

**Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County  
CAP Workbook Threats Assessment Summary Tables  
2008**

**Assessment of Target Viability  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

**Assessment of Target Viability  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Double-click opens entry form

				Indicator Ratings									
				<b>Bold = Current</b>			<i>Italics = Desired</i>						
Conservation Target	Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating	
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Flow during incubation period	Baseflow in relation to avg. annual daily flow	< 25% of avg. annual daily flow	26-50% of avg. annual daily flow		> 50% of avg. annual daily flow	variable	Good		Jun-02	
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native egg predators	present throughout watershed	present in >50% of watershed	present in < 50% of watershed	absent					
1	Egg	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Mean weekly avg. temperature in redds	< 5 C. and > 13 C.	11.1-13 C.	10.1-11 C.	6-10 C.					
1	Egg	Condition	Substrate quality	Avg. percent fines (<0.85mm) in potential spawning areas	> 17% fines	<b>11-17% fines</b>	5-10 % fines	< 5% fines	low to moderate abundance of suitable spawning substrate	Fair		Jun-02	
1	Egg	Condition	Substrate quality	Embeddedness	> 75% embedded	<b>50-75% embedded</b>	25-49% embedded	< 25% embedded	25-75% embeddedness; avg 63%	Fair		Jun-02	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Barriers between redds and rearing habitat	complete barrier	partial barriers common	<b>partial barriers scarce</b>	no barriers	no barriers on upper 67% of main stem	Good		Jun-02	
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native fry predators	present throughout watershed	present > 50% of watershed	present < 50% of watershed	absent					
2	Fry	Landscape Context	Sediment supply	Turbidity (no. days turbidity is > 25 NTUs)	> 30 days during fry development period	20-30 days	10-19 days	< 10 days					
2	Fry	Condition	Habitat complexity/refugia	Amount of functional high velocity refuge habitat with flows < 15 cm/sec (boulders, overhanging banks, etc.)	none; watercourse in rearing habitat is channelized	some	<b>common</b>	abundant	moderate amount of instream cover	Good		Jun-02	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Barriers between rearing habitat and estuary	<b>present</b>			absent	Hwy 101/railroad culvert	Poor		Jun-02	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Flow during rearing period	Pool habitat > 3 feet in depth	pools scarce or absent	<b>low abundance of pools</b>	high abundance of pools	high abundance of pools with multiple "refuge" pools (> 5 ft deep)	moderate abundance of pools, no refuge pools	Fair		Jun-02	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Non-native species	Non-native juvenile predators	present throughout watershed	present > 50% watershed	present < 50% watershed	absent					

**Assessment of Target Viability  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Conservation Target		Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Summer flow	Percent of unimpaired median summer baseflow (based on long-term mean monthly discharge)	< 70%	70-90%	> 90%	100% over all IP-km	variable surface flows	Fair		Jun-02	
3	Juvenile	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Median weekly average temperature (MWAT) in potential rearing habitat	> 21 C.	18-21 C.	< 18 C.	< 17 C.					
3	Juvenile	Condition	Estuarine inflows	Percentage of unimpaired freshwater inflow to estuary (necessary for maintaining brackish water < 15 ppt salinity)	< 25%	25-49%	50-75%	> 75%	variable surface flows	Fair		Jun-02	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Estuarine inflows	Persistence of hypoxic or anoxic saline layer (> 15 ppt) in potential rearing habitat areas between May and onset of winter rains	3 months	1 month	1 week	< 3 days					
3	Juvenile	Condition	Food availability	Species richness	< 25 taxa	25-29 taxa	30-40 taxa	> 40 taxa					
3	Juvenile	Condition	Habitat complexity/refugia	Instream refugia	absent			present (boulders, overhanging banks, etc.)	moderate to high amount of instream cover	Good		Jun-02	
3	Juvenile	Condition	Riparian corridor species composition and structure	Mean percent native, undisturbed composition and structure in 100-foot riparian buffer	< 25%	25-50%	51-75%	historic conditions					
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Number of days when depths are < 0.4 ft anywhere in migration corridor during outmigration period (March through June)	> 10 days	6-10 days	1-5 days	0 days					
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Flow for downstream passage March through June	Maximum potential rate of diversion by pumping during April and May (expressed as percent of estimate unimpaired median flow in April)	> 150%	100-150%	50-99%	< 50%		Fair		Jun-02	
4	Smolt	Landscape Context	Passage to ocean	Number of days stream mouth is open with adequate flow during outmigration period (March through June)	< 30 days	30-60 days	60-90 days	> 90 days					

