strongly biomagnifies into many components of the food web including primary producers, invertebrates, bivalves, fish, and

birds. Dietary uptake of selenium through lower trophic level prey species and progressive biomagnification through the food web is the primary pathway for the disproportionately large bioaccumulation of selenium to higher trophic level predator species. Selenium is an essential element necessary for the production and proper functioning of important enzymes, however it rapidly surpasses required concentrations becoming toxic and resulting in dysfunctional enzymes and disrupted proteins that can lead to reproductive failure and teratogenesis (*i.e.*, deformities in developing young), and in cases of extreme contamination can lead to death of adult organisms. Concentrations of selenium greater than 3 μg/g in the diet of fish result in deposition of elevated concentrations in developing eggs, particularly in the yolk, and dietary selenium concentrations of 5 to 20 μg/g load eggs beyond the teratogenic threshold (Luoma and Presser 2000). Different predator species have variable accumulation rates of dietary selenium, probably due to the types of prey they consume. Generally, benthic feeding fish have higher selenium concentrations than predators that feed from the water column. Of particular concern are benthic feeding predators that consume bivalves in their diet, especially the Asian clam *Potamocorbula amurensis*, an invasive species that has displaced several other resident species of bivalve in the Delta, and exhibits concentrations of selenium that regularly exceed the thresholds for chronic toxicity in the food of birds and fish (i.e., > 10 μg/g).

There is no information available on the concentration of selenium in listed salmonids and green sturgeon in the action area, and no way of determining to what extent the drainwater contributed by the irrigation returns from the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts might contribute to those selenium levels. However, given the fact that the drainwater from these districts is known to contain elevated levels of selenium, and the listed species occur (and feed) in the area where this drainwater is discharged into critical habitat, NMFS must make the assumption that the continuation of this situation, made possible by the proposed execution of interim water service to the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts for a period of 26 months, will result in adverse effects on listed salmonids and green sturgeon. Given the data previously described on the general effects of elevated selenium levels on fish (Luoma and Presser 2000), NMFS concludes that the response of listed fish to the effects of the proposed action are likely to include physiological stress to the extent that the normal behavior patterns (*e.g.*, feeding, sheltering and migration) of affected individuals may be disrupted. Overall, an increased availability of selenium in prey items is expected to affect reproductive success, juvenile survival, and behavioral responses that may lead to decreased swimming performance and increased predation rates for juveniles. Because sturgeon may spend a period of years in the action area rearing before migrating to the ocean, are demersal fish closely associated with the bottom substrate, feed by taste and feel with their barbels, and even shovel up sediment with their snouts when searching for food, it is likely that they would be subjected to a higher risk of exposure to the effects of increased selenium in their diet.

Potential impacts are expected to be minimized by drainwater from the project area continuing to meet Regional Water Quality Control Board water quality objectives for agricultural subsurface drainage entering the San Joaquin River and continuing to participate in the Grasslands Bypass Project and implementing the strategies developed in the Westside Regional Drainage Plan for reducing the amount of selenium entering the San Joaquin River as a result of agricultural drainage.

3. Impacts to Critical Habitat

There are no suitable spawning sites within the project's action area for Central Valley steelhead or the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon and migration routes will not be obstructed by the proposed action; therefore the PCE's of designated and proposed critical habitat that will be effected by the execution of the SLWD and PWD IRCs are freshwater rearing sites since any continued contributions of selenium from agricultural subsurface drainage and occasional storm flow runoff will be additive to the available load already present in the water, sediment, and prey items of the South Delta for both juvenile and adult steelhead and green sturgeon during the course of the two year period that the contracts would authorize continued water deliveries to the water districts.

Similarly, impacts to proposed critical habitat for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon from executing the SLWD and PWD IRCs will be the result of contributions of selenium from agricultural subsurface drainage and occasional storm flow runoff over the two year period that the contracts authorize continued water deliveries to the water districts. The specific PCE's of proposed green sturgeon critical habitat that will be affected are the food resources, water quality, and sediment quality of freshwater riverine systems along the adult migration routes and juvenile rearing sites.

Due to the relatively short time period (*i.e.*, two years) for which the IRCs would authorize continued deliveries of water to the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts, and the degree to which selenium contributions would be made from agricultural subsurface drainage and occasional storm flow runoff from these two districts relative to the contributions of other watersheds throughout the region, the above described impacts from the execution of the SLWD and PWD IRCs to freshwater rearing habitat PCEs are not expected to significantly impact or appreciably reduce the value of the designated and proposed critical habitat for the conservation of the listed species in the action area.

VI. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

For purposes of the ESA, cumulative effects are defined as the effects of future State or private activities, not involving Federal activities, that are reasonably certain to occur within the action area of the Federal action subject to consultation (50 CFR §402.02). Future Federal actions that are unrelated to the proposed action are not considered in this section because they require separate consultations pursuant to section 7 of the ESA.

Non-Federal actions that may affect the action area include ongoing agricultural activities and increased urbanization. Agricultural practices in and upstream of the San Joaquin River may adversely affect riparian and wetland habitats through upland modifications of the watershed that lead to increased siltation or reductions in water flow in stream channels flowing into the San Joaquin River. Unscreened agricultural diversions throughout the Delta entrain fish including juvenile salmonids. Grazing activities from dairy and cattle operations can degrade or reduce suitable critical habitat for listed salmonids by increasing erosion and sedimentation as well as

introducing nitrogen, ammonia, and other nutrients into the watershed, which then flow into the receiving waters of the San Joaquin River. Stormwater and irrigation discharges related to both agricultural and urban activities contain numerous pesticides and herbicides that may adversely affect salmonid reproductive success and survival rates (Dubrovsky *et al.* 1998, 2000; Daughton 2003).

The San Joaquin River, Delta and East Bay regions, which include portions of Contra Costa, Alameda, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, Stanislaus, and Yolo counties, are expected to increase in population by nearly 3 million people by the year 2020 (California Commercial, Industrial, and Residential Real Estate Services Directory 2002). Increases in urbanization and housing developments can impact habitat by altering watershed characteristics, and changing both water use and stormwater runoff patterns. Increased growth will place additional burdens on resource allocations, including natural gas, electricity, and water, as well as on infrastructure such as wastewater sanitation plants, roads and highways, and public utilities. Some of these actions, particularly those which are situated away from waterbodies or "avoid" listed species of animals or plants, will not require Federal permits, and thus will not undergo review through the section 7 consultation process with NMFS.

Increased urbanization also is expected to result in increased wave action and propeller wash in San Joaquin River due to increased recreational boating activity. This potentially will degrade riparian and wetland habitat by eroding channel banks, thereby causing an increase in siltation and turbidity. Wakes and propeller wash also churn up benthic sediments thereby potentially resuspending contaminated sediments and degrading areas of submerged vegetation. This in turn would reduce habitat quality for the invertebrate forage base required for the survival of juvenile salmonids. Increased recreational boat operation on the San Joaquin River is anticipated to result in more contamination from the operation of engines on powered craft entering the river and its tributaries. In addition to recreational boating, commercial vessel traffic is expected to increase with the redevelopment plans of the Port of Stockton. Portions of this redevelopment plan have already been analyzed by NMFS for the West Complex (formerly Rough and Ready Island) but the redevelopment of the East Complex, which currently does not have a Federal action associated with it, will also increase vessel traffic as the Port becomes more modernized. Commercial vessel traffic is expected to create substantial entrainment of aquatic organisms through ship propellers as the vessels transit the shipping channel from Suisun Bay to the Port and back again. In addition, the hydrodynamics of the vessel traffic in the confines of the channel will create sediment resuspension, and localized zones of high turbulence and shear forces. These physical effects are expected to adversely affect aquatic organisms, including both listed salmonids and North American green sturgeon resulting in death or injury.

Global climate change is a broad-scale cumulative effect that is likely to affect the action area. The world is about 1.3 °F warmer today than a century ago and the latest computer models predict that, without drastic cutbacks in emissions of carbon dioxide and other gases released by the burning of fossil fuels, the average global surface temperature may raise by two or more degrees in the 21st century (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2001). Much of that increase will likely occur in the oceans, and evidence suggests that the most dramatic changes in ocean temperature are now occurring in the Pacific (Noakes 1998). Using objectively

analyzed data Huang and Liu (2000) estimated a warming of about 0.9 °F per century in the Northern Pacific Ocean.

Sea levels are expected to rise by 0.5 to 1.0 m in the northeastern Pacific coasts in the next century, mainly due to warmer ocean temperatures, which lead to thermal expansion much the same way that hot air expands. This will cause increased sedimentation, erosion, coastal flooding and permanent inundation of low-lying natural ecosystems within the action area (*i.e.*, salt marsh, riverine, mud flats) affecting salmonid PCEs. Increased winter precipitation, decreased snow pack, and permafrost degradation could affect the flow and temperature of rivers and streams, with negative impacts on fish populations and the habitat that supports them.

Summer droughts along the South Pacific coast and in the interior of the northwest Pacific coastlines will mean decreased stream flow in those areas, decreasing salmonid survival and reducing water supplies in the dry summer season when irrigation and domestic water use are greatest. Global warming may also change the chemical composition of the water that fish inhabit: the amount of oxygen in the water may decline, while pollution, acidity, and salinity levels may increase. This will allow for more invasive species to over take native fish species and impact predator-prey relationships (Stachowicz *et al.* 2002, Peterson and Kitchell 2001).

An alarming prediction is that Sierra snow packs are expected to decrease with global warming and that the majority of runoff in California will be from rainfall in the winter rather than from melting snow pack in the mountains (CDWR 2006). This will alter river runoff patterns and transform the tributaries that feed the Central Valley from a spring/summer snowmelt dominated system to a winter rain dominated system. It can be hypothesized that summer temperatures and flow levels will become unsuitable for salmonid survival. The cold snowmelt that furnishes the late spring and early summer runoff will be replaced by warmer precipitation runoff. This should truncate the period of time that suitable cold-water conditions exist below existing reservoirs and dams due to the warmer inflow temperatures to the reservoir from rain runoff. Without the necessary cold-water pool developed from melting snow pack filling reservoirs in the spring and early summer, late summer and fall temperatures below reservoirs, such as Shasta Lake and Lake Oroville, potentially could rise above thermal tolerances for juvenile and adult salmonids (*i.e.* Central Valley steelhead) that must hold below the dam over the summer and fall periods.

