

**Pismo Creek, San Luis Obispo County
CAP Workbook Threats Assessment Summary Tables
2008**

Assessment of Target Viability

Double-click opens entry form				Indicator Ratings								
				Bold = Current				<i>Italics = Desired</i>				
Conservation Target	Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Flow during incubation period	Baseflow in relation to avg. annual daily flow	< 25% of avg. annual daily flow	26-50% of avg. annual daily flow		> 50% of avg. annual daily flow			Mar-07	
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native egg predators	present throughout watershed	present in >50% of watershed	present in < 50% of watershed	absent			Mar-07	
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Mean weekly avg. temperature in redds	< 5 C. and > 13 C.	11.1-13 C.	10.1-11 C.	6-10 C.			Mar-07	
1	Egg	Condition	Substrate quality	Avg. percent fines (<0.85mm) in potential spawning areas	> 17% fines	11-17% fines	5-10 % fines	< 5% fines			Aug-04	
1	Egg	Condition	Substrate quality	Embeddedness	> 75% embedded	50-75% embedded	25-49% embedded	< 25% embedded			Aug-04	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Barriers between redds and rearing habitat	complete barrier	partial barriers common	partial barriers scarce	no barriers			Mar-07	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native fry predators	present throughout watershed	present > 50% watershed	present < 50% of watershed	absent			Mar-07	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Sediment supply	Turbidity (no. days turbidity is > 25 NTUs)	> 30 days during fry development period	20-30 days	10-19 days	< 10 days			Mar-07	
2	Fry	Condition	Habitat complexity/refugia	Amount of functional high velocity refuge habitat with flows < 15 cm/sec (boulders, overhanging banks, etc.)	none; watercourse in rearing habitat is channelized	some	common	abundant			Mar-07	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Barriers between rearing habitat and estuary	present			absent			Mar-07	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Flow during rearing period	Pool habitat > 3 feet in depth	pools scarce or absent	low abundance of pools	high abundance of pools	high abundance of pools with multiple "refuge" pools (> 5 ft deep)			Mar-07	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native juvenile predators	present throughout watershed	present > 50% watershed	present < 50% watershed	absent			Mar-07	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Summer flow	Percent of unimpaired median summer baseflow (based on long-term mean monthly discharge)	< 70% <i>s</i>	70-90%	> 90%	100% over all IP-km			Aug-04	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Median weekly average temperature (MWAT) in potential rearing habitat	> 21 C.	18-21 C.	< 18 C.	< 17 C.			Aug-04	

Assessment of Target Viability

Conservation Target		Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
3	Juvenile	Condition	Estuarine inflows	Percentage of unimpaired freshwater inflow to estuary (necessary for maintaining brackish water < 15 ppt salinity)	< 25%	25-49%	50-75%	> 75%				Aug-04	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Estuarine inflows	Persistence of hypoxic or anoxic saline layer (> 15 ppt) in potential rearing habitat areas between May and onset of winter rains	3 months	1 month	1 week	< 3 days				Jul-06	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Food availability	Species richness	< 25 taxa	25-29 taxa	30-40 taxa	> 40 taxa				Mar-07	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Habitat complexity/refugia	Instream refugia	absent			present (boulders, overhanging banks, etc.)				Mar-07	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Riparian corridor species composition and structure	Mean percent native, undisturbed composition and structure in 100-foot riparian buffer	< 25%	25-50%	51-75%	historic conditions				Mar-07	
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Number of days when depths are < 0.4 ft anywhere in migration corridor during outmigration period (March through June)	> 10 days	6-10 days	1-5 days	0 days				Mar-07	
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Flow for downstream passage March through June	Maximum potential rate of diversion by pumping during April and May (expressed as percent of estimate unimpaired median flow in April)	> 150%	100-150%	50-99%	< 50%				Aug-04	
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Passage to ocean	Number of days stream mouth is open with adequate flow during outmigration period (March through June)	< 30 days	30-60 days	60-90 days	> 90 days				Mar-07	
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Accessibility of suitable spawning areas (based on TRT criteria)	accessible sites are clumped in one location or < 25% of all tributaries are accessible	25-50% of all tributaries are accessible	50-75% of all tributaries are accessible	> 75% of all tributaries are accessible				Mar-07	

