

**Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County
CAP Workbook Threats Assessment Summary Tables
2008**

**Assessment of Target Viability
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County**

**Assessment of Target Viability
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County**

Double-click opens entry form

				Indicator Ratings								
				Bold = Current				<i>Italics = Desired</i>				
Conservation Target	Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Flow during incubation period	Baseflow in relation to avg. annual daily flow	< 25% of avg. annual daily flow	26-50% of avg. annual daily flow		> 50% of avg. annual daily flow			Jun-02	
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native egg predators	present throughout watershed	present in >50% of watershed	present in < 50% of watershed	absent			Aug-07	
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Mean weekly avg. temperature in redds	< 5 C. and > 13 C.	11.1-13 C.	10.1-11 C.	6-10 C.				
1	Egg	Condition	Substrate quality	Avg. percent fines (<0.85mm) in potential spawning areas	> 17% fines	11-17% fines	5-10 % fines	< 5% fines	very good	Very Good	Jan-06	
1	Egg	Condition	Substrate quality	Embeddedness	> 75% embedded	50-75% embedded	25-49% embedded	< 25% embedded	avg 56%	Fair	Jan-06	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Barriers between redds and rearing habitat	complete barrier	partial barriers common	partial barriers scarce	no barriers			Jun-02	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native fry predators	present throughout watershed	present > 50% of watershed	present < 50% of watershed	absent			Aug-07	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Sediment supply	Turbidity (no. days turbidity is > 25 NTUs)	> 30 days during fry development period	20-30 days	10-19 days	< 10 days				
2	Fry	Condition	Habitat complexity/refugia	Amount of functional high velocity refuge habitat with flows < 15 cm/sec (boulders, overhanging banks, etc.)	none; watercourse in rearing habitat is channelized	some	common	abundant	poor	Poor	Jan-06	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Barriers between rearing habitat and estuary	present			absent	4 severe barriers	Poor	Jan-06	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Flow during rearing period	Pool habitat > 3 feet in depth	pools scarce or absent	low abundance of pools	high abundance of pools	high abundance of pools with multiple "refuge" pools (> 5 ft deep)	pools present along 50-70% of survey length	Very Good	Jan-06	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native juvenile predators	present throughout watershed	present > 50% watershed	present < 50% watershed	absent			Aug-07	

Assessment of Target Viability
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County

Conservation Target		Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Summer flow	Percent of unimpaired median summer baseflow (based on long-term mean monthly discharge)	< 70% ^s	70-90%	> 90%	100% over all IP-km	93% wetted channel	Very Good		Jan-06	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Median weekly average temperature (MWAT) in potential rearing habitat	> 21 C.	18-21 C.	< 18 C.	< 17 C.					
3	Juvenile	Condition	Estuarine inflows	Percentage of unimpaired freshwater inflow to estuary (necessary for maintaining brackish water < 15 ppt salinity)	< 25%	25-49%	50-75%	> 75%				Jun-02	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Estuarine inflows	Persistence of hypoxic or anoxic saline layer (> 15 ppt) in potential rearing habitat areas between May and onset of winter rains	3 months	1 month	1 week	< 3 days					
3	Juvenile	Condition	Food availability	Species richness	< 25 taxa	25-29 taxa	30-40 taxa	> 40 taxa				Mar-07	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Habitat complexity/refugia	Instream refugia	absent			present (boulders, overhanging banks, etc.)	poor	Poor		Jan-06	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Riparian corridor species composition and structure	Mean percent native, undisturbed composition and structure in 100-foot riparian buffer	< 25%	25-50%	51-75%	historic conditions					
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Number of days when depths are < 0.4 ft anywhere in migration corridor during outmigration period (March through June)	> 10 days	6-10 days	1-5 days	0 days	93% wetted channel	Very Good		Mar-07	
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Flow for downstream passage March through June	Maximum potential rate of diversion by pumping during April and May (expressed as percent of estimate unimpaired median flow in April)	> 150%	100-150%	50-99%	< 50%				Jun-02	
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Passage to ocean	Number of days stream mouth is open with adequate flow during outmigration period (March through June)	< 30 days	30-60 days	60-90 days	> 90 days					