VII. INTEGRATION AND SYNTHESIS

This section integrates the current conditions described in the environmental baseline with the effects of the proposed action and the cumulative effects of future actions. The purpose of this synthesis is to develop an understanding of the likely short-term and long-term responses of listed species and critical habitat to the proposed project.

The San Joaquin River basin historically contained numerous independent populations of Central Valley steelhead and spring-run Chinook salmon (Lindley *et al.* 2006a, 2007). Potentially, Southern DPS green sturgeon were also present in these watersheds prior to anthropogenic changes. The suitability of these watersheds to support these runs of fish changed with the onset

of human activities in the region. Human intervention in the region initially captured mountain runoff in foothill reservoirs which supplied water to farms and urban areas. As demand grew, these reservoirs were enlarged or additional dams were constructed higher in the watershed to capture a larger fraction of the annual runoff. San Joaquin Valley agriculture created ever greater demands on the water captured by these reservoirs, diminishing the flow of water remaining in the region's rivers, and negatively impacting regional populations of salmonids (and likely green sturgeon, too). Reclamation actions eliminated vast stretches of riparian habitat and seasonal floodplains from the San Joaquin River watershed and Delta through the construction of levees and the armoring of banks with rock riprap for flood control. Construction of extensive water conveyance systems and water diversions altered the flow characteristics of the Delta region. These anthropogenic actions resulted in substantial degradation of the functional characteristics of the aquatic habitat in the watershed upon which the region's salmonids (and potentially green sturgeon) depended on to maintain healthy populations.

Presently, populations of Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon have been functionally extirpated from the San Joaquin River basin. Populations of Central Valley steelhead in the San Joaquin River basin have been substantially diminished to only a few remnant populations in the lower reaches of the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Rivers below the first foothill dams. Southern DPS green sturgeon have not been documented utilizing the San Joaquin River as a spawning river in recorded history but human alterations, which have been ongoing for over 100 years in the watershed, may have extirpated these populations before accurate records were maintained. However, fish survey records indicate that juvenile and sub-adult green sturgeon make use of the lower San Joaquin River for rearing purposes during the first several years of their life. Since the viability of small remnant populations of Central Valley steelhead in the San Joaquin River basin is especially tenuous and such populations are susceptible to temporally rapid decreases in abundance and possess a greater risk of extinction relative to larger populations (Pimm *et al.* 1988, Berger 1990, Primack 2004), activities that reduce quality and quantity of habitats, or that preclude formation of independent population units (representation and redundancy rule cited by Lindley *et al.* 2007), are expected to reduce the viability of the overall ESU if individual populations within the larger metapopulation become extinct (McElhany *et al.* 2000). Therefore, if activities have significant impacts on steelhead populations or destroy necessary habitat, including designated critical habitat, within these San Joaquin populations, they could have significant implications for the DPS as a whole.

Central Valley Steelhead

Estimates of adult escapement of steelhead to these watersheds are typically only a few dozen per year. This is reflected by the low number of smolts captured by monitoring activities throughout the year in different tributaries (*i.e.*, rotary screw traps on the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Merced, and Calaveras Rivers, and the Mossdale trawls on the San Joaquin River below the confluence of these three east side tributaries) in which only a few dozen smolts to several hundred smolts are collected each year (Marston 2004, Cramer 2005). These capture numbers have been extrapolated to estimate an annual population of only a few thousand juvenile steelhead smolts basin-wide in the San Joaquin River region. The Stanislaus River weir, which is used to count adult steelhead passing through the counting chamber or dead carcasses floating back onto the weir, has only recorded a few adult fish each year it has been in use. This is

indicative of the low escapement numbers for adult steelhead in this watershed (Cramer 2005). The other San Joaquin tributaries are thought to have similar or even lower numbers based on the superiority of the Stanislaus River in terms of habitat and water quality for Central Valley steelhead.

While the geographic isolation of the San Joaquin Basin populations helps to support the viability of the overall DPS, the extremely low juvenile production from the San Joaquin Basin, when compared to the Sacramento Basin, provides a very small contribution to the overall survival of the DPS. It is also likely that these small San Joaquin populations receive significant supplementation from the larger Sacramento River populations through straying by the overwhelmingly dominant Sacramento Basin populations, so that the loss of a few steelhead from the San Joaquin watershed is not expected to reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of the Central Valley steelhead DPS overall.

Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon

Little is known about the migratory habits and patterns of adult and juvenile green sturgeon in the San Joaquin watershed. The basic pattern described for adult green sturgeon migrations into the Delta region from the San Francisco Bay estuary is that fish enter the Delta region starting in late winter or early spring and migrate upstream towards the stretch of the Sacramento River between Red Bluff and Keswick Dam. After spawning, adults return downstream and re-enter the Delta towards late summer and fall (based on behavior of sturgeon in the Klamath and Rogue River systems). Juvenile and larval green sturgeon begin to show up in rotary screw trap catches along the Sacramento River starting in summer (Beamesderfer *et al.* 2004) and could be expected to reach the Delta by fall. The extent and duration of these fish entering and remaining in the San Joaquin River within the action area is unclear, but because of the habitat similarities and lack of barriers between the action area and documented sturgeon habitat in the Delta, NMFS believes that green sturgeon, including sub-adults, could be found at low densities during any month of the year within the action area. Both adult and juvenile green sturgeon feed on benthic invertebrates and would therefore have an increased potential to be adversely affected by exposure to increasing concentrations of dietary selenium in their prey base through a portion of their rearing habitat for a period of up to 3 years. However, because the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon are only known to spawn in the Sacramento River, a small proportion of the overall DPS are expected to occur in the San Joaquin River drainage and be exposed to the adverse effects of the project.

Designated Critical Habitat

The evidence presented in the Environmental Baseline section indicates that past and present activities within the San Joaquin River basin and waters of the South Delta have caused significant habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation. This has significantly reduced the quality and quantity of the remaining freshwater rearing sites and the migratory corridors within the lower valley floor reaches of the San Joaquin River and the South Delta for the populations of Central Valley steelhead and the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon that utilize this area. Alterations in the geometry of the South Delta channels, removal of riparian vegetation and shallow water habitat, construction of armored levees for flood protection,

changes in river flow created by demands of water diverters, and the influx of contaminants from agricultural and urban dischargers have also substantially reduced the functionality of the region's waterways. Additional losses of freshwater spawning sites, rearing sites, and migratory corridors have occurred upstream of the action area in the tributaries of the San Joaquin and Sacramento River basins, but are outside of the action area of this consultation.

Summary

In general, indirect adverse effects to steelhead and green sturgeon in the San Joaquin River and Southern Delta will be in the form of degraded sediment and water quality as well as by contributing to the amount of selenium available to these species through prey items found in the action area. In this area, adult and juvenile steelhead are primarily expected to begin entering the action area during late November and December, when cool and rainy weather is likely to promote upstream migration by adults, and downstream emigrating by juveniles through the action area in March and April. As a result, the exposure time of Central Valley steelhead to project related effects are expected to be limited to a period of weeks to months as they pass through the Delta on their way to upstream spawning locations and as juveniles emigrating to the ocean. Green sturgeon presence within the action area is considered to be year-round, with juveniles entering the Delta during the late summer and fall and potentially rearing there for several months to years before migrating to the ocean.

A. Effects of the Proposed Action on Listed Species

As a result of the proposed SLWD and PWD IRC executions, adverse impacts to listed species stemming from the contamination of rearing and migrating habitat and food resources are expected to occur. These impacts may cause physiological stress to the extent that the normal behavior patterns (*e.g.*, feeding, sheltering and migration) of affected individuals may be disrupted. Overall, the changes in water quality associated with this project are expected to adversely affect listed species primarily by low-level alteration of habitat conditions, which may contribute to an increased availability of selenium in prey items potentially affecting reproductive success, juvenile survival, and behavioral responses that may lead to decreased swimming performance and increased predation rates for juveniles. Because sturgeon may spend a period of years in the action area rearing before migrating to the ocean, are demersal fish closely associated with the bottom substrate, feed by taste and feel with their barbels, and even shovel up sediment with their snouts when searching for food, it is likely that they would be subjected to a higher risk of exposure to the effects of increased selenium in their diet expected to be produced by the proposed project. Potential impacts are expected to be minimized by meeting Regional Water Quality Control Board water quality objectives for agricultural subsurface drainage entering the San Joaquin River and continuing to participate in the Grasslands Bypass Project and implementing the strategies developed in the Westside Regional Drainage Plan for reducing the amount of selenium entering the San Joaquin River as a result of agricultural drainage.

B. Effects of the Proposed Action on Listed Species Likelihood of Survival and Recovery

1. Central Valley Steelhead

NMFS anticipates that the proposed project will result in the exposure of adult and juvenile Central Valley steelhead to increased levels of selenium in the waters and prey items of the south Delta where they migrate and rear. Exposure to this contaminant is expected to adversely affect a small number of individuals for a relatively short duration of time since the fish do not spend more than a few weeks to months in the action area during their life time. Adverse effects directly attributable to the proposed action are expected to be minor because contributions of drainage from these water districts meet RWQCB standards, and because the interim renewal contracts authorize these continued discharges from the SLWD and PWD for a period of not more than 26 months. No direct mortality of juvenile or adult fish is expected. The elevated stress levels may degrade the fish's health and the reproductive potential of adults, and increase the potential of juveniles to be preyed upon by striped bass or other large predators due to impaired behavioral and physiological responses. Individuals that appear different in their behavior attract predators, and thus experience higher mortality due to predator attacks. Even so, given the uncertain nature of the actual effects of the proposed project on steelhead in the action area, it is expected that these short-term effects, when considered in the context of the current baseline and likely future cumulative effects, would not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of the Central Valley steelhead DPS throughout it's range.

2. Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon

Due to the lack of general abundance information regarding the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon, a variety of estimates must be utilized to determine the range of potential effects resulting from the take of green sturgeon due to the proposed action. Compared to the estimated population sizes suggested by the CDFG tagging efforts (CDFG 2002b), juvenile and sub-adult captures passing Red Bluff Diversion Dam, and past IEP sampling efforts, the low level of take estimated from the proposed project would impact a small proportion of the adult and sub-adult North American green sturgeon DPS in the Sacramento River watershed. Ratios of tagged white to green sturgeon in San Pablo Bay have generated population estimates averaging 12,499 sub-adult and adult green sturgeon. Captures of juvenile and sub-adult green sturgeon passing Red Bluff Diversion Dam have exceeded 2,000 individuals in some years. Because execution of the proposed SLWD and PWD IRCs would only authorize continued discharges of agricultural subsurface drainage to the San Joaquin River for a period of 26 months, incidental take of both adult and juvenile North American green sturgeon is expected to represent a small proportion of the standing population and is not expected to appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon.