Assessment of Target Viability

Conservation Target		Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Number of days stream mouth is open with adequate flow during entry period (1 November to 1 June)	< 30 days	30-60 days	60-90 days	> 90 days				Mar-07	
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Flow during spawning period (spawning and upstream/downstream passage)	Percent of net discharge (unimpaired flow minus total diversions) occurring between 1 December to 1 June, in all water years	> 10%	6-10%	3-5%	< 3%				Aug-04	
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Median weekly average temperature in migration corridor	> 17 C.	15-16.9 C.	13-14.9 C.	10-12.9 C.				Mar-07	
5	Adult	Size	Population size	Mean annual adult spawner abundance		TRT criteria for low extinction risk (by watershed)						Mar-03	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Barriers/diversions	Stream crossings/stream mile	> two/mile			< two/mile	avg 0.95 crossings/mile	Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Channel flow and morphology	Percent of total watercourse length channelized	> 25%	16-25%	5-15%	< 5%	moderate	Fair		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Fire regime/vegetation maturity	Percent of watershed affected by high intensity fire within previous 100 yrs	> 25%	10-24%	5-9%	< 5%	23%	Fair		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Floodplain connectivity	Floodplain connectivity	< 50% of response reaches in watershed have inundation of historic floodplains by bankfull flows (connectivity)	50-65% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate floodplain connectivity	66-80% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate floodplain connectivity	> 80% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate connectivity	moderate channelization	Fair		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Historic vs Current Spawning Habitat	Fraction of historic spawning tributaries currently accessible to spawners	< 15% available	16-50% available	51-90% available	>90% available	14% accessible	Poor		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Hydrology	Dry stream reaches	> 75% dry reaches	26-75% dry reaches	1-25% dry reaches	no dry reaches; perennial surface flows				Mar-07	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Hydrology	Hydrograph	severely modified			natural	severely modified	Poor		Jan-08	

Assessment of Target Viability

Conservation Target	Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Distribution of public ownership along main stem of watercourse	< 25% of land bordering main stem of drainage is publicly owned	25-50%	51-75%	> 75%			Mar-07	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Miles of road per square mile of watershed within 100 meters of watercourse	> 1 mi	0.5-1.0 mi	0.1-0.49 mi	< 0.1 mi	avg 0.8 mi/sq mi	Fair	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Miles of roads per square mile of watershed	> 3.0 mi	2.6-3.0 mi	1.6-2.5 mi	< 1.6 mi	avg 2.5 mi/sq mi.	Good	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in agricultural use	> 30%	20-29%	10-19%	< 10%	9-10%	Good	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in agriculture within 100 meters of watercourse	> 20%	11-20%	5-10%	< 5%	12%	Poor	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in public ownership	< 25% public ownership	25-50%	51-75%	> 75%	0.1%	Poor	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in urban/residential use	> 25%	10-25%	5-9%	< 5%	7%	Good	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Water quality	General index of toxicity based on severity of adverse effects on fish	Acute lethal effects (fish kill)	Sublethal effects (reduced growth, altered behavior, etc.)	Toxins detected but no sublethal effects	No toxins or contaminants detected	moderate total N; high total P	Poor	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Water quality	Percent total impervious surfaces as % of watershed area	>40%	21-40%	5-20%	< 5%	1%	Very Good	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Estuarine habitat quality	Current lagoon area as percentage of historic total area	< 25%	26-50%	51-75%	> 75%	30%	Poor	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Estuarine habitat quality	Depth, LWD, and other habitat elements (e.g. eelgrass)	depth < 1 meter; LWD and/or overhanging banks absent		depth > 1 meter; LWD and/or overhanging banks present				Mar-07	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Riparian corridor quality	Riparian canopy cover	< 25% cover	25-49% cover	50-75% cover	> 75% cover	44%	Fair	Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Riparian corridor quality	Riparian corridor species composition	< 25% native composition	25-50% native composition	50-75% native composition	> 75% native composition			Mar-07	