**Assessment of Target Viability  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Conservation Target	Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
5 Adult	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Accessibility of suitable spawning areas (based on TRT criteria)	accessible sites are clumped in one location or < 25% of all tributaries are accessible	25-50% of all tributaries are accessible	50-75% of all tributaries are accessible	> 75% of all tributaries are accessible	moderate to impassable barriers around Hwy 101	Poor		Jun-02	
5 Adult	Landscape Context	Dispersal	Number of days stream mouth is open with adequate flow during entry period (1 November to 1 June)	< 30 days	30-60 days	60-90 days	> 90 days					
5 Adult	Landscape Context	Flow during spawning period (spawning and upstream/downstream passage)	Percent of net discharge (unimpaired flow minus total diversions) occurring between 1 December to 1 June, in all water years	> 10%	6-10%	3-5%	< 3%	variable surface flows	Good		Jun-02	
5 Adult	Landscape Context	Water temperature	Median weekly average temperature in migration corridor	> 17 C.	15-16.9 C.	13-14.9 C.	10-12.9 C.					
5 Adult	Size	Population size	Mean annual adult spawner abundance		TRT criteria for low extinction risk (by watershed)							
6 Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Barriers/diversions	Stream crossings/stream mile	> two/mile			< two/mile	avg 0.7 crossings/mile	Good		Jan-08	
6 Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Channel flow and morphology	Percent of total watercourse length channelized	> 25%	16-25%	5-15%	< 5%	probably 20-25%	Fair		Jul-07	
6 Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Fire regime/vegetation maturity	Percent of watershed affected by high intensity fire within previous 100 yrs	> 25%	10-24%	5-9%	< 5%	0%	Very Good		Jan-08	
6 Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Floodplain connectivity	Floodplain connectivity	< 50% of response reaches in watershed have inundation of historic floodplains by bankfull flows (connectivity)	50-65% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate floodplain connectivity	66-80% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate floodplain connectivity	> 80% of response reaches in watershed demonstrate connectivity	probably 50%	Fair		Jun-02	

**Assessment of Target Viability  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Conservation Target	Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Historic vs Current Spawning Habitat	Fraction of historic spawning tributaries currently accessible to spawners	< 15% available	16-50% available	51-90% available	>90% available	complete barrier	Poor		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Hydrology	Dry stream reaches	> 75% dry reaches	26-75% dry reaches	1-25% dry reaches	no dry reaches; perennial surface flows	variable surface flows	Fair		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Hydrology	Hydrograph	severely modified			natural	moderately modified	Fair		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Distribution of public ownership along main stem of watercourse	< 25% of land bordering main stem of drainage is publicly owned	25-50%	51-75%	> 75%	probably > 75% private along main stem	Fair		Jun-07	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Miles of road per square mile of watershed within 100 meters of watercourse	> 1 mi	0.5-1.0 mi	0.1-0.49 mi	< 0.1 mi	avg 0.8 mi/sq mile	Fair		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Miles of roads per square mile of watershed	> 3.0 mi	2.6-3.0 mi	1.6-2.5 mi	< 1.6 mi	1.6-1.8 miles/sq. mi.	Good		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in agricultural use	> 30%	20-29%	10-19%	< 10%	8-11%	Fair		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in agriculture within 100 meters of watercourse	> 20%	11-20%	5-10%	< 5%	13.4%	Poor		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in public ownership	< 25 % public ownership	25-50%	51-75%	> 75%	57% public ownership	Good		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Land use	Percent of watershed area in urban/residential use	> 25%	10-25%	5-9%	< 5%	< 3.1%	Very Good		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Water quality	General index of toxicity based on severity of adverse effects on fish	Acute lethal effects (fish kill)	Sublethal effects (reduced growth, altered behavior, etc.)	Toxins detected but no sublethal effects	No toxins or contaminants detected	high total N; low total P	Fair		Jan-08	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Landscape Context	Water quality	Percent total impervious surfaces as % of watershed area	>40%	21-40%	5-20%	< 5%	1% to 3%	Very Good		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Estuarine habitat quality	Current lagoon area as percentage of historic total area	< 25%	26-50%	51-75%	> 75%	25%	Fair		Jan-08	