C. Effects of the Proposed Action on Critical Habitat

The PCEs of designated Central Valley steelhead critical habitat that will be adversely affected include freshwater rearing sites for juveniles and freshwater migration corridors for both juveniles and adults.

The PCE's of proposed critical habitat for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon that will be adversely affected include the food resources, water quality, and sediment quality of freshwater riverine systems where juveniles rear for a period of up to 3 years, and through which both adults and juveniles migrate.

These effects to the PCEs of critical habitat may result in increased exposure of listed fish to selenium concentrations in the South Delta where they spend a portion of their life rearing and feeding before entering the ocean. However, NMFS expects that nearly all of the adverse effects to critical habitat from this project will be minimal in scope while RWQCB standards are being met. Additionally, due to the minimal amounts of agricultural subsurface drainage originating from the San Luis and Panoche water district lands, and the limited period of 26 months that those discharges would be permitted, the adverse effects that are anticipated to result from the proposed project are not of the type, duration, or magnitude that would be expected to adversely modify critical habitat to the extent that it could lead to an appreciable reduction in the function and value of the affected habitat for the conservation of these species.

VIII. CONCLUSION

After reviewing the best available scientific and commercial information, the current status of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, Central Valley steelhead, and the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon, the environmental baseline, the effects of the proposed execution of the San Luis Water District and Panoche Water District Interim Renewal Contracts, and the cumulative effects, it is NMFS' biological opinion that the implementation of the SLWD and PWD IRCs, as proposed, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, Central Valley steelhead or the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon, nor will it result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat for Central Valley in the San Joaquin Delta.

After reviewing the best scientific and commercial data available, including the current status of proposed Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon critical habitat, the environmental baseline for the action area, the effects of the proposed action, and the cumulative effects, it is NMFS' conference opinion that the implementation of the SLWD and PWD IRCs, as proposed is not likely to destroy or adversely modify proposed critical habitat for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon.

IX. INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT

Section 9 of the ESA and Federal regulation pursuant to section 4(d) of the ESA prohibit the take of endangered and threatened species, respectively, without special exemption. Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Harm is further defined by NMFS as an act which kills or injures fish or wildlife. Such an act may include significant habitat modification or degradation where it

actually kills or injures fish or wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, feeding or sheltering. Incidental take is defined as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity. Under the terms of section 7(b)(4) and section 7(o)(2), taking that is incidental to and not the purpose of the agency action is not considered to be prohibited taking under the ESA provided that such taking is in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Incidental Take Statement.

The measures described below are non-discretionary, and must be undertaken by BOR so that they become binding conditions of any contracts or permits, as appropriate, for the exemption in section 7(o)(2) to apply. BOR has a continuing duty to regulate the activity covered by this incidental take statement. If BOR (1) fails to assume and implement the terms and conditions or (2) fails to require the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts to adhere to the terms and conditions of the incidental take statement through enforceable terms that are added to the permit or grant document, the protective coverage of section 7(o)(2) may lapse. In order to monitor the impact of incidental take, BOR and/or the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts must report the progress of the action and its impact on the species to NMFS as specified in the incidental take statement (50 CFR §402.14(i)(3)).

While some measures described below are expected and intended to avoid, minimize, or monitor the take of North American green sturgeon, the prohibitions against taking of endangered species in section 9 of the ESA do not automatically apply to threatened species such as the recently listed southern DPS of North American green sturgeon. However, NMFS is in the process of finalizing section 4(d) rules which will define and dictate the prohibitions against taking this threatened DPS. Therefore, NMFS advises BOR to implement the following reasonable and prudent measures for North American green sturgeon. Once the final 4(d) rule is adopted, these measures, with their implementing terms and conditions, will become nondiscretionary for North American green sturgeon.

A. Amount or Extent of Take

NMFS anticipates incidental take of Central Valley steelhead and North American green sturgeon in the San Joaquin River and south Delta as a result of increased selenium contamination in those waters through which they migrate and where juveniles of the species rear. Specifically, NMFS anticipates that juvenile and adult steelhead and green sturgeon may be adversely affected by increasing exposure to elevated levels of selenium which may impair the reproductive success, growth, and survival of these species in the wild.

NMFS cannot, using the best available information, specifically quantify the anticipated amount of incidental take of individual Central Valley steelhead and North American green sturgeon because of the variability and uncertainty associated with the response of listed species to the effects of the project, the varying population size of each species, annual variations in the timing of spawning and migration, and individual habitat use within the project area. However, it is possible to designate ecological surrogates for the extent of take anticipated to be caused by the project, and to monitor those surrogates to determine the level of take that is occurring. The most appropriate ecological surrogates for the extent of take caused by the project are the measured concentrations of selenium in Mud Slough and the San Joaquin River, and the

continued participation by the San Luis and Panoche water districts in the Grasslands Bypass Project.

1. Ecological Surrogates

- The analysis of the effects of the proposed project anticipates that measured selenium concentrations in Mud Slough and the San Joaquin River will continue to meet the RWQCB waste discharge requirements for the Grasslands Bypass Project identified in the *Effects of the Action* section, and that occurrences exceeding those thresholds will be limited to the influence of overland flow resulting from major storm events.

- The analysis of the effects of the proposed project anticipates that the San Luis and Panoche water districts will continue to participate in the Grasslands Bypass Project throughout the life of the contracts, thereby minimizing the volume and concentrations of selenium introduced into the habitat of listed species as a result of agricultural discharges from their districts.

If the specific parameters of these ecological surrogates are not met, the proposed project will be considered to have exceeded anticipated take levels, triggering the need to reinitiate consultation on the project.

B. Effect of the Take

In the accompanying biological opinion, NMFS determined that this level of anticipated take is not likely to result in jeopardy to the species or permanent destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.

C. Reasonable and Prudent Measures

NMFS has determined that the following reasonable and prudent measures (RPMs) are necessary and appropriate to minimize the incidental take of listed anadromous fish. These reasonable and prudent measures also would minimize adverse effects on designated and proposed critical habitat.

1. Measures shall be taken to minimize the amount of agricultural subsurface drainage discharged to the San Joaquin River from the San Luis and Panoche water districts.

2. Measures shall be taken to renew the use agreement for the Grasslands Bypass Project to insure continuing participation in the project after the existing use agreement expires on December 31, 2009.

3. Measures shall be taken to assess and monitor the concentrations of selenium within the waters, sediments, vegetation, and invertebrates of the San Joaquin River.

D. Terms and Conditions

In order to be exempt from the prohibitions of section 9 of the Act, BOR must comply with the following terms and conditions, which implement the reasonable and prudent measures described above and outline required reporting/monitoring requirements. These terms and conditions are non-discretionary and must be incorporated as binding conditions of any contracts or permits between BOR and the San Luis and Panoche water districts.

1. Measures shall be taken to minimize the amount of agricultural subsurface drainage discharged to the San Joaquin River from the San Luis and Panoche water districts.

    a. BOR shall require the water districts continued participation in the Westside Regional Drainage Plan which employs actions leading to zero discharge of subsurface drainage water beyond the boundaries of regional drainage management facilities, including but not limited to:

        i. Recirculating tailwater on-farm;

        ii. Employing micro irrigation and drip irrigation systems to the maximum extent practical;

        iii. Lining district water delivery facilities to the maximum extent practical;

        iv. Applying collected subsurface drainage water to salt tolerant crops and other drainwater displacement projects (such as road wetting for dust control); and

        v. Converting any remaining furrow and flood agricultural practices to contoured row agriculture employing micro, drip, or overhead sprinkler irrigation wherever feasible.

2. Measures shall be taken to renew the use agreement for the Grasslands Bypass Project to insure continuing participation in the project after the existing use agreement expires on December 31, 2009.

    a. BOR shall require continuing participation in the Grasslands Bypass Project for the San Luis and Panoche water districts and facilitate in the negotiation of and participation in a new use agreement so that there is no interruption in the ability of the water districts to convey subsurface drainage through the Grasslands Bypass Project.

    b. BOR shall provide a copy of the new use agreement between the Grasslands Bypass Project and the San Luis and Panoche water districts to NMFS by December 31, 2009.

3. Measures shall be taken to assess and monitor the concentrations of selenium within the waters, sediments, vegetation, and invertebrates of the San Joaquin River.

    a. BOR shall design and initiate a plan for sampling the selenium concentrations in the waters, sediment, vegetation, and invertebrates of the San Joaquin River below the confluence with Mud Slough and above the confluence with the Merced River to adequately provide baseline conditions to be included in the next biological assessment prior to initiating consultation for future long-term contracts.

    b. BOR shall design and initiate a plan for sampling the selenium concentrations in the waters, sediment, vegetation, and invertebrates of the San Joaquin River above the confluence with Salt Slough to adequately provide baseline conditions to be included in the next biological assessment prior to initiating consultation for future long-term contracts.

    c. BOR shall provide an annual report to NMFS summarizing the sampling of selenium concentrations in the waters, sediments, vegetation, and invertebrates of the San Joaquin River.

Updates and reports required by these terms and conditions shall be submitted to:

Supervisor
Sacramento Area Office
National Marine Fisheries Service
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 8-300
Sacramento CA 95814
FAX: (916) 930-3629
Phone: (916) 930-3600

X. CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Section 7(a)(1) of the ESA directs Federal agencies to utilize their authorities to further the purposes of the ESA by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of endangered and threatened species. Conservation recommendations are discretionary agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of a proposed action on a listed species or critical habitat or regarding the development of pertinent information.

1. BOR and applicant should support and promote aquatic and riparian habitat restoration within the Delta region, and encourage practices that avoid or minimize negative impacts to salmon, steelhead, and green sturgeon.

2. BOR and applicant should support anadromous salmonid monitoring programs throughout the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta to improve the understanding of migration and habitat utilization by salmonids and green sturgeon in this region.

In order for NOAA Fisheries to be kept informed of actions minimizing or avoiding adverse effects or benefitting listed species or their habitats, NOAA Fisheries requests notification of the implementation of any conservation recommendations.

XI. REINITIATION OF CONSULTATION

This concludes formal consultation on the actions outlined in the request for consultation received from the BOR for the San Luis Water District and Panoche Water District Interim Renewal Contracts. As provided for in 50 CFR §402.16, reinitiation of formal consultation is required where discretionary Federal agency involvement or control over the action has been retained (or is authorized by law) and if: (I) the amount or extent of taking specified in any incidental take statement is exceeded, (2) new information reveals effects of the agency action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered, (3) the agency action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species that was not considered in the biological opinion, or (4) a new species is listed or critical habitat is designated that may be affected by the action. In instances where the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded, formal consultation shall be reinitiated immediately.