Overall Viability Summary
Pismo Creek, San Luis Obispo County

Threats Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages			Overall Threat Rank
Project-specific threats		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1	Agricultural effluents	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
2	Conversion of watershed lands to row crop agriculture	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
3	Culverts, crossings, and bridges	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
4	Dams and surface water diversions	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
5	Groundwater extraction	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
6	Levees and channelization	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
7	Recreational facilities and activities (ORV use, campgrounds, etc.)	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
8	Roads in watershed and/or within 300 feet of watercourses	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
9	Urban development	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
10	Channel and/or estuary maintenance, dredging, and vegetation control (incl. flood control activities)	-	-	-	-	-	High			Medium
11	Invasive non-native plants						High			Medium
12	Livestock Farming & Ranching	-	-	-	-	-	High			Medium
13	Non-point pollution from roads	-	-	-	-	-	High			Medium
14	Wildland fires (incl. debris flows following fires)	-	-	-	-	-	High			Medium
15	Artificial lagoon breaching	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
16	Gas, water, and/or other utility pipelines	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
Threat Status for Targets and Project		-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-	Very High *

Overall Viability Summary
Pismo Creek, San Luis Obispo County

Threats Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages			Overall Threat Rank
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Project-specific threats		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
17	Illegal collecting, poaching, and/or unauthorized angling	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
18	Log jams and other removable barriers									-
19	Mining & Quarrying	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
20	Natural barriers					-	-			-
21	Non-native species present (incl. hatchery fish)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
22	Oil & Gas Drilling	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
23	Public ownership in watershed									-
24	Urban wastewater effluents (incl. industrial and commercial effluents)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-

Overall Viability Summary
Pismo Creek, San Luis Obispo County

Stresses (Altered Key Ecological Attributes) Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Impaired estuarine habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
2	Impaired water quality	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
3	Altered hydrograph	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
4	Impaired access to rearing and/or spawning habitat	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
5	Altered riparian habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
6	Altered land use from natural condition	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
7	Altered fire regime/recent fire in watershed	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
8	Impaired floodplain connectivity	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
9	Impaired riparian habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Impaired habitat complexity/refugia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Impaired access to estuary	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Impaired flows during rearing period	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Impaired summer base flows	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Impaired water temperature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Impaired estuarine inflows	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Impaired food availability	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Overall Viability Summary
Pismo Creek, San Luis Obispo County

Stresses (Altered Key Ecological Attributes) Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
17	Impaired instream habitat complexity/refugia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Impaired access to ocean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Impaired access to stream from ocean (stream mouth closed)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Impaired access to spawning areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Impaired water temperatures in migration corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Low adult population size	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Impaired water temperature in spawning areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Altered sediment supply	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Non-native predators	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Non-native egg predators	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Dispersal barriers between redds and rearing habitat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Altered base flows during incubation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Impaired substrate quality (sedimentation and embeddedness)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Overall Viability Summary
Pismo Creek, San Luis Obispo County

Conservation Targets		Landscape Context		Condition		Size		Viability Rank
		Grade	Weight	Grade	Weight	Grade	Weight	
1	Egg	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
2	Fry	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
3	Juvenile	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
4	Smolt	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
5	Adult	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
6	Multiple Life Stages	Poor	1	Poor	1	-	1	Poor
7		-		-		-		-
8		-		-		-		-
Project Biodiversity Health Rank								Poor

Overall Viability Summary

Pismo Creek, San Luis Obispo County

Conservation Targets		Key Ecological Attributes				Indicators				Calculated Rank	User Override
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good		
1	Egg									-	
	Landscape Context									-	
	Condition									-	
	Size									-	
2	Fry									-	
	Landscape Context									-	
	Condition									-	
	Size									-	
3	Juvenile									-	
	Landscape Context									-	
	Condition									-	
	Size									-	
4	Smolt									-	
	Landscape Context									-	
	Condition									-	
	Size									-	
5	Adult									-	
	Landscape Context									-	
	Condition									-	
	Size									-	
6	Multiple Life Stages									Poor	
	Landscape Context	2	5	1		5	4	4	1	Poor	
	Condition	1	1			1	1			Poor	
	Size									-	
7										-	
	Landscape Context									-	
	Condition									-	
	Size									-	
8										-	
	Landscape Context									-	
	Condition									-	
	Size									-	