**Assessment of Target Viability  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Conservation Target		Category	Key Attribute	Indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Current Indicator Status	Current Rating	Desired Rating	Date of Current Rating	Date for Desired Rating
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Estuarine habitat quality	Depth, LWD, and other habitat elements (e.g. eelgrass)	depth < 1 meter; LWD and/or overhanging banks absent		depth > 1 meter; LWD and/or overhanging banks present						
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Riparian corridor quality	Riparian canopy cover	< 25% cover	25-49% cover	<b>50-75% cover</b>	> 75% cover	70% to 75%	Good		Jun-02	
6	Multiple Life Stages	Condition	Riparian corridor quality	Riparian corridor species composition	< 25% native composition	25-50% native composition	<b>50-75% native composition</b>	> 75% native composition	probably 50-75%	Good		Jun-07	

**Overall Viability Summary  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Summary of Threats										
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County										
Threats Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages			Overall Threat Rank
Project-specific threats		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1	Culverts, crossings, and bridges	Low	Low	Very High	Very High	Very High	Very High			Very High
2	Groundwater extraction	Medium	Medium	Very High	-	-	Very High			Very High
3	Roads in watershed and/or within 300 feet of watercourses	Medium	Low	High	-	High	Very High			High
4	Urban development	Low	Medium	Medium	-	High	Very High			High
5	Levees and channelization	Medium	Medium	High	-	-	Very High			High
6	Conversion of watershed lands to row crop agriculture	Low	Low	Medium	-	-	Very High			High
7	Non-point pollution from roads	Low	-	Low	-	-	Very High			High
8	Channel and/or estuary maintenance, dredging, and vegetation control (incl. flood control activities)	Low	Low	High	-	-	High			High
9	Agricultural effluents	Medium	Low	-	-	-	High			Medium
10	Artificial lagoon breaching	-	-	Medium	Medium	-	-			Medium
11	Livestock Farming & Ranching	Low	Low	Low	-	-	Low			Low
12	Invasive, non-native plants	Low	-	Low	-	-				Low
13	Recreational facilities and activities (ORV use, campgrounds, etc.)	-	Low	Low	-	-	-			Low
14	Dams and surface water diversions	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
15	Gas, water, and/or other utility pipelines	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
16	Illegal collecting, poaching, and/or unauthorized angling	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
<b>Threat Status for Targets and Project</b>		Medium	Medium	Very High	High	High	Very High	-	-	Very High

**Overall Viability Summary  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Threats Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages			Overall Threat Rank
Project-specific threats		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
17	Invasive, non-native plant infestation						-			-
18	Mining & Quarrying	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
19	Non-native species present (incl. hatchery fish)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
20	Oil & Gas Drilling	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
21	Public ownership in watershed									-
22	Urban wastewater effluents (incl. industrial and commercial effluents)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
23	Wildland fires (incl. debris flows following fires)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
24										-
25										-
26										-
27										-
28										-
29										-
30										-
31										-
32										-
<b>Threat Status for Targets and Project</b>		Medium	Medium	Very High	High	High	Very High	-	-	Very High