BOR may request NMFS to confirm the conference opinion on proposed critical habitat for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon as a biological opinion if the proposed critical habitat designation becomes final. The request must be in writing. If NMFS reviews the proposed action and finds that there have been no significant changes to the action or in the information used during the conference, NMFS will confirm the conference opinion as a biological opinion for the project, and no further section 7 consultation will be necessary.

XII. LITERATURE CITED

Adams, P.B., C.B. Grimes, J.E. Hightower, S.T. Lindley, and M.L. Moser. 2002. Status review for North American green sturgeon, *Acipenser medirostris*. National Marine Fisheries Service. 58 pages.

Adams ,P.B., C. B. Grimes, J.E. Hightower, S.T. Lindley, M.L. Moser, M.J. Parsley. 2007. Population status of North American green sturgeon *Acipenser medirostris*. Environmental Biology of Fish. 79(3-4): 339-356.

Alderdice, D.F., and F.P.J. Velsen. 1978. Relation between temperature and incubation time for eggs of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 35(1):69-75.

Allen, M.A., and T.J. Hassler. 1986. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates. (Pacific Southwest), Chinook salmon. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Report 82 (11.49). April 1986.

Artyukhin, E.N., P. Vecsei, and D.L. Peterson. 2007. Morphology and ecology of Pacific sturgeons. Environmental Biology of Fish. 79:369-381.

Ayers and Associates. 2001. Two-dimensional modeling and analysis of spawning bed mobilization, lower American River. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District Office.

Bailey E.D. 1954. Time pattern of 1953–54 migration of salmon and steelhead into theupper Sacramento River. California Department of Fish and Game. Unpublished report.

Bain, M.B., and N.J. Stevenson, editors. 1999. Aquatic habitat assessment: common methods. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Barnhart, R.A. 1986. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Pacific Southwest), steelhead. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82 (11.60). 21 pages.

Barrett, J.C., G.D. Grossman, J. Rosenfeld. 1992. Turbidity-induced changes in reactive distance of rainbow trout. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 121:437-443.

Bash, J., C. Berman, and S. Bolton. 2001. Effects of turbidity and suspended solids on salmonids. Center for Streamside Studies, University of Washington.

Beamesderfer, R., M. Simpson, G. Kopp, J. Inman, A. Fuller, and D. Demko. 2004. Historical and current information on green sturgeon occurrence in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and tributaries. Prepared for State Water Contractors by S.P. Cramer and Associates, Inc., Gresham, Oregon. 46 pages.

Beamesderfer, R.C.P., M.L. Simpson, and G.J. Kopp. 2007. Use of life history information in a population model for Sacramento green sturgeon. Environmental Biology of Fishes. 79 (3-4): 315-337.

Bell, M.C. 1991. Fisheries handbook of engineering requirements and biological criteria (third edition). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland, OR.

Berger, J. 1990. Persistence of different-sized populations: an empirical assessment of rapid extinctions in big horn sheep. Conservation Biology. 4: 91-98.

Bilby, R.E. 1984. Removal of woody debris may affect stream channel stability. Journal of Forestry 82:609-613.

Bisson, P. B. and R. E. Bilby. 1982. Avoidance of suspended sediment by juvenile coho salmon. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 2: 371-374.

Bjornn, T.C., and D.W. Reiser. 1991. Habitat requirements of anadromous salmonids. *In* W.R. Meehan (editor), Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats, pages 83-138. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 19. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD.

Blackwell, B.F. and F. Juanes. 1998. Predation on Atlantic salmon smolts by striped bass after dam passage. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 18: 936-939.

Boles, G. 1988. Water temperature effects on Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) with emphasis on the Sacramento River: a literature review. Report to the California Department of Water Resources, Northern District, 43 pages.

Boreman, J. 1997. Sensitivity of North American sturgeons and paddlefish to fishing mortality. Evironmental Biology of Fishes. 48:399-405.

Brandes, P.L., and J.S. McLain. 2001. Juvenile Chinook salmon abundance, distribution, and survival in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. *In*: Brown, R.L., editor. Contributions to the biology of Central Valley salmonids. Volume 2. California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin 179:39-136.

Brett, J.R. 1952. Temperature tolerance of young Pacific salmon, genus *Oncorhynchus*. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 9:265-323.

Burgess, W.C. and S.B. Blackwell. 2003. Acoustic monitoring of barrier wall installation at the former Rhône-Poulenc site, Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for RCI International, Inc., Summer, Washington.

Busby, P.J., T.C. Wainright, G.J. Bryant, L. Lierheimer, R.S. Waples, F.W. Waknitz, and I.V. Lagomarsino. 1996. Status review of west coast steelhead from Washington, Idaho, Oregon and California. U.S. Dept. Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-27, 261 pages.

CALFED. 2000. Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan, Volume II. Technical Appendix to draft PEIS/EIR. July 2000.

CALFED Science Program. 2001. Science in action: scrutinizing the Delta Cross Channel.CALFED Bay-Delta Program. June 2001. Available online at: http://science.calwater.ca.gov/library.shtml.

California Commercial, Industrial and Residential Real Estate Services Directory. Available: http://www.ured.com/citysubweb.html. April 2002.

California Data Exchange Center. Found at: http://cdec.water.ca.gov/wquality

California Department of Fish and Game. 1995. Adult steelhead counts in Mill and Deer Creeks, Tehama County, October 1993-June 1994. Inland Fisheries Administrative Report Number 95-3.

California Department of Fish and Game. 1998. Report to the Fish and Game Commission. A status review of the spring-run Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in the Sacramento River Drainage. Candidate species status report 98-01. Sacramento, 394 pages.

California Department of Fish and Game. 2002. California Department of Fish and Game comments to NMFS regarding green sturgeon listing. 79 pages plus appendices.

California Department of Fish and Game. 2004. Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon 2002-2003 biennial report. Prepared for the California Fish and Game Commission. Habitat Conservation Division, Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch. Sacramento, 22 pages.

California Department of Fish and Game. 2008. GrandTab spreadsheet of adult Chinook salmon escapement in the Central Valley.

California Department of Transportation. 2003. Construction Site Best Management Practices (BMPs) Manual. March 2003. 257 pages.

California Department of Water Resources. 2002a. Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates salmon passage evaluation report. Environmental Services Office, Sacramento, 19 pages.

California Department of Water Resources. 2002b. South Delta Temporary Barriers Project: 2001 fishery, water quality, and vegetation report. March 2002. 74 pages.

California Department of Water Resources. 2003. South Delta Temporary Barriers Project: 2002 South Delta temporary barriers monitoring report. December 2003. 170 pages plus 28 pages appendices.

California Department of Water Resources. 2005. South Delta Temporary Barriers Project: 2003 South Delta temporary barriers monitoring report. February 2005. 183 pages plus 16 pages appendices.

California Department of Water Resources. 2006a. South Delta Temporary Barriers Project: 2004 South Delta temporary barriers monitoring report. July 2006. 173 pages plus 22 pages appendices.

California Department of Water Resources. 2006b. South Delta Temporary Barriers Project: 2005 South Delta temporary barriers monitoring report. December 2006. 214 pages plus 23 pages appendices.

California Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. 1998. Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins, fourth edition. Available: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/~CRWQCB5/home.html

California Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. 2001. Draft staff report on recommended changes to California's Clean Water Act, section 303(d) list. Available: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/CRWQCB5/tmdl/

California Resources Agency. 1989. Upper Sacramento River fisheries and riparian management plan. Prepared by an Advisory Council established by SB1086, authored by State Senator Jim Nielson. 157 pages.

Calkins, R.D., W.F. Durand, and W.H. Rich. 1940. Report of the Board of Consultants on the fish problem of the upper Sacramento River. Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 34 pages.

Carlson T.J., G. Ploskey, R.L. Johnson, R.P. Mueller, M.A. Weiland, and P.N. Johnson. 2001. Observations of the behavior and distribution of fish in relation to the Columbia River navigational channel and channel maintenance activities. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District, Portland Oregon.

Chambers, J. 1956. Fish passage development and evaluation program. Progress Report No. 5. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, North Pacific Division, Portland, OR.

Chanson, H. 2004. The hydraulics of open channel flow: An introduction. Basic principles, sediment motion, hydraulic modeling, design of hydraulic structures. Second edition. Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann Publishing Company. Oxford, England. 585 pages.

City of Lathrop. 2007. City demographics accessed via the internet. Available online at: www.ci.lathrop.ca.us/cdd/demographics.

City of Manteca. 2007. City demographics accessed via the internet. Available online at: www.ci.manteca.ca.us/cdd/demographics.

Clark, G. H. 1929. Sacramento-San Joaquin salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) fishery of California. California Fish and Game Bulletin. 17:73.

Cohen, A.N., and P.B. Moyle. 2004. Summary of data and analyses indicating that exotic species have impaired the beneficial uses of certain California waters: a report submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board on June 14, 2004. 25 pages.

Conomos, T.J., R.E. Smith, and J.W. Gartner. 1985. Environmental settings of San Francisco Bay. Hydrobiologia 129: 1-12.

Cordone, A.J., and D.W. Kelley. 1961. The influences of inorganic sediment on the aquatic life of streams. California Fish and Game 47:89-228.

Daughton, C.G. 2003. Cradle-to-cradle stewardship of drugs for minimizing their environmental disposition while promoting human health. I. Rationale for and avenue toward a green pharmacy. Environmental Health Perspectives 111:757-774.

Decato, R.J. 1978. Evaluation of the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District fish screen. California Department of Fish and Game, Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report No. 78-20.

Deng, X., J.P. Van Eenennaam, and S.I. Doroshov. 2002. Comparison of early life stages and growth of green sturgeon and white sturgeon. Pages 237-248 *in* W. Van Winkle, P.J. Anders, D.H. Secor, and D.A. Dixon, editors. Biology, management, and protection of North American sturgeon. American Fisheries Society, Symposium 28, Bethesda, Maryland.

Dettinger, M.D. 2005. From climate-change sphaghetti to climate-change distributions for 21st century California. San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science 3(1), Article 4 (14 pages) Available at: http://repositories.cdlib.org/jmie/sfews/vol3/art4.