**Assessment of Target Viability
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County**


Conservation Target		Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Accessibility of suitable spawning areas (based on TRT criteria)	accessible sites are clumped in one location or < 25% of all tributaries are accessible	25-50% of all tributaries are accessible	50-75% of all tributaries are accessible	> 75% of all tributaries are accessible	4 severe barriers	Poor		Jan-06	
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Number of days stream mouth is open with adequate flow during entry period (1 November to 1 June)	< 30 days	30-60 days	60-90 days	> 90 days					
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Flow during spawning period (spawning and upstream/downstream passage)	Percent of net discharge (unimpaired flow minus total diversions) occurring between 1 December to 1 June, in all water years	> 10%	6-10%	3-5%	< 3%				Jun-02	
5	Adult	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Median weekly average temperature in migration corridor	> 17 C.	15-16.9 C.	13-14.9 C.	10-12.9 C.					
5	Adult	Size	Population size	Mean annual adult spawner abundance		TRT criteria for low extinction risk (by watershed)							
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Barriers/diversions	Stream crossings/stream mile	> two/mile			< two/mile	avg 2.0 crossings/stream mile	Poor		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Channel flow and morphology	Percent of total watercourse length channelized	> 25%	16-25%	5-15%	< 5%	"medium" amt of channelization	Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Fire regime/vegetation maturity	Percent of watershed affected by high intensity fire within previous 100 yrs	> 25%	10-24%	5-9%	< 5%	99%	Poor		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Floodplain connectivity	Floodplain connectivity	< 50% of response reaches in watershed have inundation of historic floodplains by bankfull flows (connectivity)	50-65% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate floodplain connectivity	66-80% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate floodplain connectivity	> 80% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate connectivity				Jun-02	

Assessment of Target Viability
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County

Conservation Target	Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Historic vs Current Spawning Habitat	Fraction of historic spawning tributaries currently accessible to spawners	< 15% available	16-50% available	51-90% available	>90% available	4 severe barriers	Poor		Jan-06	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Hydrology	Dry stream reaches	> 75% dry reaches	26-75% dry reaches	1-25% dry reaches	no dry reaches; perennial surface flows	93% wetted channel	Very Good		Jan-06	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Hydrology	Hydrograph	severely modified			natural	mostly natural	Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Distribution of public ownership along main stem of watercourse	< 25% of land bordering main stem of drainage is publicly owned	25-50%	51-75%	> 75%				Jun-07	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Miles of road per square mile of watershed within 100 meters of watercourse	> 1 mi	0.5-1.0 mi	0.1-0.49 mi	< 0.1 mi	avg 1.5 mi/sq mi	Poor		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Miles of roads per square mile of watershed	> 3.0 mi	2.6-3.0 mi	1.6-2.5 mi	< 1.6 mi	4.9 mi/sq mi.	Poor		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in agricultural use	> 30%	20-29%	10-19%	< 10%	< 1%	Very Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in agriculture within 100 meters of watercourse	> 20%	11-20%	5-10%	< 5%	< 1%	Very Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in public ownership	< 25 % public ownership	25-50%	51-75%	> 75%	23%	Poor		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in urban/residential use	> 25%	10-25%	5-9%	< 5%	15%	Fair		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Water quality	General index of toxicity based on severity of adverse effects on fish	Acute lethal effects (fish kill)	Sublethal effects (reduced growth, altered behavior, etc.)	Toxins detected but no sublethal effects	No toxins or contaminants detected	good WQ	Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Water quality	Percent total impervious surfaces as % of watershed area	>40%	21-40%	5-20%	< 5%	avg 8.9%	Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Estuarine habitat quality	Current lagoon area as percentage of historic total area	< 25%	26-50%	51-75%	> 75%	3%	Poor		Jan-08	

**Assessment of Target Viability
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County**

Conservation Target		Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Estuarine habitat quality	Depth, LWD, and other habitat elements (e.g. eelgrass)	depth < 1 meter; LWD and/or overhanging banks absent		depth > 1 meter; LWD and/or overhanging banks present						
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Riparian corridor quality	Riparian canopy cover	< 25% cover	25-49% cover	50-75% cover	> 75% cover	79%	Good		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Riparian corridor quality	Riparian corridor species composition	< 25% native composition	25-50% native composition	50-75% native composition	> 75% native composition				Jun-07	



Overall Viability Summary
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County

Summary of Threats										
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County										
Threats Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages			Overall Threat Rank
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1	Urban development	High	Low	Medium	-	-	Very High			High
2	Recreational facilities and activities (ORV use, campgrounds, etc.)	Low	Low	-	-	-	Very High			High
3	Culverts, crossings, and bridges	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
4	Roads in watershed and/or within 300 feet of watercourses	-	-	-	-	-	Very High			High
5	Levees and channelization	High	-	-	-	-	High			High
6	Wildland fires (incl. debris flows following fires)	High	-	-	-	-	High			High
7	Dams and surface water diversions	High	-	-	-	-	-			Medium
8	Non-point pollution from roads	Medium	-	Low	-	-	Medium			Medium
9	Conversion of watershed lands to row crop agriculture	Medium	-	-	-	-	-			Low
10	Channel and/or estuary maintenance, dredging, and vegetation control (incl. flood control activities)	-	-	-	-	-	Low			Low
11	Invasive, non-native plants	-	-	Low	-	-	-			Low
12	Livestock Farming & Ranching	Low	-	-	-	-	-			Low
13	Non-native species present (incl. hatchery fish)	-	Low	-	-	-	-			Low
14	Agricultural effluents	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
15	Artificial lagoon breaching	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
16	Gas, water, and/or other utility pipelines	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
Threat Status for Targets and Project		High	Low	Low	-	-	Very High	-	-	High