**Overall Viability Summary**  
**Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

<b>Stress Matrix</b>									
<b>Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County</b>									
<b>Stresses (Altered Key Ecological Attributes) Across Targets</b>		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Impaired estuarine habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
2	Impaired access to rearing and/or spawning habitat	-	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-
3	Impaired access to spawning areas	-	-	-	-	Very High	-	-	-
4	Impaired access to ocean	-	-	-	Very High	-	-	-	-
5	Impaired access to estuary	-	-	Very High	-	-	-	-	-
6	Impaired water quality	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
7	Altered land use from natural condition	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
8	Altered hydrograph	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
9	Impaired floodplain connectivity	-	-	-	-	-	High	-	-
10	Altered base flows during incubation	High	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Impaired substrate quality (sedimentation and embeddedness)	High	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Impaired flows during rearing period	-	-	High	-	-	-	-	-
13	Impaired summer base flows	-	-	High	-	-	-	-	-
14	Impaired estuarine inflows	-	-	High	-	-	-	-	-
15	Altered riparian habitat quality	-	-	-	-	-	Medium	-	-
16	Impaired habitat complexity/refugia	-	Medium	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Overall Viability Summary  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

Threats Across Targets		Egg	Fry	Juvenile	Smolt	Adult	Multiple Life Stages			Overall Threat Rank
Project-specific threats		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
17	Invasive, non-native plant infestation						-			-
18	Mining & Quarrying	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
19	Non-native species present (incl. hatchery fish)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
20	Oil & Gas Drilling	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
21	Public ownership in watershed									-
22	Urban wastewater effluents (incl. industrial and commercial effluents)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
23	Wildland fires (incl. debris flows following fires)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
24										-
25										-
26										-
27										-
28										-
29										-
30										-
31										-
32										-
<b>Threat Status for Targets and Project</b>		Medium	Medium	Very High	High	High	Very High	-	-	Very High

**Overall Viability Summary  
Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County**

<b>Overall Viability Summary Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County</b>								
<b>Conservation Targets</b>		<b>Landscape Context</b>		<b>Condition</b>		<b>Size</b>		<b>Viability Rank</b>
		<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight</b>	
1	Egg	Good	1	Fair	1	-	1	Good
2	Fry	Good	1	Good	1	-	1	Good
3	Juvenile	Poor	1	Fair	1	-	1	Fair
4	Smolt	Fair	1	-	1	-	1	Fair
5	Adult	Poor	1	-	1	-	1	Poor
6	Multiple Life Stages	Poor	1	Fair	1	-	1	Fair
7		-	1	-	1	-	1	-
8		-	1	-	1	-	1	-
<b>Project Biodiversity Health Rank</b>								<b>Fair</b>

# Overall Viability Summary

## Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County

### Detailed Viability Summary

#### Tecolote Creek, Santa Barbara County

Conservation Targets		Key Ecological Attributes				Indicators				Calculated Rank	User Override	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good			
1	<b>Egg</b>										<b>Good</b>	
	Landscape Context			1				1		Good		
	Condition		1				2			Fair		
	Size									-		
2	<b>Fry</b>										<b>Good</b>	
	Landscape Context			1				1		Good		
	Condition			1				1		Good		
	Size									-		
3	<b>Juvenile</b>										<b>Fair</b>	
	Landscape Context	1	2			1	2			Poor		
	Condition		1	1			1	1		Fair		
	Size									-		
4	<b>Smolt</b>										<b>Fair</b>	
	Landscape Context		1				1			Fair		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		
5	<b>Adult</b>										<b>Poor</b>	
	Landscape Context	1		1		1		1		Poor		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		
6	<b>Multiple Life Stages</b>										<b>Fair</b>	
	Landscape Context	1	4	2	1	2	8	3	3	Poor		
	Condition		1	1			1	2		Fair		
	Size									-		
7											-	
	Landscape Context									-		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		
8											-	
	Landscape Context									-		
	Condition									-		
	Size									-		