Dettinger, M.D., D.R. Cayan, M.K. Meyer, and A.E. Jeton. 2004. Simulated hydrological responses to climate variations and changes in the Merced, Carson, and American River basins, Sierra Nevada, California, 1900-2099. Climatic Change 62:283-317.

Dettman, D.H., D.W. Kelley, and W.T. Mitchell. 1987. The influence of flow on Central Valley salmon. Prepared for the California Department of Water Resources. Revised July 1987. (Available from D.W. Kelley and Associates, 8955 Langs Hill Rd., P.O. Box 634, Newcastle, CA 95658).

Dolloff, C.A. 1993. Predation by river otters (*Lutra Canadensis*) on juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*) in southeast Alaska. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50: 312-315.

Dubrovsky, N.M., C.R. Kratzer, L.R. Brown, J.M. Gronberg, and K.R. Burow. 2000. Water quality in the San Joaquin-Tulare basins, California, 1992-95. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1159.

Dubrovsky, N.M., D.L. Knifong, P.D. Dileanis, L.R. Brown, J.T. May, V. Connor, and C.N. Alpers. 1998. Water quality in the Sacramento River basin. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1215.

Dunford, W.E. 1975. Space and food utilization by salmonids in marsh habitats in the Fraser River Estuary. M.S. Thesis. University of British Colombia, Vancouver, B.C., 81 pages.

Edwards, G.W., K.A.F. Urquhart, and T.L. Tillman. 1996. Adult salmon migration monitoring, Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates, September-November 1994. Technical Report 50. Interagency Ecological Program for the San Francisco Bay/Delta Estuary, 27 pages.

Emmett, R.L., and M.H. Schiewe (editors). 1997. Estuarine and ocean survival of Northeastern Pacific salmon: Proceedings of the workshop. U.S. Dept. Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-29, 313 p.

Erickson, D.L., J.A. North, J.E. Hightower, J. Weber, L. Lauck. 2002. Movement and habitat use of green sturgeon *Acipenser medirostris* in the Rogue River, Oregon, USA. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 18:565-569.

Feist, B.E., J. J. Anderson and R. Miyamoto. 1992. Potential impacts of pile driving on juvenile pink (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) and chum (*O. keta*) salmon behavior and distribution. FRI-UW-9603. Fisheries Resources Institute, University of Washington, Seattle.

Fisher, F.W. 1994. Past and present status of Central Valley Chinook salmon. Conservation Biology 8:870-873.

Fry, D.H. 1961. King salmon spawning stocks of the California Central Valley, 1940-1959. California Fish and Game 47:55-71.

Gadomski, D.M. and M.J. Parsely. 2005. Effects of turbidity, light level, and cover on predation of white sturgeon larvae by prickly sculpins. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 134:369-374.

Gaines, P.D. and W.R. Poytress. 2004. Brood-year 2003 winter Chinook juvenile production indices with comparisons to adult escapement. Report of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to California Bay-Delta Authority, San Francisco, CA.

Garcia, A. 1989. The impacts of squawfish predation on juvenile Chinook salmon at Red Bluff Diversion Dam and other locations in the Sacramento River. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report No. AFF/FAO-89-05.

Garland, R.D., K.F. Tiffan, D.W. Rondorf, and L.O. Clark. 2002. Comparison of subyearling fall Chinook salmon's use of riprap revetments and unaltered habitats in Lake Wallula of the Columbia River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 22:1283-1289.

Gingras, M. 1997. Mark/recapture experiments at Clifton Court Forebay to estimate pre-screen loss of juvenile fishes: 1976-1993. Interagency Ecological Program Technical Report No. 55.

Goals Project. 1999. Baylands ecosystem habitat goals: A report of habitat recommendations prepared by the San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Ecosystem Goals Project. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, San Francisco. San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, Oakland, CA.

Good, T.P., R.S. Waples, and P. Adams (editors). 2005. Updated status of federally listed ESU of West Coast salmon and steelhead. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-66, 598 pages.

Goyer, R.A. 1996. Toxic effects of metals. *In* C.D. Klassen (editor), Casarett & Doull's toxicology: the basic science of poisons, fifth edition, pages 691-736. McGraw Hill. New York, NY.

Hallock, R.J. D.H. Fry, and D.A. LaFaunce. 1957. The use of wire fyke traps to estimate the runs of adult salmon and steelhead in the Sacramento River. California Fish and Game. Volume 43, No. 4, pages 271-298.

Hallock, R.J., and F.W. Fisher. 1985. Status of winter-run Chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, in the Sacramento River. Report to the California Department of Fish and Game, Anadromous Fisheries Branch, Sacramento, CA.

Hallock, R.J., R.F. Elwell, and D.H. Fry, Jr. 1970. Migrations of adult king salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, in the San Joaquin Delta. California Fish and Game 151. Sacramento. 92 p.

Hallock, R.J., W.F. Van Woert, and L. Shapovalov. 1961. An evaluation of stocking hatchery reared steelhead rainbow (*Salmo gairdnerii gairdnerii*) in the Sacramento River system. California Department of Fish and Game Bulletin No. 114.

Hare, S.R., N.J. Mantua, and R.C. Frances. 1999. Inverse production regimes: Alaska and west coast Pacific salmon. Fisheries 24(1):6-14.

Hayhoe, K.D. Cayan, C.B. Field, P.C. Frumhoff, E.P. Maurer, N.L. Miller, S.C. Moser, S.H. Schneider, K.N. Cahill, E.E. Cleland, L. Dale, R. Drapek, R.M. Hanemann, L.S. Kalkstein, J. Lenihan, C.K. Lunch, R.P. Neilson, S.C. Sheridan, and J.H. Verville. 2004. Emissions pathways, climate change, and impacts on California. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 101(34)12422-12427.

Healey, M.C. 1980. Utilization of the Nanaimo River estuary by juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). Fishery Bulletin 77:653-668.

Healey, M.C. 1982. Juvenile Pacific salmon in estuaries: the life support system. *In* V.S. Kennedy (editor), Estuarine Comparisons, pages 315-341. Academic Press. New York, N.Y.

Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). In: Groot, C., Margolis L., editors. Pacific salmon life-histories. Vancouver: UBC Press. Pages 313-393.

Herren, J.R. and S.S. Kawasaki. 2001. Inventory of water diversions in four geographic areas in California's Central Valley. Pages 343-355. *In:* Contributions to the Biology of Central Valley Salmonids. R.L. Brown, editor. Volume. 2. California Fish and Game. Fish Bulletin 179.

Heublein, J.C., J.T. Kelly, and A.P. Klimley. 2006. Spawning migration and habitat of green sturgeon, *Acipenser medirostris*, in the Sacramento River. Presentation at the CALFED Science Conference, Sacramento California. October 23, 2006.

Huang, B., and Z. Liu. 2000. Temperature Trend of the Last 40 Years in the Upper Pacific Ocean. Journal of Climate 4:3738–3750.

Hughes, N.F. 2004. The wave-drag hypothesis: an explanation for sized-based lateral segregation during the upstream migration of salmonids. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 61:103-109.

Hunter, J. 1959. Survival and production of pink and chum salmon in a coastal stream. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 16:835-886.

Ingersoll, C.G. 1995. Sediment tests. *In* G.M. Rand (editor), Fundamentals of aquatic toxicology: effects, environmental fate, and risk assessment, second edition, pages 231-255. Taylor and Francis, Bristol, Pennsylvania.

Interagency Ecological Program Steelhead Project Work Team. 1999. Monitoring, Assessment, and Research on Central Valley Steelhead: Status of Knowledge, Review Existing Programs, and Assessment Needs. In Comprehensive Monitoring, Assessment, and Research Program Plan, Technical Appendix VII-11.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2001 Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Houghton, J.T.,Y. Ding, D.J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P.J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and C.A. Johnson (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 881 pages.

Israel, J. 2006a. North American green sturgeon population characterization and abundance of the southern DPS. Presentation to NMFS on April 4, 2006.

Israel, J. 2006b. Determining spawning population estimates for green sturgeon with microsatellite DNA. Presentation at the 2006 CALFED Science Conference. Sacramento, California. October 23, 2006.

Johnson, J.H., A.A. Nigro, and R. Temple. 1992. Evaluating enhancement of striped bass in the context of potential predation on anadromous salmonids in Coos Bay, Oregon. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 12: 103-108.

Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. 2002. Foundation runs report for restoration action gaming trials. Prepared for Friant Water Users Authority and Natural Resource Defense Council.

Keefer, M.L., C.A. Perry, M.A. Jepson, and L.C. Stuehrenberg. 2004. Upstream migration rates of radio-tagged adult Chinook salmon in riverine habitats of the Columbia River basin. Journal of Fish Biology 65:1126-1141.

Keller, E.A., and F.J. Swanson. 1979. Effects of large organic material on channel form and fluvial processes. Earth Surface Processes 4:361-380.

Kelley, J.T., A.P. Klimley, and C.E. Crocker. 2007. Movements of green sturgeon, *Acipenser medirostris*, in the San Francisco Bay Estuary, CA. Environmental Biology of Fishes 79(3-4): 281-295.

Kjelson, M.A., P.F. Raquel, and F.W. Fisher. 1982. Life history of fall-run juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary, California. *In* V.S. Kennedy (editor), Estuarine comparisons, pages 393-411. Academic Press, New York, NY.

Klimley, A.P. 2002. Biological assessment of green sturgeon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin watershed. A proposal to the California Bay-Delta Authority.

Kynard, B., E. Parker, and T. Parker. 2005. Behavior of early life intervals of Klamath River green sturgeon, *Acipenser medirostris*, with note on body color. Environmental Biology of Fishes 72:85-97.

Levings, C.D. 1982. Short term use of low-tide refugia in a sand flat by juvenile chinook, (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), Fraser River estuary. Canadian Technical Reports of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Number 1111. 7 pages.

Levings, C.D., C.D. McAllister, and B.D. Chang. 1986. Differential use of the Campbell River estuary, British Columbia, by wild and hatchery-reared juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 43:1386-1397.

Levy, D.A., and T.G. Northcote. 1981. The distribution and abundance of juvenile salmon in marsh habitats of the Fraser River Estuary. Westwater Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Technical Report no. 25. Vancouver, B.C., Canada.

Levy, D.A., and T.G. Northcote. 1982. Juvenile salmon residency in a marsh area of the Fraser River estuary. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 39:270-276.

Lindley, S.T. 2006b. Large-scale migrations of green sturgeon. Presentation at Interagency Ecological Program 2006 Annual Workshop, Pacific Grove, California. March 3, 2006.