Overall Viability Summary
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County

Summary of Threats										
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County										
Threats Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages			Overall Threat Rank
Project-specific threats		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
17	Groundwater extraction	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
18	Illegal collecting, poaching, and/or unauthorized angling	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
19	Mining & Quarrying	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
20	Oil & Gas Drilling	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
21	Public ownership in watershed									-
22	Urban wastewater effluents (incl. industrial and commercial effluents)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
23										-
24										-
25										-
26										-
27										-
28										-
29										-
30										-
31										-
32										-
Threat Status for Targets and Project		High	Low	Low	-	-	Very High	-	-	High

Overall Viability Summary
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County

Stress Matrix									
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County									
Stresses (Altered Key Ecological Attributes) Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Impaired estuarine habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
2	Altered fire regime/recent fire in watershed	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
3	Impaired access to rearing and/or spawning habitat	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
4	Impaired access to spawning areas	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-	-
5	Impaired instream habitat complexity/refugia	-	-	Very High	-	-	-	-	-
6	Impaired access to estuary	-	-	Very High	-	-	-	-	-
7	Impaired habitat complexity/refugia	-	Very High	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Altered land use from natural condition	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
9	Impaired substrate quality (sedimentation and embeddedness)	High	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Altered riparian habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	Medium	-	-
11	Impaired water quality	-	-	-	-	-	Medium	-	-
12	Impaired floodplain connectivity	-	-	-	-	-	Medium	-	-
13	Impaired access to ocean	-	-	-	Medium	-	-	-	-
14	Impaired flows during rearing period	-	-	Low	-	-	-	-	-
15	Altered hydrograph	-	-	-	-	-	Low	-	-
16	Impaired summer base flows	-	-	Low	-	-	-	-	-

Overall Viability Summary
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County

Stress Matrix									
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County									
Stresses (Altered Key Ecological Attributes) Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
17	Impaired riparian habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Impaired water temperature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Impaired access to stream from ocean (stream mouth closed)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Impaired water temperature in spawning areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Impaired water temperatures in migration corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Low adult population size	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Non-native egg predators	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Non-native predators	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Altered base flows during incubation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Impaired food availability	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Altered sediment supply	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Impaired estuarine inflows	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Dispersal barriers between redds and rearing habitat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Overall Viability Summary
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County**

Overall Viability Summary Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County								
Conservation Targets		Landscape Context		Condition		Size		Viability Rank
		Grade	Weight	Grade	Weight	Grade	Weight	
1	Egg	-	1	Good	1	-	1	Good
2	Fry	-	1	Poor	1	-	1	Poor
3	Juvenile	Poor	1	Poor	1	-	1	Poor
4	Smolt	Very Good	1	-	1	-	1	Very Good
5	Adult	Poor	1	-	1	-	1	Poor
6	Multiple Life Stages	Poor	1	Poor	1	-	1	Poor
7		-	1	-	1	-	1	-
8		-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Project Biodiversity Health Rank								Fair

Overall Viability Summary

Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County

Detailed Viability Summary												
Las Flores Creek, Los Angeles County												
Conservation Targets		Key Ecological Attributes				Indicators				Calculated Rank	User Override	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good			
1	Egg										Good	
	Landscape Context									-		
	Condition			1			1		1	Good		
	Size									-		
2	Fry										Poor	
	Landscape Context									-		
	Condition	1				1				Poor		
	Size									-		
3	Juvenile										Poor	
	Landscape Context	1			2	1			2	Poor		
	Condition	1				1				Poor		
	Size									-		
4	Smolt										Very Good	
	Landscape Context				1				1	Very Good		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		
5	Adult										Poor	
	Landscape Context	1				1				Poor		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		
6	Multiple Life Stages										Poor	
	Landscape Context	3	1	2	1	6	1	4	3	Poor		
	Condition	1		1		1		1		Poor		
	Size									-		
7											-	
	Landscape Context									-		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		
8											-	
	Landscape Context									-		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		