Lindley, S.T., M.L. Moser, D.L. Erickson, M. Belchik, D.W. Welch, E.L. Rechisky, J.T. Kelley, J. Heublein and A.P. Klimley. 2008. Marine migration of North American grenn sturgeon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 137:182-194.

Lindley, S.T., and M.S. Mohr. 2003. Modeling the effect of striped bass (*Morone saxatillis*) on the population viability of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon *(Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). Fisheries Bulletin 101:321-331.

Lindley, S.T., R. Schick, A. Agrawal, M. Goslin, T.E. Pearson, E. Mora, J.J. Anderson, B. May, May, S. Greene, C. Hanson, A. Low, D. McEwan, R.B. MacFarlane, C. Swanson, and J.G. Williams. 2006a. Historical population structure of Central Valley steelhead and its alteration by dams. San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science.

Lindley, S.T., R. Schick, B.P. May, J.J. Anderson, S. Greene, C. Hanson, A. Low, D. McEwan, R.B. MacFarlane, C. Swanson, and J.G. Williams. 2004. Population structure of threatened and endangered Chinook salmon ESU in California's Central Valley basin. Public review draft. NMFS Southwest Science Center. Santa Cruz, CA.

Lindley, S.T., R.S, Schick, E. Mora, P.B. Adams, J.J. Anderson, S. Greene, C. Hanson, B.P. May, D.R. McEwan, R.B. MacFarlane, C. Swanson, and J.G. Williams. 2007. Framework for assessing viability of threatened and endangered Chinook salmon and steelhead in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Basin. San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science 5(1): Article 4. 26 pages. Available at: http://repositories.cdlib.org/jmie/sfews/vol5/iss1/art4.

Lloyd, D.S. 1987. Turbidity as a water quality standard for salmonid habitats in Alaska. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 7:34-45.

MacDonald, Lee H., Alan W. Smart, and Robert C. Wissmar. 1991. Monitoring Guidelines to Evaluate Effects of Forestry Activities on Streams in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska. EPA Region 10 and University of Washington Center for Streamside studies, Seattle, Washington.

MacFarlane, B.R., and E.C. Norton. 2001. Physiological ecology of juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) at the southern end of their distribution, the San Francisco Estuary and Gulf of the Farallones, California. Fisheries Bulletin 100:244-257.

Mantua, N.J., and S.R. Hare. 2002. The Pacific decadal oscillation. Journal of Oceanography. 58:35-44.

Marston, D. 2004. Letter to Mike Aceituno, Office Supervisor, Sacramento, CA regarding steelhead smolt recoveries for the San Joaquin River Basin.

Martin, C.D., P.D. Gaines and R.R. Johnson. 2001. Estimating the abundance of Sacramento River juvenile winter Chinook salmon with comparisons to adult escapement. Red Bluff Research Pumping Plant Report Series, Volume 5. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Red Bluff, California.

Maslin, P., M Lennox, and W. McKinney. 1997. Intermittent streams as rearing habitat for Sacramento River Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). California State University, Chico, Department of Biological Sciences. 89 pages.

Matter, A.L., and B.P. Sandford. 2003. A comparison of migration rates of radio and PIT-tagged adult Snake River Chinook salmon through the Columbia River hydropower system. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 23:967-973.

Mayfield, R.B. and J.J. Cech, Jr. 2004. Temperature Effects on green sturgeon bioenergetics. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 133:961-970.

McDonald, J. 1960. The behavior of Pacific salmon fry during the downstream migration to freshwater and saltwater nursery areas. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 17:655-676.

McElhany, P., M. Ruckelhaus, M.J. Ford, T.C. Wainwright, and E.P. Bjorkstedt. 2000. Viable salmonid populations and the recovery of evolutionarily significant units. NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-NWFSC-42.

McEwan, D. 2001. Central Valley steelhead. *In* R .L. Brown (editor), Contributions to the Biology of Central Valley Salmonids, Volume 1, pages 1-44. California Department of Fish and Game, Fish Bulletin 179.

McEwan, D., and T.A. Jackson. 1996. Steelhead Restoration and Management Plan for California. California. Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California, 234 pages.

McGill, R.R. Jr. 1987. Land use changes in the Sacramento River riparian zone, Redding to Colusa. A third update: 1982-1987. Department of Water Resources, Northern District, 19 pages.

McReynolds, T.R., Garman, C.E., Ward, P.D., and M.C. Schommer. 2005. Butte and Big Chico Creeks spring-run Chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* life history investigation, 2003-2004. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Administrative Report No. 2005-1.

Meehan, W.R. 1991. Introduction and overview. *In* W.R. Meehan (editor), Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 19, pages 1-16. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD.

Meehan, W.R., and T.C. Bjornn. 1991. Salmonid distributions and life histories. *In* W.R. Meehan (editor), Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats, pages 47-82. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 19. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD.

Merz, J.E. (no date). Striped bass predation on juvenile salmonids at the Woodbridge Dam afterbay, Mokelumne River, California. Unpublished draft document. East Bay Municipal Utility District. 4 pages plus 6 figures.

Michny, F., and M. Hampton. 1984. Sacramento River Chico Landing to Red Bluff project, 1984, Juvenile salmon study. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Ecological Services. Sacramento, California.

Miller, D.J., and R.N. Lee. 1972. Guide to the coastal marine fishes of California. California Department of Fish and Game, Fish Bulletin 157.

Monroe, M., J. Kelly, and N. Lisowski. 1992. State of the estuary, a report of the conditions and problems in the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary. June 1992. 269 pages.

Moser, M.L. and S.T. Lindley. 2007. Use of Washington estuaries by subadult and adult green sturgeon. Environmental Biology of Fishes. 79:243-253.

Mount, J.F. 1995. California rivers and streams: The conflict between fluvial process and land use. University California Press, Berkeley.

Moyle, P. B., J. E. Williams, and E. D. Wikramanayake. 1989. Fish species of special concern of California. Wildlife and Fisheries Biology Department, University of California, Davis. Prepared for The Resources Agency, California Department of Fish and Game, Rancho Cordova.

Moyle, P.B. 2002. Inland fishes of California. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Moyle, P.B., P.J. Foley, and R.M. Yoshiyama. 1992. Status of green sturgeon, *Acipenser medirostris*, in California. Final report sent to NMFS, Terminal Island, CA by UC Davis Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Biology. 12 pages.

Myers, J.M., R.G. Kope, G.J. Bryant, D. Teel, L.J. Lierheimer, T.C. Wainwright, W.S. Grant, F.W. Waknitz, K. Neely, S.T. Lindley, and R.S. Waples. 1998. Status review of Chinook salmon from Washington, Idaho, Oregon, and California. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-35. 443 pages.

Nakamoto, R. J., Kisanuki, T. T., and Goldsmith, G. H. 1995. Age and growth of Klamath River green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Project # 93-FP-13. 20 pages

National Marine Fisheries Service and California Department of Fish and Game. 2001. Final report on anadromous salmon fish hatcheries in California. Prepared by Joint Hatchery Review Committee. June 27, 2001.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 1996a. Factors for decline: a supplement to the notice of determination for west coast steelhead under the Endangered Species Act. National Marine Fisheries Service, Protected Resource Division, Portland, OR and Long Beach, CA.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 1996b. Making Endangered Species Act determinations of effect for individual or group actions at the watershed scale. Prepared by NMFS, Environmental and Technical Services Branch, Habitat Conservation Branch. 31 pages.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 1997. National Marine Fisheries Service Proposed Recovery Plan for the Sacramento River Winter-run Chinook Salmon. NMFS, Southwest Region, Long Beach, California, 217 pages with goals and appendices.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 1998a. Factors Contributing to the Decline of Chinook Salmon: An Addendum to the 1996 West Coast Steelhead Factors For Decline Report. Protected Resources Division, National Marine Fisheries Service. Portland Oregon.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 1998b. Status Review of Chinook Salmon from Washington, Idaho, Oregon, and California. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-35. 443 pages.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 2005a. Green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) status review update, February 2005. Biological review team, Santa Cruz Laboratory, Southwest Fisheries Science Center. 31 pages.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 2005b. Final assessment of the National Marine Fisheries Service's Critical Habitat Analytical Review Teams (CHARTs) for seven salmon and steelhead evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) in California (July 2005). Prepared by NOAA Fisheries Protected Resources Division, Southwest Region. Available at: http://swr.nmfs.noaa.gov/chd/CHART%20Final%20Assessment/Final_CHART_Report-July_05.pdf

Newcombe, C.P., and D.D. MacDonald. 1991. Effects of suspended sediments on aquatic ecosystems. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 11:72-82.

Newcombe, C. P., and J. O. T. Jensen. 1996. Channel suspended sediment and fisheries: a synthesis for quantitative assessment of risk and impact. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 16:693-727.

Newman, K.B. 2008. An evaluation of four Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta juvenile salmon studies. CalFed Science Program. Project No. SCI-06-G06-299. 182 pages. Available online at:

http://www.science.calwater.ca.gov/pdf/psp/PSP_2004_final/PSP_CalFed_FWS_salmon_studies_final_033108.pdf

Nichols, F.H., J.E. Cloern, S.N. Louma, and D.H. Peterson. 1986. The modification of an estuary. *Science* 231: 567-573.

Nightingale, B., and C.A. Simenstad. July 2001. Dredging Activities: Marine Issues. Research Project T1803, Task 35, Whitepaper. Found at: http://www.wa.gov/wdfw/hab/ahg/ahgwhite.htm

Noakes, D.J. 1998. On the coherence of salmon abundance trends and environmental trends. North Pacific Anadromous Fishery Commission Bulletin 454-463.

Nobriga, M., and P. Cadrett. 2003. Differences among hatchery and wild steelhead: evidence from Delta fish monitoring programs. Interagency Ecological Program for the San Francisco Estuary Newsletter 14:30-38.

Orsi, J. 1967. Predation study report, 1966-1967. California Department of Fish and Game

Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2004. Review of 2003 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. Available: www.pcouncil.org

Peterson, J. H. and J. F. Kitchell. 2001. Climate regimes and water temperature changes in the Columbia River: Bioenergetic implications for predators of juvenile salmon. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 58:1831-1841.

Phillips, R.W. and H.J. Campbell. 1961. The embryonic survival of coho salmon and steelhead trout as influenced by some environmental conditions in gravel beds. Annual Report to Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission. 14:60-73.

Pickard, A., A. Grover, and F. Hall. 1982. An evaluation of predator composition at three locations on the Sacramento River. Interagency Ecological Study Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Technical Report No. 2. 20 pages.

Pimm, S.I., L. Jones, and J. Diamond. 1988. On the risk of extinction. American Naturalist 132: 757-785.

Platts, W.S., W.F. Megahan, and G.W. Minshall. 1979. Methods for evaluating stream, riparian, and biotic conditions. USDA General Technical Report INT-138. Ogden, Utah.

Primack, R. 2004. A primer of conservation biology, 3rd edition. Sinauer Associates., Sunderland MA.

Radtke, L. D. 1966. Distribution of smelt, juvenile sturgeon, and starry flounder in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta with observations on food of sturgeon, in Ecological

studies of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Part II. (J. L. Turner and D. W. Kelley, comp.), pp. 115-129. California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin 136.

Rand, G.M., P.G. Wells, and L.S. McCarty. 1995. Introduction to aquatic toxicology. *In* G.M. Rand (editor), Fundamentals of aquatic toxicology: effects, environmental fate, and risk assessment, second edition, pages 3-66. Taylor and Francis. Bristol, Pennsylvania.

Reynolds, F.L., T.J. Mills, R. Benthin, and A. Low. 1993. Restoring Central Valley streams: a plan for action. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento.

Rich, A.A. 1997. Testimony of Alice A. Rich, Ph.D., regarding water rights applications for the Delta Wetlands Project, proposed by Delta Wetlands Properties for Water Storage on Webb Tract, Bacon Island, Bouldin Island, and Holland Tract in Contra Costa and San Joaquin Counties. July 1997. California Department of Fish and Game Exhibit CDFG-7. Submitted to State Water Resources Control Board.

Robison, G.E., and Beschta, R.L. 1990. Identifying trees in riparian areas that can provide coarse woody debris to streams. Forest Service 36:790-801.

Rutter, C. 1904. Natural history of the quinnat salmon. Investigations on Sacramento River, 1896-1901. Bulletin of the U.S. Fish Commission. 22:65-141.

S.P. Crammer and Associates, Inc. 2000. Stanislaus River data report. Oakdale CA.

S.P. Crammer and Associates, Inc. 2001. Stanislaus River data report. Oakdale CA.

San Joaquin River Group Authority. 2001. 2000 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. January 2001. 84 pages.

San Joaquin River Group Authority. 2002. 2001 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. January 2002. 125 pages.

San Joaquin River Group Authority. 2003. 2002 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. January 2003. 120 pages.

San Joaquin River Group Authority. 2004. 2003 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. January 2004. 124 pages.

San Joaquin River Group Authority. 2005. 2004 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. January 2004. 132 pages.

San Joaquin River Group Authority. 2006. 2005 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. January 2006. 129 pages.

San Joaquin River Group Authority. 2007. 2006 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. January 2007. 137 pages.

Schaffter, R. 1980. Fish occurrence, size, and distribution in the Sacramento River near Hood, California during 1973 and 1974. California Department of Fish and Game.

Schaffter, R. 1997. White sturgeon spawning migrations and location of spawning habitat in the Sacramento River, California. California Department of Fish and Game 83:1-20.

Schmetterling, D.A., C.G. Clancy, and T.M. Brandt. 2001. Effects of riprap bank reinforcement on stream salmonids in the Western United States. Fisheries 26:8-13.

Shapovalov, L. and A.C. Taft. 1954. The live histories of the steelhead rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri gairdneri*) and silver salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) with special reference to Waddell Creek, California, and recommendations regarding their management. California Department of Fish and Game, Fish Bulletin. 98.

Shelton, M. L. 1987. Irrigation induced changes in vegetation and evapotranspiration in the Central Valley of California. Landscape Ecology 1:95-105.

Shelton, J. M. 1995. The hatching of Chinook salmon eggs under simulated stream conditions. Progressive Fish-Culturist 17:20-35.

Slater, D.W. 1963. Winter-run Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River, California, with notes on water temperature requirements at spawning. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Special Science Report Fisheries 461:9.

Smith, A.K. 1973. Development and application of spawning velocity and depth criteria for Oregon salmonids. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 10:312-316.

Snider, B. 2001. Evaluation of effects of flow fluctuations on the anadromous fish populations in the lower American River. California Department of Fish and Game, Habitat Conservation Division. Stream Evaluation Program. Tech. Reports No. 1 and 2 with appendices 1-3. Sacramento, California.

Snider, B., and R.G. Titus. 2000. Timing, composition, and abundance of juvenile anadromous salmonid emigration in the Sacramento River near Knights Landing, October 1996-September 1997. California Department of Fish and Game, Habitat Conservation Division. Stream Evaluation Program Technical Report No. 00-04.

Sommer, T.R., M.L. Nobriga, W.C. Harrel, W. Batham, and W.J. Kimmerer. 2001. Floodplain rearing of juvenile Chinook salmon: evidence of enhanced growth and survival. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 58:325-333.

Spence, B., G. Lomnicky, R. Hughes, and R. Novitzki. 1996. An ecosystem approach to salmonid conservation. TR-4501-96-6057. Technical Environmental Research Services Corp., Corvallis, Oregon.

Stachowicz, J. J., J. R. Terwin, R. B. Whitlatch, and R. W. Osman. 2002. Linking climate change and biological invasions: Ocean warming facilitates non-indigenous species invasions. PNAS, November 26, 2002. 99:15497–15500

Stephenson, A.E. and D.E. Fast. 2005. Monitoring and evaluation of avian predation on juvenile salmonids on the Yakima River, Washington. Annual Report 2004. March 2005.

Stevens, D.E. 1961. Food habits of striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum) in the Rio Vista area of Sacramento River. Master's Thesis. University of California. Berkeley, California.

Stillwater Sciences. 2002. Merced River corridor restoration plan. Stillwater Sciences, Berkeley, California. 245 pages.

Stillwater Sciences. 2004. Appendix H: conceptual models of focus fish species response to selected habitat variables. In: Sacramento River Bank Protection final Standard Assessment Methodology. July 2004.

Stillwater Sciences. 2006. Biological Assessment for five critical erosion sites, river miles: 26.9 left, 34.5 right, 72.2 right, 99.3 right, and 123.5 left. Sacramento River Bank Protection Project. May 12, 2006.

Stone, L. 1874. Report of operations during 1872 at the U.S. salmon-hatching establishment on the McCloud River, and on the California Salmonidae generally; with a list of specimens collected. Report to U.S. Commissioner of Fisheries for 1872-1873, 2:168-215.

Sweeney, B.W., Bott, T.L., Jackson, J.K., Kaplan, L.A., Newbold, J.D., Standley, L.J., Hession, W.C., and R.J. Horwitz. 2004. Riparian deforestation, stream narrowing, and loss of stream ecosystem services. National Academy of Sciences 101:14132-14137.

Tillman, T.L., G.W. Edwards, and K.A.F. Urquhart. 1996. Adult salmon migration during the various operational phases of Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates in Montezuma Slough: August-October 1993. Agreement to California Department of Water Resources, Ecological Services Office by California Department of Fish and Game, Bay-Delta and Special Water Projects Division, 25 pages.

Tucker, M. E., C. D. Martin, and P. D. Gaines. 2003. Spatial and temporal distributions of Sacramento pikeminnow and striped bass at the Red Bluff Diversion Complex, including the research pumping plant, Sacramento River, California: January, 1997 to August, 1998. Red Bluff Research Pumping Plant Report Services, Vol. 10. USFWS, Red Bluff, California 32 pages.

Tucker, M. E., C. M. Williams, and R. R. Johnson. 1998. Abundance, food habits, and life history aspects of Sacramento squawfish and striped bass at the Red Bluff Diversion Complex, including the research pumping plant, Sacramento River, California: 1994 to 1996. Red Bluff Research Pumping Plant Report Services, Vol. 4. USFWS, Red Bluff, California. 54 pages.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 2004. Long-term Central Valley Project and State Water Project Operating Criteria and Plan. Biological Assessment for ESA section 7(a)(2) consultation.Mid-Pacific Region. Sacramento, California.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 2007. Central Valley Operations website, Fish Salvage Data. Available online at: (http://www. usbr.gov/mp/cvo/)

U.S. Department of Interior. 1999. Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Central Valley Project Improvement Act. October 1999. Technical Appendix, 10 volumes.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. Methods for measuring the toxicity and bioaccumulation of sediment associated contaminants with freshwater invertebrates. EPA 600-R-94-024. Duluth, Minnesota.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1988. A study of the effects of riprap on Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River, California. National Fisheries Research Center, Seattle Washington.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995a. Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Native Fishes Recovery Plan. Portland, OR.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995b. Working paper: habitat restoration actions to double natural production of anadromous fish in the Central Valley of California. Volume 2. May 9, 1995. Prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the direction of the Anadromous Fish Restoration Program Core Group, Stockton, California.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000. Impacts of riprapping to ecosystem functioning, lower Sacramento River, California. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento Field Office, Sacramento, California. Prepared for US Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001a. Abundance and seasonal, spatial, and diel distribution patterns of juvenile salmonids passing the Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Sacramento River. Draft Progress Report for Red Bluff Research Pumping Plant, Vol.14. Prepared by Philip Gaines and Craig Martin for the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. Red Bluff, CA.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001b. Abundance and survival of juvenile Chinook salmon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary: 1997 and 1998. Annual progress report. 131 pages.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Spawning areas of green sturgeon *Acipenser medirostris* in the upper Sacramento River California. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Red Bluff, California.

Van Eenennaam, J.P., J. Linares-Casenave, S.I. Doroshov, D.C. Hillemeier, T.E. Wilson, and A.A. Nova. 2006. Reproductive conditions of Klamath River green sturgeon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 135:151-163.

Van Eenennaam, J.P., J. Linares-Casenave, X. Deng, and S.I. Doroshov. 2005. Effect of incubation temperature on green sturgeon embryos, *Acipenser medirostris*. Environmental Biology of Fishes 72:145-154.

Van Eenennaam, J.P., M.A.H. Webb, X. Deng, S.I. Doroshov, R.B. Mayfield, J.J. Cech, Jr., D.C. Hillemeir and T.E. Willson. 2001. Artificial spawning and larval rearing of Klamath River green sturgeon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 130:159-165.

Van Rheenen, N.T., A.W. Wood, R.N. Palmer, D.P. Lettenmaier. 2004. Potential implications of PCM climate change scenarios for Sacramento-San Joaquin river basin hydrology and water resources. Climate Change 62:257-281.

Varanasi, U. and N. Bartoo. 2008. Memorandum to D. Robert Lohn (NWR) and Rodney R. McInnis (SWR): Evaluating causes of low 2007 coho and Chinook salmon returns. 4 pages.

Vogel, D.A. 2004. Juvenile Chinook salmon radio-telemetry studies in the northern and central Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, 2002-2003. Report to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, Southwest Region. January 2004. 44 pages.

Vogel, D.A., and K.R. Marine. 1991. Guide to upper Sacramento River Chinook salmon life history. Prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Central Valley Project, 55 pages.

Vogel, D.A., K.R. Marine, and J.G. Smith. 1988. Fish passage action program for Red Bluff Diversion Dam. Final report on fishery investigations. Report No. FR1/FAO-88-19. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Northern Central Valley Fishery Resource Office. Red Bluff, CA.

Walters, J.P., T.D. Fresques, and S.D. Bryan. 1997. Comparison of creel returns from rainbow trout stocked at two sizes. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 17: 474-476.

Waples, R.S. 1991. Pacific Salmon, *Oncorhynchus spp.*, and the definition of "species" under the Endangered Species Act. Marine Fisheries Review 53:11-21.

Ward, P.D., McReynolds, T.R., and C.E. Garman. 2002. Butte and Big Chico Creeks spring-run Chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* life history investigation, 2000-2001.California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Administrative Report.

Ward, P.D., McReynolds, T.R., and C.E. Garman. 2003. Butte and Big Chico Creeks spring-run Chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* life history investigation, 2001-2002. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Administrative Report.

Waters, T.F. 1995. Sediment in streams: sources, biological effects, and control. American Fisheries Society Monograph 7.

Williams, J.G. 2006. Central Valley salmon: a perspective on Chinook and steelhead in the Central Valley of California. San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science 4(3): Article 2. 416 pages. Availale at: http://repositories.cdlib.org/jmie/sfews/vol4/iss3/art2.

Wright, D.A., and D.J. Phillips. 1988. Chesapeake and San Francisco Bays: A study in contrasts and parallels. Marine Pollution Bulletin 19 (9): 405-413.

Yoshiyama, R.M, E.R. Gerstung, F.W. Fisher, and P.B. Moyle. 2001. Historical and present distribution of Chinook salmon in the Central Valley drainage of California. *In*: Brown, R.L., editor. Contributions to the biology of Central Valley salmonids. Volume 1. California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin 179:71-177.

Yoshiyama, R.M., E.R. Gerstung, F.W. Fisher, and P.B Moyle. 1996. Historical and present distribution of Chinook salmon in the Central Valley Drainage of California. *In*: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project, Final Report to Congress, volume III, Assessments, Commissioned Reports, and Background Information (University of California, Davis, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, 1996).

Yoshiyama, R.M., F.W. Fisher, and P.B. Moyle. 1998. Historical abundance and decline of Chinook salmon in the Central Valley region of California. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 18:487-521.

Zimmerman, C.E., G.W. Edwards, and K. Perry. 2008. Maternal origin and migratory history of *Oncorhynchus mykiss* captured in rivers of the Central Valley, California. Final Report prepared for the California Department of Fish and Game. Contract P0385300. 54 pages.

Enclosure 2

MAGNUSON-STEVENS FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT ACT

ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Agency:	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Activity:	San Luis Water District and Panoche Water District Interim Renewal Contracts
Consultation Conducted By:	Southwest Region, National Marine Fisheries Service
File Number:	151422SWR2008SA00269
Date Issued:	

I. IDENTIFICATION OF ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT

This document represents the National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) consultation based on our review of information provided by the U.S Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) for the proposed San Luis Water District (SLWD) and Panoche Water District (PWD) Interim Renewal Contracts (IRCs). The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation Act (MSA) as amended (U.S.C 180 et seq.) requires that EFH be identified and described in Federal fishery management plans (FMPs). Federal action agencies must consult with NMFS on activities which they fund, permit, or carry out that may adversely affect EFH. NMFS is required to provide EFH conservation and enhancement recommendations to the Federal action agencies. The geographic extent of freshwater EFH for Pacific salmon in the San Joaquin River includes waters currently or historically accessible to salmon within the San Joaquin River.

EFH is defined as those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity. For the purpose of interpreting the definition of essential fish habitat, "waters" includes aquatic areas and their associated physical, chemical, and biological properties that are used by fish, and may include areas historically used by fish where appropriate; "substrate" includes sediment, hard bottom, structures underlying the waters, and associated biological communities; "necessary" means habitat required to support a sustainable fishery and a healthy ecosystem; and "spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity" covers all habitat types used by a species throughout its life cycle.

The biological opinion for the SLWD and PWD IRCs addresses Chinook salmon listed under the both the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and the MSA that potentially will be affected by the proposed action. These salmon include Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), and Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*).

This EFH consultation will concentrate on Central Valley fall-/late fall-run Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*) because they are covered under the MSA but not listed under the ESA.

Historically, Central Valley fall-run Chinook salmon generally spawned in the Central Valley and lower-foothill reaches up to an elevation of approximately 1,000 feet. Much of the historical fall-run spawning habitat was located below existing dam sites and the run therefore was not as severely affected by water projects as other runs in the Central Valley.

Although fall-run Chinook salmon abundance is relatively high, several factors continue to affect habitat conditions in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, including loss of fish to unscreened agricultural diversions, predation by warm-water fish species, lack of rearing habitat, regulated river flows, high water temperatures, effluents from wastewater treatment plants, and reversed flows in the Delta that draw juveniles into State and Federal water project pumps.

A. Life History and Habitat Requirements

Central Valley fall-run Chinook salmon enter the San Joaquin River from July through December, and late fall-run enter between October and March. Fall-run Chinook salmon generally spawn from October through December, and late fall-run fish spawn from January to April. The physical characteristics of Chinook salmon spawning beds vary considerably. Chinook salmon will spawn in water that ranges from a few centimeters to several meters deep provided that the there is suitable sub-gravel flow (Healey 1991). Spawning typically occurs in gravel beds that are located in marginally swift riffles, runs and pool tails with water depths exceeding one foot and velocities ranging from one to 3.5 feet per second. Preferred spawning substrate is clean loose gravel ranging from one to four inches in diameter with less that 5 percent fines (Reiser and Bjornn 1979).

Fall-run Chinook salmon eggs incubate between October and March, and juvenile rearing and smolt emigration occur from January through June (Reynolds *et al.* 1993). Shortly after emergence, most fry disperse downstream towards the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and estuary while finding refuge in shallow waters with bank cover formed by tree roots, logs, and submerged or overhead vegetation (Kjelson *et al.* 1982). These juveniles feed and grow from January through mid-May, and emigrate to the Delta and estuary from mid-March through mid-June (Lister and Genoe 1970). As they grow, the juveniles associate with coarser substrates along the stream margin or farther from shore (Healey 1991). Smolts generally spend a very short time in the Delta and estuary before entering the ocean.

II. PROPOSED ACTION.

Reclamation proposes to execute interim water service contracts that would authorize the continued delivery of water from the Central Valley Project to the San Luis and Panoche Water Districts for a period of 26 months beginning on January 1, 2009, and continuing through to February 28, 2011. The proposed action is described in the *Description of the Proposed Action* section of the preceding biological opinion (Enclosure 1).

III. EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

The effects of the proposed action on Pacific Coast salmon EFH would be similar to those discussed in the *Effects of the Proposed Action* section of the preceding biological opinion (Enclosure 1) for endangered Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, threatened Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, and threatened Central Valley steelhead. A summary of the effects of the proposed action on Central Valley fall-/late fall-run Chinook salmon are discussed below.

Adverse effects to Chinook salmon habitat will result from the execution of IRC's authorizing continued water deliveries to the SLWD and PWD lands which discharge agricultural subsurface drainage that contributes selenium to the waters, sediment, vegetation, and biota of the San Joaquin River and the Delta.

IV. CONCLUSION

Upon review of the effects of the SLWD and PWD IRCs, NMFS believes that execution of the contracts will result in adverse effects to the EFH of Pacific salmon protected under the MSA.

V. EFH CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Considering that the habitat requirements of fall-run within the action area are similar to the Federally listed species addressed in the preceding biological opinion (Enclosure 1), NMFS recommends that all the Terms and Conditions as well as all the Conservation Recommendations in the preceding biological opinion prepared for the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon ESU, Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU, Central Valley steelhead ESU, and the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon be adopted as EFH Conservation Recommendations.

VI. ACTION AGENCY STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

Section 305(b)(4)(B) of the MSA and Federal regulations (50 CFR § 600.920) to implement the EFH provisions of the MSA require Federal action agencies to provide a detailed written response to NMFS, within 30 days of its receipt, responding to the EFH conservation recommendations. The response must include a description of measures adopted by the Agency for avoiding, mitigating, or offsetting the impact of the project on Pacific salmon EFH. In the case of a response that is inconsistent with NMFS' recommendations, the Agency must explain their reasons for not following the recommendations, including the scientific justification for any disagreements with NMFS over the anticipated effects of the proposed action and the measures needed to avoid, minimize, mitigate, or offset such effects (50 CFR 600.920(j)).

VII. LITERATURE CITED

Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of Chinook salmon. *In* C. Groot and L. Margolis: Pacific Salmon Life Histories. University of British Columbia Press. pp. 213-393.-96.

Kjelson, M.A., P.F. Raquel, and F.W. Fisher. 1982. Life history of fall-run juvenile chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary, California, pp. 393-411. *In*: V.S. Kennedy (ed.). Estuarine comparisons. Academic Press, New York, NY.

Lister, D.B. and H.S. Genoe. 1970. Stream habitat utilization by cohabiting underyearlings of (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and coho (*O. kisutch*) salmon in the Big Qualicum River, British Columbia. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 27:1215-1224.

Reiser, D.W., and T.C. Bjornn. 1979. Influence of forest and rangeland management on anadromous fish habitat in western North America: Habitat requirements of anadromous salmonids. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service General Technical Report PNW-96. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experimental Station, Portland, Oregon. 54 pp.

Reynolds, F.L., T.J. Mills, R. Benthin, and A. Low. 1993. Restoring Central Valley Streams: A Plan for Action. California Department of Fish and Game. Inland Fisheries Division.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 2007. Administrative Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report for the American Basin Fish Screen and Habitat Improvement Project. November 2007. State Clearing House No. 2003092006.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 2008. American Basin Fish Screen and Habitat Improvement Project Action Specific Implementation Plan. February 2008.